CLINICAL INQUIRIES

Q/What treatment is best for hypertrophic scars and keloids?

EVIDENCE-BASED ANSWER

A NO ONE TREATMENT IS BEST (strength of recommendation [SOR]: C, meta-analysis of heterogenous studies); no good evidence exists comparing treatments with each other.

Triamcinolone injections, triamcino-

lone injections combined with excision, and cryotherapy all improve hypertrophic and keloid scars (SOR: **C**, case series studies).

Silicone gel products have weak evidence of efficacy (SOR: C, Cochrane review with no clear recommendation).

Evidence summary

The TABLE summarizes the evidence for the best-studied treatments.¹⁻⁵ A systematic review of 396 studies, 36 of which were included in an accompanying meta-analysis, concluded that, overall, any treatment gave patients a 70% (95% confidence interval [CI], 49%-91%) chance of improvement.⁶ The mean improvement in scarappearance or symptoms was 60% for all the studies combined (no CI reported).

The review found no statistically significant difference between outcomes of 27 different treatments or combinations of treatments. The authors concluded that no optimal evidence-based therapy exists and recommended choosing treatment based on cost and adverse effect profile.⁶

Many studies have limitations

Studies often don't distinguish between hypertrophic and keloid scars, although much evidence supports important differences in their natural histories and response to therapy. Hypertrophic scars may resolve spontaneously, can improve with surgical revision, and are less likely to recur.

Moreover, many studies looked only at initial response, although good initial response to therapy doesn't translate into a low recurrence rate, particularly for keloid scars. Studies were also flawed by lack of controls, nonvalidated outcome measures, and small size.

No available evidence supports using over-the-counter products such as Mederma and other creams, gels, and oils, to treat scars.

Recommendations

The American Academy of Dermatology does not make any recommendations about hypertrophic or keloid scars.

The International Clinical Recommendations on Scar Management (written for the International Advisory Panel on Scar Management) recommend silicone gel sheeting and intralesional corticosteroids as first-line therapy, based on a systematic review of the clinical literature. For secondary management, the authors accepted localized pressure therapy, specific wavelength laser therapy, and surgical revision with adjuvant silicone gel therapy as standard practice based on expert opinion. They conclude that many standard practices and emerging therapies need to be studied in welldesigned trials before being conclusively recommended.8 **JFP**

CONTINUED

Carol C. Williams, MD

University of Illinois at Chicago, College of Medicine at Peoria, Gibson City

Sandy De Groote, MLIS

University of Illinois at Chicago, University Library

ASSISTANT EDITOR

Richard Guthmann, MD, MPH

University of Illinois at Chicago, Advocate Illinois Masonic Family Medicine Residency

TABLE

What the evidence tells us about these scar treatments

Treatment	Study design	Number of scars treated	Inclusion/ exclusion criteria	Results	Comment
Triamcinolone injections ¹	Case-control	195	None	>90% of scars showed moderate to marked improvement in 3 wk	Only study with control group; no controls showed improvement
Triamcinolone injections plus excision ²	Case series	58	None	100% of patients were symptom-free in 5 wk	No recurrences in 91.9% of keloids and 95.2% of hypertrophic scars at a mean follow-up of 30.5 mo
Cryotherapy study 1 ³	Case series	119	Only fair- skinned patients	61.3% of patients had good to excellent results; most patients needed ≥3 treatments. Hypertrophic scars responded better than keloids	Side effect of hypopigmentation limits use of this therapy in dark-skinned patients Lesions <2 y responded better than older scars (P<.5); no recurrences were noted
Cryotherapy study 2 ⁴	Case series	65	None	Complete flattening in 73% of scars; improvement in 17%	All lesions that responded showed hypopigmentation that persisted in mean 31-mo follow-up 6 lesions didn't respond; all had been present >2 y
Silicone gel products ⁵	Cochrane review	NA	NA	Weak evidence of reduction in scar thickness and color	Poor-quality studies, highly susceptible to bias

NA, not applicable.

References

- Ketchum LD, Smith J, Robinson DW, et al. The treatment of hypertrophic scar, keloid and scar contracture by triamcinolone acetonide. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 1966;38:209-218.
- Chowdri NA, Mattoo M, Mattoo A, et al. Keloids and hypertrophic scars: results with intralesional and serial postoperative corticosteroid injection therapy. Aust NZJ Surg. 1999;69:655-659.
- Zouboulis CC, Blume U, Büttner P, et al. Outcomes of cryosurgery in keloids and hypertrophic scars. A prospective consecutive trial of case series. Arch Dermatol. 1993;129:1146-1151.
- 4. Rusciani L, Rossi G, Bono R. Use of cryotherapy in the treatment of keloids. *J Dermatol Surg Oncol*. 1993;19:529-534.
- O'Brien L, Pandit A. Silicon gel sheeting for preventing and treating hypertrophic and keloid scars. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;(1):CD003826.
- Leventhal D, Furr M, Reiter D. Treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars: a meta-analysis and review of the literature. Arch Facial Plast Surg. 2006;8:362-368.
- 7. English R, Shenefelt P. Keloids and hypertrophic scars. *Dermatol Surg.* 1999;25:631-638.
- Mustoe TA, Cooter RD, Gold MH, et al. International clinical recommendations on scar management. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2002;110:560-571.



Scan this QR code to access the mobile version of MedOpportunities.com Search for jobs online at

MedOpportunities.com

Where Medical Practitioners and Career Opportunities Meet!