AAOS Journal Editors Speak With One Voice

Peter D. McCann, MD

n 2007 the AAOS established Standards of Professionalism (SOPs) on Orthopaedist-Industry Conflicts of Interest. In an effort to educate the orthopedic community, the editors of numerous US orthopedic journals agreed to jointly draft an editorial in support of these new SOPs and to encourage the Academy membership to become familiar with them.

I have had a particular interest in this subject for some time, as I shared with AJO readers in my March 2006 editorial, "Are Surgeons Accepting Bribes?" In that editorial I argued that surgeon-industry relationships are essential to the development of new implants that benefit patients and that surgeons' profiting from innovative thought and effort is totally appropriate, provided that such partnerships are transparent and comply with legal and ethical standards. We now have clear guidelines with the publishing of the AAOS SOPs. Following is the joint editorial signed by the editors of numerous US orthopedic journals.



"We now have clear guidelines [for surgeon-industry relationships] with the publishing of the AAOS SOPs."

PATIENT CARE, PROFESSIONALISM, AND RELATIONS WITH INDUSTRY

Patient care is the primary focus of the orthopaedic profession. The enhancement of patient care has required and will continue to require orthopaedic surgeons to collaborate productively with industry to develop new medical technology and techniques that improve patient care. The relationship between orthopaedic surgeons and industry is of critical importance to the shared ultimate goal of improving patient care. Orthopaedic surgeons are well qualified to provide innovative ideas and feedback to industry, conduct research trials, serve on scientific advisory boards, and serve as faculty to

Dr. McCann is Chair, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery at Beth Israel Medical Center, New York, New York.

Am J Orthop. 2007;37(1):11-13. Copyright, Quadrant HealthCom Inc., 2008. All rights reserved.

teach the uses of new technology. The relationship between orthopaedic surgeons and industry is important and necessary, but it must be carefully scrutinized to avoid the pitfalls of real or perceived conflicts of interest that could ultimately affect patient care.

In late September, four orthopaedic manufacturing companies entered into Deferred Prosecution Agreements, agreeing to pay civil settlements amounting to a total of \$311 million. In addition, one orthopaedic company entered into a Non-Prosecution Agreement. All will be subject to oversight by a federal monitor appointed by the U.S. Department of Justice for 18 months. The companies did not admit any wrongdoing, plead guilty to any criminal charges or pay any criminal fines as part of the settlement. The federal government, through the U.S. Attorney's Office in New Jersey, has agreed not to pursue any criminal charges against the companies if they comply with the Agreements. Clearly, a spotlight has been shone on companies and orthopaedic surgeons alike.

Earlier in the year, the Fellowship of the American Association of Surgeons Orthopaedic (AAOS) adopted Standards of Professionalism on Orthopaedist-Industry Conflicts of Interest (Industry SOPs). Of those Fellows voting (5,242), approximately 96% voted to adopt these SOPs. The Industry SOPs establish mandatory, minimum levels of acceptable conduct for Fellows and Members of AAOS who engage in relationships with industry. They focus on how orthopaedic surgeons serve the best interests of the patient and the profession while participating in academic or commercial ventures. There are 17 standards* relating to industry; it is in every surgeon's best interest to be familiar with all 17. The Industry SOPs address such topics as consulting agreements, industry-sponsored events, inappropriate financial arrangements, CME courses and gifts from industry. Each of these standards addresses real or perceived potential to influence decisions about patient care and to do so in a way that is not in the best interests of the patient or may ultimately increase risks for or even cause injury to the patient.

The Industry SOPs emphasize the patient-physician relationship. Mandatory standards call for orthopaedic surgeons to tell patients about their relationships with industry that create real or perceived conflicts of interest and to resolve these conflicts in the best interest of the patient. Some orthopaedic surgeons may find that the Industry SOPs validate their existing practices. Other orthopaedic surgeons may wish to revise how their practices address possible conflicts.

AAOS will begin enforcing these SOPs for acts occurring on or after January 1, 2008. As with the other SOPs, allegations that a Fellow has violated the Industry SOPs may result in a formal grievance under the AAOS Professional Compliance Program. Orthopaedic surgeons found in violation of the SOPs may be censured, suspended or expelled from AAOS.

We encourage each of you to become familiar with the SOPs on Orthopaedist-Industry Conflicts of Interest and to discuss them with your colleagues. Avoiding the pitfalls of real or perceived conflicts of interest with industry enhances your personal professionalism. Maintaining productive relationships with industry that advance patient care enhances the entire orthopaedic profession.

[Editor's note: The editors of numerous journals in orthopaedics are running this or a similar editorial this month. As a group we feel the issue of transparency with patients about orthopaedic surgeons' relationships with industry is complex and important, and every orthopaedic surgeon should be familiar with the new Industry SOPs of the American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons. The Industry SOPs may be found at: http://www3. aaos.org/industryrelationships.]

* Mandatory standards [excerpted from the complete Standards of Professionalism (SOPs) on Orthopaedist-Industry Conflicts of Interest]:

1. An orthopaedic surgeon shall, while caring for and treating a patient, regard his or her responsibility to the patient as paramount.

2. An orthopaedic surgeon shall prescribe drugs, devices, and other treatments primarily on the basis of medical considerations and patient needs, regardless of any direct or indirect interests in or benefit from industry.

3. An orthopaedic surgeon convicted of violating federal or state conflict of interest laws or regulations shall be subject to discipline under the AAOS Professional Compliance Program.

4. An orthopaedic surgeon shall, when treating a patient, resolve conflicts of interest in accordance with the best interest of the patient, respecting a patient's autonomy to make health care decisions.

5. An orthopaedic surgeon shall notify the patient of his or her intention to withdraw from the patient-physician relationship, in a manner consistent with state law, if a conflict of interest cannot be resolved in the best interest of the patient.

6. An orthopaedic surgeon shall decline subsidies or other financial support from industry, except that an orthopaedic surgeon may accept gifts having a fair market value of less than \$100, medical textbooks, or patient educational materials.

7. An orthopaedic surgeon who has influence in selecting a particular product or service for an entity shall disclose any relationship with industry to colleagues, the institution and other affected entities.

8. An orthopaedic surgeon shall disclose to the patient any financial arrangements with industry that relate to the patient's treatment, including the receipt of inventor royalties, stock options or paid consulting arrangements with industry.

9. An orthopaedic surgeon shall accept no direct financial inducements from industry for utilizing a particular implant or for switching from one manufacturer's product to another.

10. An orthopaedic surgeon shall enter into consulting agreements with industry only when such arrangements are established in advance and in writing to include evidence of the following:

•Documentation of an actual need for the service;

•Proof that the service was provided;

•Evidence that physician reimbursement for consulting services is consistent with fair market value; and

•Not based on the volume or value of business he or she generates.

11. An orthopaedic surgeon shall participate in or consult at only those meetings that are conducted in clinical, educational, or conference settings conducive to the effective exchange of information.

12. An orthopaedic surgeon shall accept no financial support from industry to attend industry-related social functions where there is no educational element.

13. An orthopaedic surgeon who is attending a CME event shall accept no industry financial support for attendance at a CME event. Residents and orthopaedists-in-training may accept an industry grant to attend a CME event if they are selected by their training institution or CME sponsor and the payment is made by the training program or CME sponsor. Bona fide faculty members at a CME event may accept industry-supported reasonable honoraria, travel expenses, lodging and meals from the conference sponsors.

14. An orthopaedic surgeon, when attending an industry-sponsored non-

Editorial

CME educational event, shall accept only tuition, travel and modest hospitality, including meals and receptions; the time and focus of the event must be for education or training.

15. An orthopaedic surgeon, when attending an industry-sponsored non-CME educational event, shall accept no financial support for meals, hospitality, travel, or other expenses for his or her guests or for any other person who does not have a bona fide professional interest in the information being shared at the meeting.

16. An orthopaedic surgeon, when reporting on clinical research or experience with a given procedure or device, shall disclose any financial interest in that procedure or device if he or she or any institution with which he or she is connected has received anything of value from its inventor or manufacturer.

17. An orthopaedic surgeon who is the principal investigator shall make his or her best efforts to ensure at the completion of the study that relevant research results are reported and reported truthfully and honestly with no bias or influence from funding sources, regardless of positive or negative findings.

Journal Editors

Richard Brand Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research

Joseph A. Buckwalter, MD Timothy M. Wright, MD Journal of Orthopaedic Research

S. Terry Canale, MD AAOS Now

William P. Cooney III, MD Journal of American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

Robert D'Ambrosia, MD Orthopedics

Frank J. Frassica, MD Orthopedics Hyperguide

William A. Grana, MD, MPH Orthopaedic Knowledge Online James D. Heckman, MD Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, American Volume

Robert N. Hensinger, MD George H. Thompson, MD Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics

L. Andrew Koman, MD Journal of Surgical Orthopaedic Advances

Peter D. McCann, MD American Journal of Orthopedics

Robert J. Neviaser, MD Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery

Gary G. Poehling, MD James H. Lubowitz, MD *Arthroscopy*

David Thordarson, MD Foot and Ankle International