Psych Services Benefit Emergency Departments

BY JANE SALODOF MACNEIL Southwest Bureau

TUCSON, ARIZ. — Dementia may be overdiagnosed and delirium overlooked when geriatric patients with vague symptoms are brought to emergency departments, Dr. Lesley Wiesenfeld suggested at the annual meeting of the Academy of Psychosomatic Medicine.

Dr. Wiesenfeld reviewed the first 22 patients screened by a small pilot program in

ORozerem.

Brief Summary of Prescribing Information 05-1114

ROZEREM™

INDICATIONS AND USAGE ROZEREM is indicated for the treatment of insomnia characterized by diffi-culty with sleep onset.

curry winn sweep onset. **CONTRAINDICATIONS** ROZEREM is contraindicated in patients with a hypersensitivity to ramelteon or any components of the ROZEREM formulation.

WARNINGS Since sleep disturbances may be the presenting manifestation of a physical and/or psychiatric disorder, symptomatic treatment of insomnia should be initiated only after a careful evaluation of the patient. The failure of insomnia to remit after a reasonable period of treatment may indicate the presence of a primary psychiatric and/or medicai illness that should be evaluated. Worsening of insomnia, or the emergence of new cognitive or behavioral abnormalities, may be the result of an unrecognized underlying psychiatric physical disorder and requires further evaluation of the patient. As with othe hyportois, exacertation of insomnia and emergence of cognitive and behav-ioral ahormalities were seen with ROZEREM during the clinical developmer program.

program. ROZEREM should not be used by patients with severe hepatic impairr ROZEREM should not be used in combination with fluvoxamine (see I CAUTIONS: Drug Interactions).

Avariety of cognitive and behavior changes have been reported to occur in association with the use of hypotics. In primarily depressed patients, worsening of depression, including suicidal ideation, has been reported in association with the use of hypotics.

Patients should avoid engaging in hazardous activities that require concentra-tion (such as operating a motor vehicle or heavy machinery) after taking ROZEREM.

After taking ROZEREM, patients should confine their activities to those neces-sary to prepare for hed PRECAUTIONS

ROZEREM has not been studied in subjects with severe sleep apnea or severe COPD and is not recommended for use in those populations. Patients should be advised to exercise caution if they consume alcohol in combination with ROZERFM.

combination with ROZEREM. Use in Adolescents and Children ROZEREM has been associated with an effect on reproductive hormones in adults, e.g. decreased testosterone levels and increased prolactin levels. It is not known what effect chronic or even chronic intermittent use of ROZEREM may have on the reproductive axis in developing humans (see Pediatric Use). Information for Patients Patients should be advised to take ROZEREM within 30 minutes prior to going to bed and should confine their activities to those necessary to prepare for bed.

for bed. Patients should be advised to avoid engaging in hazardous activities (such as operating a motor vehicle or heavy machinery) after taking ROZEREM. Patients should be advised that they should not take ROZEREM with or immediately after a high fat meal. Patients should be advised to consult their health care provider if they experi-ence worsening of insomnia or any new behavioral signs or symptoms of

concern. Patients should consult their health care provider if they experience one of the following: cessation of menses or galactorrhea in females, decreased libido, or problems with tertility. **Laboratory Tests** No standard monitoring is required.

For patients presenting with unexplained amenorrhea, galactorrhea, decreased libido, or problems with fertility, assessment of prolactin levels and testos-terone levels should be considered as appropriate.

Drug Interactions ROZEREM has a highly variable inter-subject pharmacokinetic profile (approximately 100% coefficient of variation in G_{max} and AUC). As noted above, CYP1A2 is the major isozyme involved in the metabolism of ROZEREM; the CYP2C subfamily and CYP3A4 isozymes are also involved to concerne

tapproximately 100% coefficient of variation in G_{max} and AUC). As noted above. CYP142 is the major isozyme involved in the metabolism of ROZEREM; the CYP2C subfamily and CYP3A4 isozymes are also involved to a minor degree. Effects of Other Drugs on ROZEREM Metabolism fluwoxamile (storing CYP142 inhibitor): When fluwoxamine 100 mg twice dialy was administered for 3 days prior to single-does co-administration of ROZEREM 16 mg and fluwoxamine, the AUC_{avef} for ramelteon instration of ROZEREM 16 mg and fluwoxamine, the AUC_{avef} for ramelteon instration of ROZEREM 16 mg and fluwoxamine, the AUC_{avef} for ramelteon instration of ROZEREM 16 mg and fluwoxamine, the AUC_{avef} for ramelteon instration of ROZEREM 16 mg and fluwoxamine, the AUC_{avef} for tamelteon instration of ROZEREM 16 mg and fluwoxamine, the AUC_{avef} for tamelteon instration of ROZEREM 16 mg and fluwoxamine, the AUC_{avef} for tamelteon instration one colarity for the during the start of the system CYP142 inhibitors have not been adequately studied. ROZEREM should be administered with caution to patients taking besistoring CYP142 inhibitors. *Rilampin (strong CYP enzyme inducer)*: Administration of rifampin 600 mg once daily for 11 days resulted in a mean decrease of approximately 80% (40% to 90%) in total exposure to ramelteon and metabolite M-II, (both AUC_{avef} and Cem_a) after a single 32 mg dose of ROZEREM hen a single 16 mg dose of ROZEREM is used in combination with strong CYP enzyme inducers such a paproximately 804% and 36%, sepectively, when a single 16 mg dose of ROZEREM as administered with caution in subjects taking strong CYP2A4 inhibitors such as ketoconazole. *Fluconazole (strong CYP2G9 inhibitor)*: The total and peak systemic exposure (AUC_{avef} and C_{max}) of ramelteon after a single 16 mg dose of ROZEREM was increased by approximately 804% and 36%, sources ROZEREM should be administered with caution in subjects taking strong CYP2A4 inhibitors such as ketoconazole. *Fluconazole (strong CYP2G9 inhibit*

luconazole. raction studies of concomitant administration of ROZEREM with fluoxe (CYP206 inhibitor), omeprazole (CYP1A2 inducer/CYP2C19 inhibitor), phylline (CYP1A2 substrate), and dextromethorphan (CYP2D6 substra not produce clinically meaningful changes in either peak or total expo-es to ramelteon or the M-II metabolite.

sures to ramelteon or the M-II metapoine. Effects of ROZEREM on Metabolism of Other Drugs Concomitant administration of ROZEREM with omeprazole (CYP2C19 sub-strate), dextromethorphan (CYP2D6 substrate), midazolam (CYP3A4 substrate), theophylline (CYP1A2 substrate), digoxin (p-glyccorrotein sub-strate), and warfarin (CYP2C9 [S]/CYP1A2 [R] substrate) did not produce clinically meaningful changes in peak and total exposures to these drugs.

Effect of Alcohol on Rozerem Alcohol: With single-dose, daytime co-administration of ROZEREM 32 mg and alcohol (0.6 g/kg), there were no clinically meaningful or statistically sig-

©2006 Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America. Inc.

nificant effects on peak or total exposure to ROZEREM. However, an additive effect was seen on some measures of psychomotor performance (i.e., the Digit Symbol Substitution Test, the Psychomotor Vigilance Task Test, and a Visual Analog Scale of sedation) at some post-dose time points. No additive effect was seen on the Delayed Word Recognition Test. Because alcohol by itself impairs performance, and the intended effect of ROZEREM is to promote sleep, patients should be cautioned not to consume alcohol when usin ROZEREM.

which she provided a geriatric psychiatry

consultation liaison service to emergency

department physicians at Mt. Sinai Hos-

As a result of the consultations, she re-

ported, patients were more likely to be ad-

mitted for medical reasons or discharged

home. They were less likely to be placed

in a psychiatric unit or discharged to long-

"Emergency department doctors were

disproportionately assuming cognitive

pital in Toronto.

term care.

nucencew. Drug/Laboratory Test Interactions R0ZEREM is not known to interfere with commonly used clinical laboratory tests. In addition, *n itri* data indicate that ramelteon does not cause false-positive results for benzodiazepines, opiates, barbiturates, cocaine, cannabi noids, or amphetamines in two standard urine drug screening methods

Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, and Impairment of Fertility

In vuice. Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, and Impairment of Fertility Carcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis In a two-year carcinogenicity study, B6C3F, mice were administered ramelteor at doese of 0, 30, 100, 300, or 1000 mg/kg/day by oral gavage. Male mice exhibited a doese-related increase in the incluence of hepatic turnors at doese levels >100 mg/kg/day including hepatic adenoma, hepatic carcinoma, and hepatoblastoma. Female mice developed a doese-related increase in the inci-dence of hepatic adenomas at dose levels \ge 300 mg/kg/day and hepatic carcinoma at the 1000 mg/kg/day toos level. The no-effect level for hepatic turnors in male mice was 30 mg/kg/day (103-times and 3-times the therapeutic tic exposure to ramelteon and the active metabolite M-II, respectively, at the maximum recommended human dose (IMHD) based on an area-under-the-curve [AUC] comparison. The no-effect level for hepatic turnors in male ratis were administered ramelteon at doses of 0, 15, 60, 250 or 1000 mg/kg/day by oral gavage. Male rats exhibited a dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatic adenoma and benjin Leydig cell turnors of the testis at dose levels \ge 250 mg/kg/day and hepatic carcinoma at the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. The no-effect level for hepatic turnors of the testis at dose levels \ge 250 mg/kg/day and hepatic carcinoma at the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. The no-effect level for hepatic turnors and benign Leydig cell turnors in male rats was 60 mg/kg/day (1,429-times and 15-times the therapueuc exposure to ramelteon and M-II, respectively, at the MHD based on AUC). The no-effect level for hepatic turnors in male rats were administered ramelens and benign Leydig cell turnors and the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. - The no-effect level for hepatic turnors in male rats was 15 mg/kg/day (-72-times and 16-times the therapeutic exposure to ramelteon and M-II, respectively, at the MHD based on AUC). The development of hepatic turnors in rodents following chronic treatment with non-neoting commonions ma

d on AUC). development of hepatic tumors in rodents following chronic treatment non-genotoxic compounds may be secondary to microsomal enzyme ction, a mechanism for tumor generation not thought to occur in ans. Leydig call tumor development following treatment with non-toxic compounds in rodents has been linked to reductions in circulati the compounds in the compound leases in tuiching in promone anotoxic compounds in rodents has been linked to reductions in circulating stosterone levels with compensatory increases in luteinizing hormone stosterone levels with compensatory increases in luteinizing hormone thinking hormone than human Leydig cells. In mechanistic studies con-ucted in the rat, daily ramelteon administration at 250 and 1000 mg/kg/day. If weeks was associated with a reduction in plasma testosterone levels. The same study, luteinizing hormone levels were elevated over a 24 hour priod after the last ramelteon tratment; however, the durability of this teinizing normone finding and its support for the proposed mechanistic glanation was not clearly established.

planation was not clearly estainshed. though the roder tumors observed following ramelteon treatment occurred plasma levels of ramelteon and M-H in excess of mean clinical plasma con-nitations at the MHD, the relevance of both rodent hepatic tumors and nign rat Leydig cell tumors to humans is not known.

itagenesis melteon was not genotoxic in the following: in vitro bacter Ramelteon was not genotoxic in the following: *in vitro* bacterial reverse muta-tion (Anes) assay: *in vitro* mammalian cell gene mutation assay using the mouse lymphoma TK⁴⁺⁷ cell line; *in vivolin vitro* unscheduled DNA synthesis assay in rat henatorytes; and in *n* vivo micronucleus assays conducted in mouse and rat. Ramelteon was positive in the chromosomal aberration assay in Chinese hamster lung cells in the gresence of S9 metabolic activation. Separate studies indicated that the concentration of the M-II metabolite formed by the rat liver S9 fraction used in the *in vitro* genetic toxicology studies described above, exceeded the concentration of ramelteon; therefore, the genotoxic potential of the M-II metabolite was also assessed in these studies. the gen

If the genotoxic potential of the M+H intervalutive was also assesses in unset studies. Impairment of Fartility Rametteon was administered to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats in an initial fertility and early embryonic development study at dose levels of 6, 60, or 600 mg/kg/day. No effects on male or female mating or fertility were observed with a rametteon dose up to 600 mg/kg/day (786-times higher than the MRH on a mg/m2 basis). Irregular estrus cycles, reduction in the num-ber of implants, and reduction in the number of live embryos were noted with dosing females at ≥ 60 mg/kg/day. (79-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m2 basis). A reduction in the number of sprem quality and when the treated 600 mg/kg/day dose level. Administration of rametleon up to 600 mg/kg/day to food the same study duration, females 600 mg/kg/day dose level. Administration of rametleon up to 600 mg/kg/day to male rats for 7 weeks had no effect on sperm quality and when the treated male rats for 20, 600 r 200 mg/kg/day in the same study duration, females demonstrated irregular estrus cycles with doses > 60 mg/kg/day tu no effects were seen on implantation or embry valibility. The no-effect dose for fertility endpoints was 20 mg/kg/day in females (26-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis) and 600 mg/kg/day in themales (26-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis) when considering all studies. **Pregnancy: Pregnancy Category C** Rametteon has been shown to be a developmental teratogen in the rat when given in doses 197 times higher than the maximum recommended human dose [MRHD] on a mg/m² basis. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Rametteon should be used during pregnancy only if the potential been filts utilisties the potential risk to the fetus. The effects of rametteon on embryo-fetal development were assessed in both her rat not rabit. Pregnant tas were administred rametteon by oal gavage

Studies in pregnant vomen. Hameiteon should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. The effects of ramelteon on embryo-fetal development were assessed in both the rat and rabib. Pregnant rats were administered ramelteon by oral gavage at doses of 0, 10, 40, 150, or 600 mg/kg/day during gestation days 6-17, which is the period of organogenesis in this species. Evidence of maternal toxicity and fetal teratogenicity was observed at doses greater than or equal to 150 mg/kg/day. Matternal toxicity was chiefly characterized by decreased body weight and, at 600 mg/kg/day ataxia and decreased spontaneous move-ment. At maternally toxic doses (150 mg/kg/day or greater), the fetuses demonstrated visceral malformations consisting of diaphragmatic hernia and minor anatomical variations of the skeleton (irregular) shaped scapula). At 600 mg/kg/day, reductions in fetal body weight as and 45-times higher than the therapeutic exposure to ramelteon and the active metabolite M-II, respectively, at the MHND based on an arra-under-the-curve [AUC] comparison). Pregnant rabbits were administered rametteon by oral gavage at doses of 0.12, 60, or 300 mg/kg/day, no evidence of fetal effects or teratogenicity was associated with any dose level. The no-effect level for teratogenicity was associated with any dose level. The no-effect level for teratogenicity was associated with any dose level. The no-effect level for teratogenicity was associated with any dose level. The no-effect level for teratogenicity was sheredore, 300 mg/kg/day (11,862-times and 99-times)

RAM-00238

problems in people who had medical and psychiatric problems and delirium," Dr. Wiesenfeld, a staff psychiatrist and geriatric training program coordinator at the University of Toronto-affiliated hospital, said in a poster-side interview.

Most psychiatric departments offer consultation liaison services after patients are admitted to a hospital, according to Dr. Wiesenfeld.

The pilot program enabled emergency department physicians to seek help in



higher than the therapeutic exposure to ramelteon and M-II, respectiv the MRHD based on AUC).

higher than the therapeutic exposure to ramelteon and M-II, respectively, at the MRHD based on AUC). The effects of ramelteon on pre- and post-natal development in the rat were studied by administration of ramelteon to the prepand rat by oral gavage at doses of 0, 30, 100, or 300 mg/kg/day from day 6 of gestation through par-turition to postnatal (lacation) day 21, at which time offspring were weaned. Maternal toxicity was noted at doses of 100 mg/kg/day or greater and con-sisted of reduced body weight gain and increased adre or gestation through par-turition to postnatal (lacation) day 21, at which dime offspring in the offspring of the groups given 100 mg/kg/day and higher. Offspring in the 300 mg/kg/day group demonstrated physical and developmental delays including delayde uption of the lower incisors, a delayed acquisition of the righting reflex, and an alteration of emotional response. These delays are often observed in the presence of reduced offspring body weight buf may still be indicative of developmental delay. An apparent decrease in the viability of offspring in the 300 mg/kg/day group was likely due to altered maternal behavior and function observed at this dose level. Offspring of the 300 mg/kg/day (group also showed evidence of diaphragmatic hernia, a find-ing observed in the embry-relat development tudy previously described. There were no effects on the reproductive capacity of dfspring and the resulting programy were not different from those of vehicle-treated offspring. The no-effect level for pre- and postnatal development in this study was 30 mg/kg/day (39-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Labor and Delivery

30 mg/kg/day (39-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Labor and Delivery The potential effects of ROZEREM on the duration of labor and/or delivery, for either the mother or the fetus, have not been studied. ROZEREM has no established use in labor and delivery. Nursing MOHERS Ramelleon is secreted in the milk of lactating rats. It is not known of this drug is excited in the milk of lactating rats. It is not known of this drug is excited in the milk of lactating rats. It is not known of this drug is excited in the milk of lactating rats. rursing mothers Ramelteon is secreted into the milk of lactating rats. It is not known whether this drug is excreted in human milk. No clinical studies in nursing mothers have been performed. The use of ROZEREM in nursing mothers is not recommended.

recommensue. Pediatric Use Safety and effectiveness of ROZEREM in pediatric patients have not been established. Further study is needed prior to determining that this product may be used safely in pre-pubescent and pubescent patients.

may be used sately in pre-publicities and publicities that **Ceritatic Use** A total of 654 subjects in double-blind, placebo-controlled, efficacy trials who received ROZERREM were at least 65 years of age; of these, 199 were 75 years of age or older. No overall differences in safety or efficacy were observed between eldery and younger adult subjects. **ADVERSE REACTIONS Dverview**

triew data described in this section reflect exposure to ROZEREM in 4251 sub-s, including 346 exposed for 6 months or longer, and 473 subjects for

one year. Adverse Reactions Resulting in Discontinuation of Treatment Five percent of the 3594 individual subjects exposed to ROZFREM in clinical studies discontinued treatment owing to an adverse event, compared with 2% of the 1370 subjects receiving placebo. The most fraueural adverse events leading to discontinuation in subjects receiving ROZEREM were somnolence (0.8%), dizziness (0.5%), nausea (0.3%), fatigue (0.3%), headache (0.3%), and insomnia (0.3%).

Security to usconstrututuri in subjects receiving HOZEREM were somnolence (0.3%), diziases (0.5%), nausea (0.3%), fatigue (0.3%), headache (0.3%), and insomnia (0.3%).
ROZEREM Most Commonly Observed Adverse Events in Phase 1-3 trials The incidence of adverse events during the Phase I through 3 trials (% placebo, n=1370; % ramelteon [8 mg], n=1250; were: headache NOS (7%, 7%), somnolence (3%, 5%), fatigue (2%, 4%), dizzines (3%, 5%), nausea (2%, 3%), insomnia exacerbated (2%, 3%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), discol decreased (0, 1%)
Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, advers reaction infection NOS (1%), blod corticol decreased (0, 1%)
Because clinical trials or other during, and may not reflect the rates observed in practice. The adverse reaction information from clinical trials does, however, provide a basis for identifying the adverse events that appear to be related to drug use and for approximating rates.
PMUS ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE

ROZEREM is not a controlled substance. Human Data: See the CLINICAL TRIALS section, Studies Pertinent to Safety Concerns for Sleep-Promoting Agents in the Complete Prescribing

Safety Concerns for Sleep-Promoting Agents in the Complete Prescribing Information. Animal Data, Ramelteon did not produce any signals from animal behavioral studies indicating that the drug produces rewarding effects. Monkeys did not self-administer ramelteon and the drug did not induce a conditioned place preference in rats. There was no generalization between ramelteon and midazolam. Ramelteon did not affect rotorod performance, an indicator of disruption of motor function, and it did not potentiate the ability of diazepam to interfere with rotorod performance. Discontinuation of ramelteon in animals or in humans after chronic adminis-tration did not produce withdrawal signs. Ramelteon does not appear to produce physical dependence. **DVERDOSAGE** Sines and Symmotems

OVERDOSAGE Signs and Symptoms No cases of ROZEREM overdose have been reported during clinical develop-00ZEREM was administered in single doses up to 160 mg in an abuse liabil-ty trial. No safety or tolerability concerns were seen.

Ity trial. No safety or tolerability concerns were seen. Recommended Treatment General symptomatic and supportive measures should be used, along with immediate gastric lavage where appropriate. Intravenous fluids should be administered as needed. As in all cases of drug overdose, respiration, pulse, blood pressure, and other appropriate vital signs should be monitored, and general supportive measures employed. Hemodialysis does not effectively reduce exposure to ROZEREM. Therefore, the use of dialysis in the treatment of overdosage is not appropriate. Poison Control Center As with the management of all overdosage, the possibility of multiple drug ingestion should be considered. The physician may contact a poison control center for current information on the management of overdosage.

Manufactured by

Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited 540-8645 Osaka, JAPAN

Manufactured in: Takeda Ireland Ltd. Kilruddery, County Wicklow, Republic of Ireland **Marketed by:** Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. 475 Half Day Road Lincolnshire, IL 60069

ROZEREUM is a trademark of Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited and used under license by Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. ©2005, Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. PI02-0002-PI02-0002-1 References: 1. Rozerem package insert, Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. 2. Johnson MW, Suess PE, Griffiths RR. Ramelteon

a novel hypnotic lacking abuse liability and sedative si Arch Gen Psychiatry. In press. 5/06

Printed in U.S.A.

evaluating whether difficult geriatric patients should be admitted.

Dr. Wiesenfeld described the population, which ranged from 66 to 95 years of age, as "quite a mix." Some were depressed or had mental problems. Many just seemed different and their family didn't know what to do with them, so they called 911 or brought them in."

For emergency physicians, just taking a history could be difficult when patients had poor memories and did not know their medications. "They didn't look well, but they didn't look sick enough for admission to the hospital," Dr. Wiesenfeld said. "[The emergency physicians] were in a kind of limbo about what they should do.'

Behavioral change was the most common reason for referrals to the service, cited by the emergency physicians in eight patients. Other reasons were delirium or dementia (six patients), safe to go home vs. emergency nursing home placement (five), and physical symptoms (three).

The final psychiatric diagnoses reported by Dr. Wiesenfeld were dementia/cognitive disorder (six patients), delirium (five), major mood disorder/episode (four), no

For emergency department physicians, just taking a history could be difficult when patients had poor memories and did not know their medications.

disorder (four), and major psychotic disorder (three). The emergency physi-

cians anticipated that 10 patients would be admitted as psychiatric cases, 7 discharged to long-term care, 4 sent home, and 1 admitted to a

medical unit. After the psychogeriatric consultations, the actual disposition was that eight patients went home with a prescription and/or outpatient appointment, seven were admitted for medical reasons, and five were admitted to the psychiatric service.

Only two were sent to long-term care. Among the case descriptions posted by Dr. Wiesenfeld was a 75-year-old homeless man, presumed psychotic, who was found to have fever and delirium from a urinary tract infection for which he was admitted. Instead of being discharged to a nursing home, a developmentally delayed 66-yearold man was diagnosed with normal pressure hydrocephalus and referred to neu-

rosurgery. Dr. Wiesenfeld concluded that since the referrals doubled from 7 in the first year to 15 in the second year, this indicates that physicians found value in the service, even though it increased the length of time these patients spent in the emergency department.

Probable next steps, she said, will be more training to help the emergency staff distinguish dementia from delirium and better integration of social workers and psychiatrists into a psychogeriatric team. Also under consideration, she reported, is development of a geriatric crisis clinic. \blacksquare