

Dustin K. Smith, DO; Theodore Demetriou, DO; Christopher Weber, MD Naval Branch Clinic Diego Garcia (Dr. Smith); Penn State University Family and Community Medicine Residency Program (Dr. Demetriou); Naval Hospital Okinawa (Dr. Weber).

### dustinksmith@yahoo.

The authors reported no potential conflict of interest relevant to this article.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, or the United States government.

## Aspirin for primary prevention: USPSTF recommendations for CVD and colorectal cancer

Patient age, baseline cardiovascular disease risk, bleeding risk, and personal preference regarding aspirin use are key to decision making. A clinical decision tool can help.

#### PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS

Consider aspirin for patients 50 to 59 years of age who have a 10-year cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk of ≥ 10% and low bleeding risk.  $\bigcirc$ 

> Discuss prophylactic aspirin (using a shared decisionmaking model) with patients 60 to 69 years of age who have a 10-year CVD risk of ≥ 10% and low bleeding risk.  $\bigcirc$ 

Avoid using aspirin for primary prevention in patients ≥ 70 years of age.

#### Strength of recommendation (SOR)

- (A) Good-quality patient-oriented evidence
- B Inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence
- C Consensus, usual practice, opinion, disease-oriented evidence, case series

Which patients are likely to benefit from using aspirin for primary prevention? In this article, we review the evidence to date, summarized for primary care settings in guidelines issued by the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). We supplement this summary with a rundown of the risks associated with aspirin use. And then we wrap up by identifying a clinical decision tool that is available to help make personalized decisions in a busy clinic setting, where determining an individual's potential cardiovascular benefits and bleeding risk can be challenging.

■ The "roadmap" from the guidelines. In 2014, after performing a review of the literature, the US Food and Drug Administration recommended against the routine use of aspirin for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD).<sup>1</sup> In 2016, the USPSTF published 4 separate systematic reviews along with a decision analysis using a microsimulation model, which informed their position statement on aspirin for primary prevention.<sup>2-6</sup> These USPSTF reviews and recommendations incorporated both CVD and colorectal cancer (CRC) benefits with the bleeding risks from aspirin. Generally, for individuals 50 to 59 years old, the benefits are deemed to outweigh the harms; shared decision making is advised with those 60 to 69 years of age. For patients younger than 50 or 70 and older, evidence is inconclusive.

#### The benefits of primary prevention with aspirin Cardiovascular disease

The Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration was one of the first meta-analyses that addressed the benefit-to-harm balance and called into question the routine use of aspirin for primary prevention.<sup>7</sup> The USPSTF systematic review included the studies from the ATT Collaboration as well as trials performed after its publication, bringing the total number of eligible randomized controlled trials reviewed to 11.<sup>2</sup>

The benefit of aspirin for primary prevention of nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) has been shown in multiple randomized controlled trials. The USPSTF systematic review showed a statistically significant relative risk reduction of 17% in patients taking low-dose aspirin ( $\leq 100$  mg; relative risk [RR] = 0.83; confidence interval [95% CI], 0.74-0.94), although the heterogeneity of the studies was high. The same low dose of aspirin showed a statistically significant reduction in nonfatal stroke (RR = 0.86; 95% CI, 0.76-0.98), although the same benefit was not observed when all doses of aspirin were included. Cardiovascular disease mortality and all-cause mortality were not statistically different for patients taking low-dose aspirin when compared with placebo (RR = 0.97; 95% CI, 0.85-1.10 for CVD mortality; RR = 0.95; 95% CI, 0.89-1.01 for all-cause mortality).<sup>2</sup>

One study of more than 14,000 older ( $\geq$  60 years) Japanese patients showed a statistically significant reduction in nonfatal MI (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.53; 95% CI, 0.31-0.91, *P* = .02) and nonfatal strokes (HR = 0.57; 95% CI, 0.32-0.99; *P* = .04). The study was stopped early because at 5 years of follow-up there was no statistically significant difference in a composite primary outcome, which included death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI, and nonfatal stroke (HR = 0.94; 95% CI, 0.77-1.15; *P* = .54).<sup>8</sup>

Several recent landmark studies have called into question the benefit of aspirin for cardiovascular primary prevention, especially in obese individuals, patients with diabetes, and the elderly. A meta-analysis of 10 trials showed that the effectiveness of aspirin doses between 75 mg and 100 mg for primary prevention decreased as weight increased; patients weighing 70 kg or more received no benefit.9 The ASCEND (A Study of Cardiovascular Events in Diabetes) trial included more than 15,000 patients with diabetes but no cardiovascular disease. Patients randomized to receive the low-dose aspirin did have fewer serious vascular events (incidence rate ratio [IRR] = 0.88; 95% CI, 0.790.97; P = .01), but they also had high risk of major bleeding events (IRR = 1.29; 95% CI, 1.09-1.52; P = .003).<sup>10</sup> The ASPREE (Aspirin in Reducing Events in the Elderly) trial included more than 19,000 patients ages 70 years and older with no cardiovascular disease and compared low-dose aspirin to placebo. There was no statistically significant cardiovascular benefit, although there was an increase of major hemorrhage (HR = 1.38; 95% CI, 1.18-1.62; P < .001).<sup>11</sup> The ARRIVE (A Randomized Trial of Induction Versus Expectant Management) trial included 12,546 moderate atherosclerotic CVD (ASCVD) risk patients. Although a per-protocol analysis showed a decrease in rates of fatal and nonfatal MI (HR = 0.53; 95% CI, 0.36-0.79; *P* = .0014), the more reliable intention-to-treat analysis showed no improvement for any outcomes.12

#### **Colorectal cancer**

The literature base on prevention of cancer has been growing rapidly. However, the deluge of findings over the past 2 decades of trials and analyses has also introduced ambiguity and, often, conflicting results. The first journal article suggesting aspirin for primary prevention of cancer, published in 1988, was a case-control study wherein a population with CRC was matched to controls to look for potential protective factors.<sup>13</sup> The most notable finding was the CRC risk reduction for those taking aspirin or aspirin-containing medications. Since then numerous studies and analyses have explored aspirin's potential in primary prevention of many types of cancer, with overall unclear findings as denoted in the 2016 USPSTF systemic reviews and recommendations.

One major limiting factor is that most data come from CVD prevention trials, and only a limited number of trials have focused specifically on cancer prevention. For the USPSTF, these data showed no statistically significant risk reduction in overall cancer mortality (RR = 0.96; 95% CI, 0.87-1.06) or in total cancer incidence (RR = 0.98; 95% CI, 0.93-1.04).<sup>4</sup> Other ongoing trials may yield more definitive data.<sup>14</sup>

The particular interest in CRC was due to it being the first cancer found to be preventable with aspirin therapy. The USPSTF, while

#### Preventive benefits of aspirin outweigh risks for those 50-59 years of age who have a 10-year cardiovascular disease risk of $\geq$ 10%.

acknowledging the homogeneous nature of supporting studies, noted that their significant number and resulting evidence made CRC the only cancer warranting evaluation. Population studies have now shown more benefit than the few randomized control trials. The Women's Health Study and the Physicians' Health Study were both limited by their duration. But such studies conducted over a longer period revealed notable benefits in the second decade of use, with a statistically significant lower CRC incidence (RR = 0.60; 95% CI, 0.47-0.76). Additionally, CRC mortality at 20 years was decreased in patients taking aspirin regularly (RR = 0.67; 95% CI, 0.52-0.86).<sup>4</sup> Multiple studies are in progress to better establish aspirin's CRC benefit.

While not directly applicable to the general population, use of aspirin for patients with Lynch syndrome to prevent CRC has strong supporting evidence.<sup>15</sup> Beyond CRC, there is nascent evidence from limited observational studies that aspirin may have a preventive effect on melanoma and ovarian and pancreatic cancers.<sup>16-18</sup> Further studies or compilations of data would be needed to draw more significant conclusions on other types of cancers. Larger studies would prove more difficult to do, given the smaller incidences of these cancers.

Interestingly, a recent study showed that for individuals 70 years and older, aspirin might increase the risk for all-cause mortality, primarily due to increased cancer mortality across all types.<sup>19</sup> Although this result was unexpected, caution should be used when prescribing aspirin particularly for patients 70 or older with active cancer.

## A look at the harms associated with aspirin use

Aspirin has long been known to cause clinically significant bleeding. Aspirin inhibits plateletderived cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1), a potent vasoconstrictor, and thereby decreases platelet aggregation, reducing thromboembolic potential and prolonging bleeding time. These effects can confer health benefits but also carry the potential for risks. A decision to initiate aspirin therapy for primary prevention relies on an understanding of the benefit-to-harm balance. Initial aspirin studies did not show a statistically significant increase in bleeding, likely due to too few events and inadequate powering. Subsequent meta-analyses from multiple evaluations have consistently shown bleeding to be a risk.<sup>3,7</sup> The risk for bleeding with aspirin has also been examined in multiple cohort studies, which has helped elucidate the risk in greater detail.

#### **Gastrointestinal bleeding**

Epidemiologic data show that among patients who do not use nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), the rate of upper gastrointestinal (GI) complications is 1 case per 1000 patient-years.<sup>20</sup> Multiple studies have consistently shown that aspirin use increases the rate of significant upper GI bleeding over baseline risk (odds ratio [OR] = 1.54-1.58).<sup>3,21,22</sup> Interestingly, these increases seem not to be influenced by other factors, such as comorbidities that increase the risk for ASCVD. Analysis of cancer prevention studies showed similar epidemiologic trends, with aspirin use exceeding a baseline bleeding risk of 0.7 cases of upper GI complications per 1000 patient-years (OR = 1.31-1.73).23

**I** Other risk factors. Evaluation of risk factors for bleeding primarily comes from 2 studies.<sup>3,7</sup> Most data concern the impact of individual factors on significant GI bleeding, with fewer data available for evaluating risk for intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). Initial analysis of individual prospective studies showed little or no correlation between risk for bleeding and such factors as gender, age, or history of hypertension or ASCVD.<sup>21</sup> Subsequent analysis of meta-data and large cohorts did show statistically significant impact on rates of bleeding across several factors (TABLE 1<sup>3,7</sup>).

Of note is a large heterogeneous cohort study conducted in Spain. Data showed significant increases in baseline risk for GI bleeding in older men with a history of GI bleeding and NSAID use. The absolute risk for GI bleed in this group was potentially as high as 150 cases per 1000 patient-years, well above the risk level assumed for the average patient.<sup>24</sup> A seemingly small OR of 1.5 could dramatically increase the absolute risk for bleeding in such patients, and it suggests

### 

#### Has your prescribing of low-dose aspirin changed in light of the latest guidelines from the USPSTF?

- Yes, I prescribe it more frequently
  Yes, I prescribe it
- less frequently
- No, it hasn't changed much

#### mdedge.com/ familymedicine

# TABLE 1Baseline risk factors and rate ratiosfor major GI or extracranial bleeding3,7

	ATT Collaboration
Risk factors	Rate ratio (95% CI)
Age	2.15 (1.93-2.39)
Male sex	1.99 (1.45-2.73)
Diabetes mellitus	1.55 (1.13-2.14)
Smoking	1.56 (1.25-1.94)
Mean BP, per 20 mm Hg	1.32 (1.09-1.58)
BMI, per 5 kg/m²	1.24 (1.13-1.35)

ATT, Antithrombotic Trialists; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; GI, gastrointestinal.

that a generalized risk for bleeding probably shouldn't be applied to all patients. Individuals may be better served by a baseline risk calculation reflecting multiple factors.

#### Intracerebral hemorrhage

Due to the comparatively uncommon nature of ICH, fewer data are available to support definitive conclusions about its increased risk with aspirin use. Aspirin use appeared to increase the risk for ICH with ratios between 1.27 and 1.32 in meta-analyses (measured as an OR or as an RR),<sup>3,7,21</sup> with an IRR of 1.54 in a cohort study.<sup>22</sup> The only statistically significant factors suspected to increase the risk of ICH at baseline were smoking (RR = 2.18) and mean BP > 20 mm Hg over normal (OR = 2.18). Age, gender, and diabetes all showed a nonsignificant trend toward risk increase.<sup>7</sup>

#### Risk based on dose and formulation

The effect of aspirin dose and formulation on bleeding risk is uncertain. Some studies have shown an increased risk for bleeding with daily doses of aspirin  $\geq$  300 mg, while others have shown no significant increase in rates for bleeding with differing doses.<sup>21,25</sup> Enteric coating does appear to lower the rates of gastric mucosal injury, although there are few data on the effect toward reducing clinically significant bleeding.<sup>26</sup> Currently, several prospective studies are underway to help clarify the evidence.<sup>27</sup>

#### **Putting it all together**

For the general population, the evidence shows that the benefits and harms of aspirin for primary prevention are relatively even. The USPSTF guidelines are the first to recommend aspirin for both CVD and cancer prevention while taking into account the bleeding risk. According to the findings of the USPSTF, the balance of benefits and harms of aspirin use is contingent on 4 factors: age, baseline CVD risk, risk for bleeding, and preferences about taking aspirin.<sup>6</sup> The complete recommendations from the USPSTF, along with other leading organizations, are outlined in TABLE 2.<sup>6,28-31</sup>

Applying the evidence and varying guidelines in practice can feel daunting. Some practical tools have been developed to help clinicians understand patients' bleeding risk and potential benefits with aspirin use. One such tool is highlighted below. Others are also available, and each has its own strengths and weaknesses.

CONTINUED

#### Enteric coating on aspirin does appear to lower the rates of gastric mucosal injury.

# TABLE 2Summary of guideline recommendations on use of low-dose aspirinfor primary prevention

Organization	Year	Recommendation
USPSTF <sup>6</sup>	2016	In adults ages 50-59 y, initiate low-dose aspirin to prevent CVD (if 10-y risk is $\geq$ 10%) or to prevent CRC.
		In adults ages 60-69 y, use shared decision making to initiate low-dose aspirin to prevent CVD (if 10-y risk is $\ge$ 10%) or to prevent CRC.
		Evidence is insufficient to recommend aspirin in patients < 50 or $\ge$ 70 y of age.
European Society of Cardiology <sup>28</sup>	2016	Antiplatelet therapy is not recommended for individuals without CVD due to increased risk for major bleeding.
American Diabetes Association <sup>29</sup>	2017	Consider aspirin for patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes who are at increased CVD risk (most men and women $\ge$ 50 y of age who have at least 1 additional major risk factor) and not at increased risk for bleeding.
American College of Chest Physicians <sup>30</sup>	2012	Recommend low-dose aspirin for patients > 50 y of age without symptomatic CVD.
ACC/AHA <sup>31</sup>	2019	Consider low-dose aspirin in patients 40-70 y of age who have a high ASCVD risk and are not at increased risk for bleeding.
		Aspirin is not recommended for patients > 70 y of age.
		Avoid aspirin in patients with increased risk for bleeding.

ACC, American College of Cardiology; AHA, American Heart Association; ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CRC, colorectal cancer; CVD, cardiovascular disease; USPSTF, US Preventive Services Task Force.

Aspirin-Guide (www.aspiringuide.com) is a Web-based clinical decision support tool with an associated mobile application. It uses internal calculators (including the pooled cohort calculator prepared jointly by the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association) to assess CVD risk as well as bleeding risk. This tool gives clinicians patient-specific numbers-needed-to-treat and numbers-needed-to-harm when considering starting aspirin for primary prevention. It gives specific recommendations for aspirin use based on the data entered, and it also gives providers information to help guide shared decision-making with patients.32 Unfortunately, this decision support tool and others do not take into account the data from the most recent trials, so they should be used with caution. JFP

#### CORRESPONDENCE

LCDR Dustin K. Smith, DO, Naval Branch Clinic Diego Garcia, PSC 466, Box 301, FPO, AP 96595; dustinksmith@yahoo.com.

#### References

- FDA. Use of aspirin for primary prevention of heart attack and stroke. https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/ResourcesForYou/ Consumers/ucm390574.htm. Accessed March 22, 2019.
- 2. Guirguis-Blake JM, Evans CV, Senger CA, et al. Aspirin for the pri-

mary prevention of cardiovascular events: a systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164:804-813.

- Whitlock EP, Burda BU, Williams SB, et al. Bleeding risks with aspirin use for primary prevention in adults: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2016;164:826-835.
- Chubak J, Whitlock EP, Williams SB, et al. Aspirin for the prevention of cancer incidence and mortality: systematic evidence reviews for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2016;164:814-825.
- Dehmer SP, Maciosek MV, Flottemesch TJ, et al. Aspirin for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancer: a decision analysis for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2016;164:777-786.
- Bibbins-Domingo K. Aspirin use for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164:836-845.
- Baigent C, Blackwell L, Colins R, et al; Antithrombotic Trialists (ATT) Collaboration. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participation data from randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2009:373:1849-1860.
- Ikeda Y, Shimada K, Teramoto T, et al. Low-dose aspirin for primary prevention of cardiovascular events in Japanese patients 60 years or older with atherosclerotic risk factors: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2014;312:2510-2520.
- Rothwell PM, Cook NR, Gaziano JM, et al. Effects of aspirin on risks of vascular events and cancer according to bodyweight and dose: analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2018;392:387-399.
- Bowman L, Mafham M, Wallendszus K, et al; ASCEND Study Collaborative Group. Effects of aspirin for primary prevention in persons with diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379: 1529-1539.
- McNeil JJ, Wolfe R, Woods RL, et al. Effect of aspirin on cardiovascular events and bleeding in the healthy elderly. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379:1509-1518.
- 12. Gaziano JM, Brotons C, Coppolecchia R, et al. Use of aspirin to reduce risk of initial vascular events in patients at moderate risk of

cardiovascular disease (ARRIVE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2018;392:1036-1046.

- Kune GA, Kune S, Watson LF. Colorectal cancer risk, chronic illness, operations, and medications: case control results from Melbourne Colorectal Cancer Study. *Cancer Res.* 1988;48:4399-4404.
- Sutcliffe P, Connock M, Gurung T, et al. Aspirin for prophylactic use in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer: a systematic review and overview of reviews. *Health Technol Assess.* 2013;17:1-253.
- Burn J, Gerdes AM, Macrae F, et al. Long-term effect of aspirin on cancer risk in carriers of hereditary colorectal cancer: an analysis from the CAPP2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2011;378:2081-2087.
- Gamba CA, Swetter SM, Stefanick ML, et al. Aspirin is associated with lower melanoma risk among postmenopausal Caucasian women: the Women's Health Initiative. *Cancer.* 2013;119: 1562-1569.
- Trabert B, Ness RB, Lo-Ciganic WH, et al. Aspirin, nonaspirin nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, and acetaminophen use and risk of invasive epithelial ovarian cancer: a pooled analysis in the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014;106:djt431.
- Risch H, Lu L, Streicher SA, et al. Aspirin use and reduced risk of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2016;26:68-74.
- McNeil JJ, Nelson MR, Woods RL, et al. Effect of aspirin on all-cause mortality in the healthy elderly. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:1519-1528.
- Hernández-Díaz S, Rodríguez LA. Incidence of serious upper gastrointestinal bleeding/perforation in the general population: review of epidemiologic studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2002;55: 157-163.
- 21. Guirguis-Blake JM, Evans CV, Senger CA, et al. Aspirin for the primary prevention of cardiovascular events: a systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Evidence Synthesis no 131. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2015. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ books/NBK321623/. Accessed March 22, 2019.
- De Berardis G, Lucisano G, D'Ettorre A, et al. Association of aspirin use with major bleeding in patients with and without diabetes. *JAMA*. 2012;307:2286-2294.
- Thorat MA, Cuzick J. Prophylactic use of aspirin: systematic review of harms and approaches to mitigation in the general population. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2015;30:5-18.
- Hernández-Díaz S, García Rodríguez LA. Cardioprotective aspirin users and their excess risk of upper gastrointestinal complications. *BMC Med.* 2006;4:22.
- Huang ES, Strate LL, Ho WW, et al. Long term use of aspirin and the risk of gastrointestinal bleeding. *Am J Med.* 2011:124; 426-433.
- Walker J, Robinson J, Stewart J, et al. Does enteric-coated aspirin result in a lower incidence of gastrointestinal complications compared to normal aspirin? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2007;6;519-522.
- NIH. Aspirin dosing: a patient-centric trial assessing benefits and long-term effectiveness (ADAPTABLE). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02697916. Accessed March 22, 2019.
- 28. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016 European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: the Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:2315-2381.
- 29. ADA. Standards of medical care in diabetes 2017. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(suppl 1). http://care.diabetesjournals.org/ content/diacare/suppl/2016/12/15/40.Supplement\_1.DC1/ DC\_40\_S1\_final.pdf. Accessed March 22, 2019.
- 30. Vandvik PO, Lincoff AM, Gore JM, et al. Primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(suppl):e637S-e668S.
- Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/ AHA Guideline on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. J Am Col Cardiol. 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2019.03.010. Accessed March 22, 2019.
- Mora S, Manson JE. Aspirin for primary prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: advances in diagnosis and treatment. JAMA Intern Med. 2016;176:1195-1204.

## Visit us @ mdedge.com/familymedicine

## The 2018 AHA/ACC cholesterol guidelines: What's changed?

Doug Campos-Outcalt, MD, MPA



#### **NSTANT POLL**

Has your prescribing of low-dose aspirin changed in light of the latest guidelines from the USPSTF?

#### **ONLINE EXCLUSIVES**

- APPLIED EVIDENCE Keeping caries at bay in breastfeeding babies
- High ankle sprains: Easy to miss, so follow these tips
- CASE REPORT Daily headaches • associated nausea • obesity • Dx?
- CLINICAL INQUIRIES What are the risks of long-term PPI use for GERD symptoms in patients > 65 years?
- RESIDENTS' RAPID REVIEW A 5-question monthly quiz to help you prepare for the family medicine certification exam. This month: Sexually transmitted infections

#### PHOTO ROUNDS FRIDAY

Test your diagnostic skills at www.mdedge.com/ familymedicine/photo-rounds

#### **PLUS**

Today's headlines in family medicine

GET UPDATES FROM US ON

GOOGLE+ 👥

www.mdedge.com/familymedicine

FACEBOOK

MDEDGE.COM/FAMILYMEDICINE