## Microdiskectomy Superior for Disk Herniation

BY SHERRY BOSCHERT

San Francisco Bureau

SAN FRANCISCO — Children with herniated spinal disks fared better after microdiskectomy, compared with conservative management, in a study of 52 patients treated from 2000 to 2004.

The series is not the largest in the medical literature, but it is the only one to include pediatric diskectomies performed solely in the era of microsurgery and MRI,

Kevin L. Stevenson, M.D., said at a meeting on pediatric neurologic surgery.

Physicians' decisions about management of children with disk herniation "are often based on literature that's 40-plus years old," Dr. Stevenson said at the meeting, jointly sponsored by the American Association of Neurological Surgeons and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons.

In collaboration with his colleagues at Children's Healthcare of Atlanta at Scottish Rite, Dr. Stevenson reviewed the charts of all children seen for disk herniation at the center during the study period and obtained further follow-up information by phone interviews. All patients underwent 7 weeks of conservative management, defined as a complete cessation of strenuous activity, ongoing NSAID therapy, and a course of physical therapy after the initial disk flare-up. The study excluded patients with only a disk bulge. The study's 52 patients had 62 herniated disks—1 in the cervical spine, 2 in the tho-

racic spine, and 59 in the lumbar spine. The injuries comprised 39 central herniations, 22 lateral herniations, and 1 far lateral herniation. Nine patients had more than one herniated disk.

Conservative management continued in 28 patients. The other 24 had surgery, consisting of 37 unilateral laminotomies and microdiskectomies, 1 complete laminectomy, 1 thoracotomy, and 5 multilevel laminectomies.

Telephone questionnaires completed an average of 38 months after presentation found that none of 19 patients in the surgery group who originally complained of radiculopathy had an active radiculopathy at follow-up. Of 20 control group patients, 8 with initial radiculopathies had active disease at follow-up, said Dr. Stevenson.

Of 22 patients in the surgical group who originally complained of back pain,

Microdiskectomy was more likely to eliminate radiculopathies than conservative management (100% vs. 89%), according to reports in the literature.

2 reported at follow-up that they had back pain only upon exertion. In the control group, all 28 patients complained of back pain at presentation, and the pain persisted in 16 patients at follow-up, with approximately one-third

these reporting pain only upon exertion.

Objective neurologic deficits found at presentation in 12 patients in the surgical group and 6 in the control group persisted in the control patients at follow-up but had cleared in the surgical group. Dr. Stevenson noted that the modern surgical cohort had fewer symptoms and were more likely to show improved function, according to the findings of an informal comparison with patients in the literature who were treated prior to the era of microsurgery and MRI.

Children in the current study were more likely to present with low back pain, compared with those in the literature (92% vs. 86%). The modern surgery was more successful at eliminating radiculopathies, compared with reports of conservative management outcomes in the literature (100% vs. 89%). The average hospitalization stay after surgery fell from 4 days for cases in the literature to 2 days for the modern cohort.

Patients in the modern cohort had fewer complications, returned to school quicker, and were less likely to need reoperation, compared with patients in the literature, Dr. Stevenson added.

"The existing literature does not appear to accurately reflect modern surgical outcomes after pediatric diskectomy. In carefully selected patients, it's a safe and effective treatment for pediatric disk disease after failed conservative management," he said.

Approximately 1%-3% of diskectomies each year are done in children. In adults, an estimated 85% of disk herniations improve with conservative management. ■

KEPPRA® (levetiracetam)
250 mg, 500 mg and 750 mg tablets and 100 mg/mL oral solution
Brief summary (for full prescribing information, consult package insert)

INDICATIONS AND USAGE: Keppra® (levetiracetam) is indicated as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial onset seizures in adults with epilepsy.

CONTRAINDICATIONS: This product should not be administered to patients who have previously exhibited hypersensitivity to levetiracetam or any of the inactive ingredients in Keppra® tablets or oral solution.

WARNINGS: Neuropsychiatric Adverse Events: Keppra® use is associated with the occurrence of central nervous system adverse events that can be classified into the following categories: 1) somnolence and fatigue, 2) coordination difficulties, and 3) behavioral abnormalities. In controlled trials of patients with epilepsy, 14.8% of Keppra® treated patients reported somnolence, compared to 8.4% of placebo patients. There was no clear dose response up to 3000 mg/day. In a study where there was no titration, about 45% of patients receiving 4000 mg/day reported somnolence. The somnolence was considered serious in 0.3% of the treated patients, receiving 4000 mg/day reported somnolence. The somnolence was considered serious in 0.3% of the treated patients, only a few of placebo patients the dose was reduced, while 0.3% of theated patients in 1.4% of treated patients discontinued treatment due to somnolence, compared to 0.7% of placebo patients. In 1.4% of treated patients on somnolence. In controlled trials of patients with epilepsy, 14.7% of treated patients seported asthenia, compared to 9.1% of placebo patients. Treatment was discontinued in 0.8% of treated patients as compared to 0.5% of placebo patients. In 0.5% of placebo patients, seprenced coordination difficulties, (reported as either ataxia, abnormal gait, or incoordination) compared to 1.6% of placebo patients. In 0.7% of treated patients and in 0.2% of placebo patients experienced coordination difficulties, while one of the treated patients was hospitalized due to worsening of pre-existing ataxia. Somnolence, asthenia and coordination difficulties occurred most frequently within the first 4 weeks of treatment. In controlled trials of patients with epilepsy, 5 (0.7%) of Keppra® treated patients were hospitalized and their treatment was discontinued both e

patients had been treated for between 4 weeks and 6 months. Withdrawal Seizures: Antiepileptic drugs, includpilos (Seppra", should be withdrawn gradually to minimize the potential of increases scompared to placeplace and the state of t

abnormalities and retarded offspring growth pre- and/or postnatally at doses ≥350 mg/kg/day (approximately equivalent to the maximum recommended human dose of 3000 mg [MRHD] on a mg/m² basis) and with increased pup mortality and offspring behavioral alterations at a dose of 1800 mg/kg/day (6 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). The developmental no effect dose was 70 mg/kg/day (0.2 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). There was no overt maternal toxicity at the doses used in this study. Treatment of pregnant rabbits during the period of organogenesis resulted in increased embryofetal mortality and increased incidences of minor fetal skeletal abnormalities at doses ≥600 mg/kg/day (approximately 4 times MRHD on a mg/m² basis) and in decreased fetal weights and increased incidences of fetal malformations at a dose of 1800 mg/kg/day (1.2 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Maternal toxicity was also observed at 1800 mg/kg/day (1.3 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Maternal toxicity was also observed at 1800 mg/kg/day (4.3 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Maternal toxicity was also observed at 1800 mg/kg/day (4.4 times the MRHD) was a developmental no effect dose. There was no evidence of maternal toxicity in this study. Treatment of rats during the last third of gestation and throughout lactation produced no adverse developmental or maternal effects at doses of up to 1800 mg/kg/day (6 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Keppra® regnancy Registry: UGB Pharma, Inc. has established the Keppra® pregnancy Registry to advance scientific knowledge about safety and outcomes associated with pregnant women being treated with Keppra®. To ensure broad program access and reach, either a healthcare provider or the patient can initiate enrollment in the Keppra® Pregnancy Registry: UGB Pharma, Inc. has established the Keppra® pregnancy Registry to advance scientific knowledge about safety and outcomes associated with pregnant women being treated with Keppra® regnancy Reg

PHARMACOLOGY in package insert and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, Patients with Impaired Renal Function).

ADVERSE REACTIONS: In well-controlled clinical studies, the most frequently reported adverse events associated with the use of Keppra® in combination with other AEDs, not seen at an equivalent frequency among placeborreated patients, were somoelence, asthenia, infection and dizziness. Table 1 lists treatment-mergent adverse events that occurred in at least 1% of patients with epilepsy treated with Keppra® participating in placebo-controlled studies and were numerically more common in patients treated with Keppra® participating in placebo-controlled studies and were numerically more common in patients treated with Keppra® than placebo. In these studies, either Keppra® or placebo was added to concurrent AED therapy, Acannot be used to predict the frequency of adverse experiences in the consumer and added to concurrent AED therapy, cannot be used to predict the frequency of adverse experiences in the consumer and investigations involving different treatments, uses, or investigators. An inspection of these frequencies, however, does provide the prescriber with one basis to estimate the relative contribution of drug and non-drug factors to the adverse event incidences in the population studied. Table 1: Incidence (%) of Treatment-Fergent Adverse Events In Placebo-Controlled, Add-On Studies By Body System (Adverse Events Occurred In At Least 1% Of Keppra®-Terated Patients And Occurred More Frequently Than Placebo-Treated Patients). Keppra® (N=789) so Placebo (N=439): Body System/Adverse Event: Body as a Whole: Asthenia (15% vs 9%). Headache (14% vs 13%); Infection (13% vs 8%). Pain (7% vs 6%). Digestive System: Anorexia (3% vs 2%). Nervous System: Annesia (2% vs 15%); Pharyngitis (6% vs 4%). Rhinitis (4% vs 3%); Simistis (2% vs 15%). Respiratory System: Couph Increased (2% vs 15%). Pharyngitis (6% vs 45%). Rhinitis (4% vs 3%); Simistis (2% vs 15%). Special Senses: Diplopia (2% vs 15%). Dithe events reported abdverse

Instea above, and data are insufficient to support an estimate of their incidence or to establish causation.

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION: Keppra® is indicated as adjunctive treatment of partial onset seizures in adults with epilepsy. In clinical trials, daily doses of 1000 mg, 2000 mg, and 3000 mg, given as twice-daily dosing, were shown to be effective. Although in some studies there was a tendency toward greater response with inigher dose (see CLINICAL STUDIES in package insert), a consistent increase in response with increased dose has not been shown. Treatment should be initiated with a daily dose of 1000 mg/day, given as twice-daily dosing (500 mg BID). Additional dosing increments may be given (1000 mg/day additional every 2 weeks) to a maximum recommended daily dose of 3000 mg. Doses greater than 3000 mg/day have been used in open-label studies for periods of 6 months and longer. There is no evidence that doses greater than 3000 mg/day confer additional benefit. Keppra® is given orally with or without food. Patients With Impaired Renal Function: Keppra® dosing must be individualized according to the patient's renal function status. Recommended doses and adjustment for dose are shown in Table 3. To use this dosing table, an estimate of the patient's creatinine clearance (CLcr) in mL/min is needed. CLcr in mL/min may be estimated from serum creatinine (mg/dL) determination using the following formula:

CLcr = \frac{[140-age (years)] x weight (kg)}{(x 0.85 for female patients)}

72 x serum creatinine (mg/dL)

\*Following dialysis, a 250 to 500 mg supplemental dose is recommended



© 2004, UCB Pharma, Inc., Smyrna, GA 30080

All rights reserved.

Printed in U.S.A.

14E 11/2004

K1361-1104