

# Patient-Reported Outcomes of Knotted and Knotless Glenohumeral Labral Repairs Are Equivalent

Easton J. Bents, Paul C. Brady, MD, Christopher R. Adams, MD, John M. Tokish, MD, Laurence D. Higgins, MD, MBA, and Patrick J. Denard, MD

## Abstract

We conducted a study to compare the clinical results and operative times of knotted and knotless fixation of anterior and posterior glenohumeral labral repairs and superior labrum anterior to posterior (SLAP) repairs.

We retrospectively evaluated data that had been prospectively collected from a Surgical Outcomes System database. Knotted and knotless techniques for 226 repairs (59 isolated anterior labral, 95 posterior labral, 72 SLAP) were compared on patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs), including American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) score, visual analog scale pain score, and Veterans RAND 12-Item Health Survey score, obtained before surgery and 6 months and 1 year after surgery. Operative time was recorded as well.

One-year follow-up was available for all 226 repairs. There was no statistically

difference in PROMs between knotted and knotless anterior labral or SLAP repairs at any point ( $P > .05$ ). ASES scores were higher 6 months after surgery in the knotless group (88.6 vs 84.2;  $P = .022$ ), but scores 1 year after surgery were the same (88.6 vs 89.8;  $P = .451$ ). Operative time per anchor was shorter for knotless anterior labral repairs (26 vs 31 min;  $P = .02$ ) and knotless posterior labral repairs (18 vs 21 min;  $P = .031$ ) and trended shorter for knotless SLAP repairs (26 vs 37 min;  $P = .080$ ).

There is no difference in PROMs between knotted and knotless labral repairs. Operative times were shorter for anterior and posterior knotless anchors than for knotted anchors. Obtaining equivalent outcomes in less operative time may help decrease healthcare costs and minimize potential complications.

Orthopedic surgeons often encounter labral pathology, and labral tears historically have required open techniques.<sup>1-3</sup> Arthroscopy allows for advanced visualization and treatment of shoulder lesions,<sup>4,5</sup> including anterior, posterior, and superior labrum anterior to posterior (SLAP) lesions.<sup>6</sup>

The goal of arthroscopic labral repair is to restore joint stability while maintaining range of motion. Arthroscopically repairing the labrum with suture anchors has become the standard technique, and several studies have reported satisfactory biomechanical and clinical results.<sup>1,7-12</sup> Surgeons

traditionally have been required to tie knots for these anchors, but knot security varies significantly among experienced arthroscopic surgeons.<sup>13</sup> In addition, knots can migrate,<sup>14</sup> and bulky knots can cause chondral abrasion.<sup>15,16</sup> Several manufacturers have introduced knotless anchors for soft-tissue fixation.<sup>15,17</sup> The knotless technique provides a low-profile repair with potentially less operating time.<sup>8</sup> These factors may warrant switching from knotted to knotless techniques if outcomes are clinically acceptable. However, few studies have compared knotted and knotless techniques for glenohumeral labral repair.<sup>8,15,18-21</sup>

**Authors' Disclosure Statement:** Dr. Brady and Dr. Denard report that they are consultants for and receive royalties from Arthrex. Dr. Adams reports that he is an employee of Arthrex. Dr. Tokish reports that he is a consultant for Arthrex. The other authors report no actual or potential conflict of interest in relation to this article.

### Take-Home Points

- There is no difference in PROMs following knotless or knotted labral repair.
- Operative time is shorter for knotless compared to knotted glenoid labral tears.
- Knotless constructs may be more predictable than knotted constructs biomechanically.

We conducted a study to compare the clinical results and operative times of knotless and knotted fixation of anterior and posterior glenohumeral labral repairs and SLAP repairs. We hypothesized there would be no difference in patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) between knotted and knotless techniques.

### Methods

We retrospectively evaluated data that had been prospectively collected between 2012 and 2016 in a Surgical Outcomes System (SOS;

Arthrex) database. Participation in this registry is elective, and enrollment can occur on a case-by-case basis. The database stores data on basic demographics, PROMs, and operative time. Data for our specific analysis were available for surger-

ies performed by 115 different surgeons. Inclusion criteria included primary isolated arthroscopic anterior, isolated posterior, and isolated SLAP repair with completely knotted or completely knotless labral repair and minimum 1-year follow-up. Exclusion criteria included hybrid knotted-knotless repair, rotator cuff repair, revision surgery, open surgery, and lack of complete follow-up data.

SOS is a proprietary registry that allows for the collection of basic patient demographics, diagnostic and operative data, and PROMs. PROMs in the SOS shoulder arthroscopy module include Veterans RAND 12-Item Health Survey (VR-12) mental health and physical health component summary scores, visual analog scale (VAS) pain scores, and American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) scores. For this study, PROMs were reviewed before surgery and 6 and 12 months after surgery. In addition, operative times of all procedures were collected.

For the analysis, completely knotted and completely knotless techniques were compared for anterior repair, posterior repair, and SLAP repair. A *t* test was used to compare the techniques on PROMs, and  $\chi^2$  test was used to evaluate proportion differences. Statistical significance was set at  $P < .05$ .

### Results

#### Anterior Labral Repairs

Of the 102 knotted anterior labral repairs that met the study criteria, 26 (25%) had minimum 1-year follow-up. Of the 122 knotless labral repairs, 33 (27%) had minimum 1-year follow-up. Seventy-five percent of knotted repairs and 80% of knotless repairs were performed in men. Mean (SD) age was 25.3 (11.7) years for the knotted group and 26.9 (10.6) years for the knotless group ( $P = .109$ ). Anterior labral repairs did not differ in PROMs at any point (**Table 1**).

A mean of 2.8 anchors was used for knotted repairs, and a mean of 3.1 anchors was used for knotless repairs. Mean operative time was 75.8 minutes for knotted repairs and 67.5 minutes for knotless repairs. Mean (SD) time per anchor was 30.9 (13.9) minutes for knotted repairs and 25.6 (19.5) minutes for knotless repairs ( $P = .021$ ).

#### Posterior Labral Repairs

Of the 165 knotted posterior labral repairs that met the study criteria, 39 (29%) had minimum 1-year follow-up. Of the 229 knotless labral repairs, 56 (24%) had minimum 1-year follow-up. Eighty-five percent of knotted repairs and 74% of knotless

**Table 1. Knotless vs Knotted Labral Repairs: Anterior**

Score	Knotless		Knotted		P
	n	Mean	n	Mean	
<b>VAS Pain</b>					
Before surgery	78	3.7	104	3.5	.570
6 mo after surgery	29	1.0	70	1.1	.253
1 y after surgery	29	1.0	35	1.3	.327
<b>ASES</b>					
Before surgery	77	60.8	100	61.4	.826
6 mo after surgery	27	90.3	68	86.3	.324
1 y after surgery	27	90.3	30	89.7	.554
<b>VR-12 Physical Health</b>					
Before surgery	77	40.6	100	39.9	.599
6 mo after surgery	33	49.6	31	51.0	.903
1 y after surgery	27	50.0	31	51.0	.903
<b>VR-12 Mental Health</b>					
Before surgery	77	50.9	100	54.5	.054
6 mo after surgery	27	54.1	31	54.8	.645
1 y after surgery	27	54.1	31	54.8	.645

Abbreviations: ASES, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons; VAS, visual analog scale; VR-12, Veterans RAND 12-Item Health Survey.

repairs were performed in men. Mean (SD) age was 29.1 (12.0) years for the knotted group and 27.5 (11.9) years for the knotless group ( $P = .148$ ). Posterior labral repairs did not differ in PROMs before surgery or 1 year after surgery; 6 months after surgery, these repairs differed only in ASES scores (**Table 2**).

A mean of 3.6 anchors was used for knotted repairs, and a mean of 3.0 anchors was used for knotless repairs. Mean operative time was 67.0 minutes for knotted repairs and 43.1 minutes for knotless repairs. Mean (SD) time per anchor was 21.1 (10.7) minutes for knotted repairs and 17.5 (14.7) minutes for knotless repairs ( $P = .031$ ).

### SLAP Repairs

Of the 54 knotted SLAP repairs that met the study criteria, 24 (44%) had minimum 1-year follow-up. Of the 138 knotless SLAP repairs, 48 (35%) had minimum 1-year follow-up. Seventy-two percent of knotted repairs and 72% of knotless repairs were performed in men. Mean (SD) age was 32.1 (11.6) years for the knotted group and 35.0 (12.8) years for the knotless group ( $P = .246$ ). SLAP repairs did not differ in PROMs at any point (**Table 3**).

A mean of 1.9 anchors was used for knotted repairs, and a mean of 2.1 anchors was used for knotless repairs. Mean operative time was 59.0 minutes for knotted repairs and 40.9 minutes for knotless repairs. Mean (SD) time per anchor was 36.6 (22.4) minutes for knotted repairs and 26.3 (14.0) minutes for knotless repairs ( $P = .080$ ).

### Discussion

Our hypothesis that there would be no difference in PROMs between knotted and knotless labral repairs was confirmed. Our findings are important because this study compared the gold standard of knotted suture anchor with the alternative knotless suture anchor in glenohumeral labral repair. These findings have several important implications for labral repair.

Knot tying traditionally has been used to achieve fixation with an anchor. Although simple in concept, knot tying can be challenging and its quality variable. Thal<sup>15</sup> wrote that good-quality arthroscopic suture anchor repair is difficult to achieve because satisfactory knot tying requires significant practice with certain devices designed specifically for knot tying. Multiple surgeons have noted a significant learning curve associated with knot tying, and there is no agreement on which knot is superior.<sup>22-26</sup> Leedle and Miller<sup>17</sup> even suggested

Table 2. **Knotless vs Knotted Labral Repairs: Posterior**

Score	Knotless		Knotted		P
	n	Mean	n	Mean	
<b>VAS Pain</b>					
Before surgery	130	3.4	193	3.5	.483
6 mo after surgery	77	1.2	106	0.9	.115
1 y after surgery	40	0.9	58	1.1	.730
<b>ASES</b>					
Before surgery	126	62.5	191	63.2	.767
6 mo after surgery	75	84.2	101	88.6	.022
1 y after surgery	39	89.8	56	88.6	.451
<b>VR-12 Physical Health</b>					
Before surgery	124	41.7	192	41.5	.859
6 mo after surgery	39	50.1	56	50.4	.859
1 y after surgery	39	50.1	56	50.4	.219
<b>VR-12 Mental Health</b>					
Before surgery	124	53.1	192	52.5	.779
6 mo after surgery	39	53.8	56	55.4	.382
1 y after surgery	39	53.8	56	55.4	.382

Abbreviations: ASES, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons; VAS, visual analog scale; VR-12, Veterans RAND 12-Item Health Survey.

that, because knot tying is difficult, tying knots arthroscopically can lead to knot failure. In their study, they concluded that the knot is consistently the weakest link in suture repair of an anterior labrum construct. In a controlled laboratory study, Hanypsiak and colleagues<sup>13</sup> found considerable knot-strength variability among expert arthroscopists. Only 65 (18%) of 365 knots tied fell within 20% of the mean for ultimate load failure, and only 128 (36%) of 365 fell within 20% of the mean for clinical failure (3 mm of displacement). These data suggested expert arthroscopists were unable to tie 5 consecutive knots of the same type consistently. Even among experts, it seems, knot strength varies significantly, and knot-strength issues may affect the rates of labral repair failure.

Multiple authors have also reported that bulky knots can cause chondral abrasion or that knots can migrate.<sup>25,27</sup> Rhee and Ha<sup>27</sup> reported that, when another knot (eg, a half-hitch knot) is tied to prevent knot failure, the resulting overall knot can be too bulky for a limited space, and chondral abrasion can result. In addition, regardless of size,

Table 3. **Knottless vs Knotted SLAP Labral Repairs**

Score	Knotless		Knotted		P
	n	Mean	n	Mean	
<b>VAS Pain</b>					
Before surgery	44	4.1	119	4.2	.850
6 mo after surgery	26	1.3	49	1.1	.714
1 y after surgery	25	1.1	49	1.1	.542
<b>ASES</b>					
Before surgery	117	57.8	40	60.5	.420
6 mo after surgery	25	84.2	74	81.4	.437
1 y after surgery	23	88.4	48	88.7	.457
<b>VR-12 Physical Health</b>					
Before surgery	41	39.0	116	39.5	.721
6 mo after surgery	25	47.3	74	46.3	.548
1 y after surgery	22	50.1	48	49.4	.975
<b>VR-12 Mental Health</b>					
Before surgery	41	54.0	116	52.6	.366
6 mo after surgery	25	57.1	74	55.0	.442
1 y after surgery	22	57.1	48	56.1	.945

Abbreviations: ASES, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons; SLAP, superior labrum anterior to posterior; VAS, visual analog scale; VR-12, Veterans RAND 12-Item Health Survey.

a knot can migrate and, in its new position, start rubbing against the head of the humerus. Kim and colleagues<sup>14</sup> found that, even when a knot is placed away from the humeral head, migration and repeated contact with the head are possible. Park and colleagues<sup>28</sup> found that a significant number of knotted SLAP repairs required arthroscopic knot removal for relief of knot-induced pain and clicking.

Knotless constructs have several theoretical advantages over knotted constructs. Compared with a knotted technique, a knotless technique appears to provide more predictable strength, as variability in knot tying is eliminated (unpublished data). A knotless repair also has a lower profile,<sup>8</sup> which should lead to less contact with the humeral head.<sup>19</sup> Last, a knotless repair is more efficient—it takes less time to perform. In our study, operative time was reduced by a mean of 5.3 minutes per anchor for anterior labral repair. Assuming a mean of 3 anchors, this reduction equates to 16 minutes per case. Therefore, a surgeon who performs 25 labral repairs a year can save 6.7 hours a year.

Reduced operative time benefits the patient (ie, lower risk of infection and other complications<sup>29</sup>), the surgeon, and the healthcare system (ie, cost savings). Macario<sup>30</sup> found that operating room costs averaged \$62 per minute (range, \$22-\$133 per minute). Therefore, saving 16 minutes per case could lead to saving \$992 per case. In summary, a knotless technique appears to be clinically and financially advantageous as long as its results are the same as or better than those of a knotted technique.

A few other studies have compared knotted and knotless techniques. In a cadaveric study, Slabaugh and colleagues<sup>20</sup> found no difference in labral height between traditional and knotless suture anchors. Leedle and Miller<sup>17</sup> found that knotless constructs are biomechanically stronger than knotted constructs in anterior labral repair. In a level 3 clinical study, Yang and colleagues<sup>21</sup> compared a conventional vertical knot with a knotless horizontal mattress suture in 41 patients who underwent SLAP repair. Functional outcome was no different between the 2 groups, but postoperative range of motion was improved in the knotless group. Ng and Kumar<sup>31</sup> compared 45 patients who had knotted Bankart repair with 42 patients who had knotless Bankart repair and found no difference in functional outcome or rate of recurrent dislocation. Similarly, Kocaoglu and colleagues<sup>22</sup> found no difference in recurrence rate between 18 patients who underwent a knotted technique for arthroscopic Bankart repair and 20 patients who underwent a knotless technique. Our findings corroborate the findings of these studies and further support the idea that there is no difference between knotted and knotless constructs with respect to PROMs.

### Study Limitations

The major strength of this study was its large cohort and large population of surgeons. However, there were several study limitations. First, we could not detail specific repair techniques, such as simple or horizontal mattress orientation, and rehabilitation protocols and other variables are likely as well. Second, the repair technique was not randomized, and therefore there may have been a selection bias based on tissue quality. Although we cannot prove no bias, we think it was unlikely given that the groups were similar in age. Third, our data did not include information on range of motion or recurrent instability. Our goal was simply to evaluate PROMs among multiple surgeons using

the 2 techniques. Fourth, there was substantial follow-up loss, which introduced potential selection bias. Last, there may have been conditions under which a hybrid technique with inferior knot tying, combined with a hybrid knotless construct, could have proved advantageous.

## Conclusion

Our data showed that the advantages of knotless repair are not compromised in clinical situations. Although the data showed no significant difference in clinical outcomes, knotless repairs may provide surgeons with shorter surgeries, simpler constructs, less potential for chondral damage, and more consistent suture tensioning. Additional studies may further confirm these results.

Mr. Bents, Southern Oregon Orthopedics, Medford, Oregon. Dr. Brady, Tennessee Orthopaedic Clinic, Knoxville, Tennessee. Dr. Adams, Naples Community Hospital, Naples, Florida, and Arthrex, Naples, Florida. Dr. Tokish, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, Arizona. Dr. Higgins is Chief of Sports Medicine and Shoulder Service, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. Dr. Denard, Southern Oregon Orthopedics, Medford, Oregon.

Address correspondence to: Patrick J. Denard, MD, Southern Oregon Orthopedics, 2780 E Barnett Rd, Suite 200, Medford, OR 97504 (tel, 541-779-6250; email, pjenard@gmail.com).

*Am J Orthop.* 2017;46(6):279-283. Copyright Frontline Medical Communications Inc. 2017. All rights reserved.

## References

1. Levy DM, Cole BJ, Bach BR Jr. History of surgical intervention of anterior shoulder instability. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2016;25(6):e139-e150.
2. Gill TJ, Zarins B. Open repairs for the treatment of anterior shoulder instability. *Am J Sports Med.* 2003;31(1):142-153.
3. Millett PJ, Clavert P, Warner JJ. Open operative treatment for anterior shoulder instability: when and why? *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2005;87(2):419-432.
4. Stein DA, Jazrawi L, Bartolozzi AR. Arthroscopic stabilization of anterior shoulder instability: a review of the literature. *Arthroscopy.* 2002;18(8):912-924.
5. Kim SH, Ha KI, Kim SH. Bankart repair in traumatic anterior shoulder instability: open versus arthroscopic technique. *Arthroscopy.* 2002;18(7):755-763.
6. Snyder SJ, Karzel RP, Del Pizzo W, Ferkel RD, Friedman MJ. SLAP lesions of the shoulder. *Arthroscopy.* 1990;6(4):274-279.
7. Hantes M, Raoulis V. Arthroscopic findings in anterior shoulder instability. *Open Orthop J.* 2017;11:119-132.
8. Sileo MJ, Lee SJ, Kremenic IJ, et al. Biomechanical comparison of a knotless suture anchor with standard suture anchor in the repair of type II SLAP tears. *Arthroscopy.* 2009;25(4):348-354.
9. Iqbal S, Jacobs U, Akhtar A, Macfarlane RJ, Waseem M. A history of shoulder surgery. *Open Orthop J.* 2013;7:305-309.
10. Garofalo R, Mocci A, Moretti B, et al. Arthroscopic treatment of anterior shoulder instability using knotless suture anchors. *Arthroscopy.* 2005;21(11):1283-1289.
11. Kersten AD, Fabing M, Ensminger S, et al. Suture capsulorrhaphy versus capsulolabral advancement for shoulder instability. *Arthroscopy.* 2012;28(10):1344-1351.
12. Cole BJ, Warner JJ. Arthroscopic versus open Bankart repair for traumatic anterior shoulder instability. *Clin Sports Med.* 2000;19(1):19-48.
13. Hanytsiak BT, DeLong JM, Simmons L, Lowe W, Burkhart S. Knot strength varies widely among expert arthroscopists. *Am J Sports Med.* 2014;42(8):1978-1984.
14. Kim SH, Ha KI, Park JH, et al. Arthroscopic posterior labral repair and capsular shift for traumatic unidirectional recurrent posterior subluxation of the shoulder. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003;85(8):1479-1487.
15. Thal R. Knotless suture anchor. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2001;(390):42-51.
16. Loutzenheiser TD, Harryman DT 2nd, Yung SW, France MP, Sidles JA. Optimizing arthroscopic knots. *Arthroscopy.* 1995;11(2):199-206.
17. Leedle BP, Miller MD. Pullout strength of knotless suture anchors. *Arthroscopy.* 2005;21(1):81-85.
18. Caldwell PE 3rd, Pearson SE, D'Angelo MS. Arthroscopic knotless repair of the posterior labrum using LabralTape. *Arthrosc Tech.* 2016;5(2):e315-e320.
19. Tennent D, Concina C, Pearse E. Arthroscopic posterior stabilization of the shoulder using a percutaneous knotless mattress suture technique. *Arthrosc Tech.* 2014;3(1):e161-e164.
20. Slabaugh MA, Friel NA, Wang VM, Cole BJ. Restoring the labral height for treatment of Bankart lesions: a comparison of suture anchor constructs. *Arthroscopy.* 2010;26(5):587-591.
21. Yang HJ, Yoon K, Jin H, Song HS. Clinical outcome of arthroscopic SLAP repair: conventional vertical knot versus knotless horizontal mattress sutures. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2016;24(2):464-469.
22. Kocaoglu B, Guven O, Nalbantoglu U, Aydin N, Haklar U. No difference between knotless sutures and suture anchors in arthroscopic repair of Bankart lesions in collision athletes. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2009;17(7):844-849.
23. Aboalata M, Halawa A, Basyoni Y. The double Bankart bridge: a technique for restoration of the labral footprint in arthroscopic shoulder instability repair. *Arthrosc Tech.* 2017;6(1):e43-e47.
24. Rhee SM, Kang SY, Jang EC, Kim JY, Ha YC. Clinical outcomes after arthroscopic acetabular labral repair using knot-tying or knotless suture technique. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2016;136(10):1411-1416.
25. Oh JH, Lee HK, Kim JY, Kim SH, Gong HS. Clinical and radiologic outcomes of arthroscopic glenoid labrum repair with the BioKnotless suture anchor. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009;37(12):2340-2348.
26. Yian E, Wang C, Millett PJ, Warner JJ. Arthroscopic repair of SLAP lesions with a BioKnotless suture anchor. *Arthroscopy.* 2004;20(5):547-551.
27. Rhee YG, Ha JH. Knot-induced glenoid erosion after arthroscopic fixation for unstable superior labrum anterior-posterior lesion: case report. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2006;15(3):391-393.
28. Park JG, Cho NS, Kim JY, Song JH, Hong SJ, Rhee YG. Arthroscopic knot removal for failed superior labrum anterior-posterior repair secondary to knot-induced pain. *Am J Sports Med.* 2017;45(11):2563-2568.
29. Wang DS. Re: how slow is too slow? Correlation of operative time to complications: an analysis from the Tennessee Surgical Quality Collaborative. *J Urol.* 2016;195(5):1510-1511.
30. Macario A. What does one minute of operating room time cost? *J Clin Anesth.* 2010;22(4):233-236.
31. Ng DZ, Kumar VP. Arthroscopic Bankart repair using knot-tying versus knotless suture anchors: is there a difference? *Arthroscopy.* 2014;30(4):422-427.