REMICADE-maintenance experienced elevations in ALT at >1 to <3 times the ULN compared to 34% of patients treated with placebo-maintenance. ALT elevations \geq 3 times the ULN were observed in 5% of patients who received REMICADE-maintenance compared with 4% of patients who received placebo-maintenance. ALT elevations \geq 5 times ULN were observed in 2% of patients who received REMICADE-maintenance compared to none in patients treated with placebo-maintenance. In UC clinical trials (median follow up 30 weeks. Specifically, the median duration of follow-up was 30 weeks for placebo and 31 weeks for REMICADE.), 17% of patients receiving REMICADE experienced elevations in ALT at >1 to <3 times the ULN compared to 12% of patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations \geq 5 times ULN were observed in <1% of patients in both REMICADE compared to 12% of patients who received REMICADE compared to 12% of patients in both REMICADE and placebo groups. In an AS clinical trial (median follow up 24 weeks for placebo group and 102 weeks for REMICADE group) 51% of patients receiving REMICADE experienced elevations \geq 3 times the ULN compared to 15% of patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations \geq 3 times the ULN compared to 15% of patients treated viet placeb. ALT elevations \geq 3 times the ULN were observed in 10% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations \geq 3 times the ULN were observed in 10% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations \geq 3 times the ULN were observed in 2% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations \geq 3 times the ULN were observed in 2% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations \geq 3 times the ULN compared to 21% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations \geq 3 times the ULN were observed in 2% of patients who received REMICADE com FEMIOL2E through 54 weeks than in 385 adult CD patients reaking a smaller tradined regimen, aremia (11%), block of national production of the second induces (%), block of adult patients in Study Posts and in Study Posts adult patients adult patients adult patients adult patients in Study Posts adult patients in Study Posts adult patients adult patients in Study Posts adult patients adult patients in Study Posts adult patients in Study Posts adult patients adult pa Crohn's, infections were reported more frequently for patients who received every 8 week as opposed to every 12 week infusions (74% and 38%, respectively), while serious infections were reported for 3 patients in the every 8 week and 4 patients in the every 12 week maintenance treatment group.

REFERENCES: 1. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;161:S221–S247. 2. See latest Centers for Disease Control guidelines and recommendations for tuberculosis testing in immunocompromised patients. 3. Gardam MA, Keystone EC, Menzies R, et al. Anti-tumor necrosis factor agents and tuberculosis risk: mechanisms of action and clinical management. Lancet Infact Dis. 2003;3:148-155. 4. Belhadi K, Reyes F, Farcet JP, et al. Hepatosplenic ₃6 T-cell lymphoma is a rare clinicopathologic entity with poor outcome: report on a series of 21 patients. Blood. 2003;102(13):4261-4269.

© 2007 Centocor, Inc. Malvern, PA 19355, USA 1-800-457-6399

License #1242 Revised April 2007 IN07515

Drug Utilization Boosts Nation's Health Tab

BY ALICIA AULT Associate Editor, Practice Trends

WASHINGTON — The nation spent \$2 trillion, or \$7,000 per person, on health care in 2006. While that was only a small increase from the previous year, America's prescription drug tab increased by 8.5%, fueled largely by the new Medicare Part D drug benefit.

Health spending as a share of the nation's gross domestic product continues to rise, hitting 16% in 2006.

Total spending on physician and clinical services grew 5.9% to \$448 billion, the slowest rate of growth since 1999. Physician pay crawled almost to a halt, largely because of the freeze in Medicare's reimbursement rates in 2006. Private insurers seemed to have followed suit, said Cathy Cowan, an economist at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Cowan, a coauthor of an annual analysis of the nation's health spending, spoke at a briefing on the report, which was published in the January/February issue of Health Affairs.

Medicare had the fastest rate of growth since 1981, according to the report. Spending increased 19% in 2006 to \$401 billion, driven largely by the prescription drug benefit and the cost of administration for that benefit and for Medicare Advantage, a managed care program.

Medicaid spending dropped for the first time since the program began in 1965. The 0.9% decrease was largely due to Medicaid enrollees who were shifted into Medicare for their prescription drugs.

Overall drug spending grew 8.5% in 2006-a far cry from the double-digit increases seen in the late 1990s, but still an increase from the 5.8% rise in spending in 2005. Half of the 2006 increase was due to greater utilization, not surprising given that about 23 million Medicare beneficiaries took advantage of the new benefit. Prescription prices increased by only a little over 3%, according to an annual analysis by actuaries at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

The change in the drug rebate picture also contributed to rising drug costs. Under Medicaid, states received an average 30% rebate from drugmakers. Medicare, however, got only about 5% from manufacturers for the millions of beneficiaries who shifted out of Medicaid.

Medicare spent \$41 billion on Part D in 2006, with \$35 billion for drug purchases and \$6 billion for administration and "net cost of insurance"-that is, the cost of subsidizing premiums for low-income beneficiaries and costs for transferring beneficiaries into private plans. Medicare paid for 18% of all retail drugs, compared with only 2% in 2005.

The largest increase in drug utilization came from beneficiaries using the Part D benefit. But there was also increased drug use due to new indications for existing drugs, growth in several therapeutic classes, and rising use of specialty drugs such as injectable biologics for rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis, and anemia drugs for oncology. Hypnotics saw the largest rise in use of any drug class.

The authors said the data they had at hand and their analysis did not allow them to determine whether the prescription drug benefit had increased or lowered overall health care spending. "Sooner or later, somebody's going to do a dynamite study and figure this out," said Richard Foster, the chief actuary at CMS.

Mr. Foster told reporters that the study showed that the "overall cost of prescription drugs has changed very little as a result of Part D.²

A study by Consumers Union, however, seemed to refute that claim. (See box.)

Drug Prices Up Too: Consumers Union

overnment economists have con-Gcluded that the Medicare Part D prescription drug benefit did not affect the price of pharmaceuticals in 2006, the program's first full year, but Consumers Union has issued another in a series of studies charging that drug prices are indeed rising.

Each month since December 2005, the consumer advocacy group has tracked the prices of five drugs commonly used by Medicare beneficiaries in a single ZIP code in each of five states-California, New York, Illinois, Florida, and Texas. The data are taken directly from Medicare.gov. According to Consumers Union, the data show that the majority of private insurers have consistently raised prices, sometimes at 2-3 times the rate of inflation.

Medicare beneficiaries might be bearing the brunt of price increases, especially because they usually are liable for a percentage of the drug's

price as a copayment. "We're seeing a lot of inflation," said Consumers Union Senior Policy Analyst Bill Vaughan in an interview.

The group also found that prices generally rise the most from December to January—after a beneficiary has locked into a plan for the upcoming year. The average increase for the five drugs as a package (Lipitor, Celebrex, Zoloft, nifedipine ER, and Altace) was \$369 from December 2007 to January 2008, according to Consumers Union.

"Most of these Medicare drug plans are increasing costs [at] double or triple the rate of inflation, which really torpedoes the insurance industry's claim that they are getting the best deal for seniors," said Mr. Vaughan. "These continual price hikes are Exhibit A for Congress to give renewed attention to negotiating drug prices on behalf of America's taxpayers and seniors.