

## What readers are saying about *Current Psychiatry*

### Plaudits for a useful, easy-to-read journal

▶ Thank you for providing a concise nuts-and-bolts presentation for general psychiatry.

To be sure, there are many journals currently in circulation that provide in-depth studies and analysis on various psychiatric issues. However, as you have indicated, the field has needed some type of additional avenue for providing concise discussion.

Your format is well laid out with an excellent mix of easy-to-read tables, figures, and brief set-aside notes. While these are often found in some of the often-received “throw away” newsletters, the journal format certainly is a welcome addition that can be much more easily stored for future reference.

Future access to *Current Psychiatry* on CD-ROM or DVD would certainly be an additional jewel. Best of luck in coming issues.

*Richard C. Flanigin, MD  
Little Rock, Ark*

Editor's note: Watch for our Web site, [www.currentpsychiatry.com](http://www.currentpsychiatry.com), which will debut later this year.

▶ Wonderful publication! A great start for a journal with a great premise.

*Martin Wetzsel, MD  
Omaha, Neb*

▶ I just finished reading *Current Psychiatry*. What a great journal. I found it interesting and enjoyable, but most of all, useful. I was not lost in research, but was given facts that are relevant to my practice. I am looking forward to receiving this journal. Thank you.

*James T. E. Chengelis, MD  
Boston, Mass*

▶ For the past several years I have been casually looking for a journal that each year would review what was new and important in as many germane areas as possible.

For instance, as a child and adolescent psychiatrist, I think it would be nice if someone would put together a review of developments in the major diagnostic categories for that year, making sense of what was published in the many journals on each subject.

If this is something that your journal will be doing, I can't wait. If not, do you have any ideas or would you be interested in this possibility?

*Dale R. Richards, DO  
Columbus, Ohio*

Thanks for your suggestion. Watch for our special year-end look at advances in psychiatry in our December issue.

▶ I received my initial copy of *Current Psychiatry* and I enjoyed its content and format very much. I showed it to some research colleagues and they preferred the writing much more than that found in the journals they usually receive.

*Benjamin Yu, MD  
Department of Psychiatry  
University of California, Irvine*

### Picking up on the fine print

▶ NICE new publication! Handy, direct, to the point. Your listing of brand and generic names after articles is extremely helpful.

However, I noticed a minor error in one of your articles: The brand name for tiagabine is Gabitril, not Gabatril.

*Donald Banzhaf, MD  
Rochester, NY*

Good catch! We appreciate your vigilance.

▶ Thank you for your thoughtful selection of topics and authors for the initial (January) issue.

However, I'm curious why such a small print font was chosen for the entire journal. For my 50-something eyes, every article quickly became physically difficult to read, making me give up on the attempt after a few pages.

Is there any chance this could be remedied in future issues?

*Charles Berlin MD  
Pittsburgh, Pa*

Thanks. We value input from our readers.

If you agree or disagree with Dr. Berlin, or have other suggestions on how we may serve you better, drop us a line at: *Current Psychiatry*, Dowden Health Media, 110 Summit Ave., Montvale, NJ 07645, or e-mail your letter to [pete.kelly@dowdenhealth.com](mailto:pete.kelly@dowdenhealth.com).