# **Key 1-Year Benefits With Lifestyle Intervention**

Cardiovascular risk factors	Baseline	Change at 1 Year Intervention arm Controls	
Median CRP	4.2 mg/L	–1.24 mg/L	–0.35 mg/L
Hemoglobin A <sub>1c</sub>	7.3%	-0.7%	-0.2%
Body mass index	36 kg/m²	–3.2 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	–0.3 kg/m <sup>2</sup>
Fitness (submaximal, in metabolic equivalents)	5.1	+1.0	+0.3

Note: Based on data from 1,759 overweight or obese adults with type 2 diabetes. All differences between intervention-arm patients and controls are significant. Source: Dr. Belalcazar

#### Table 1 (contd)

	Titration	Maintenance	
System Organ Class Preferred Term	EMBEDA (N=547) n (%) <sup>1</sup>	EMBEDA (N=171) n (%)	Placebo (N=173) n (%)
Vomiting	46 (8.4%)	7 (4.1%)	2 (1.2%)
General disorders and administration site conditions	39 (7.1%)	9 (5.3%)	10 (5.8%)
Fatigue	16 (2.9%)	1 (0.6%)	2 (1.2%)
Nervous system disorders	135 (24.7%)	12 (7.0%)	11 (6.4%)
Dizziness	42 (7.7%)	2 (1.2%)	2 (1.2%)
Headache	22 (4.0%)	4 (2.3%)	2 (1.2%)
Somnolence	76 (13.9%)	2 (1.2%)	5 (2.9%)
Psychiatric disorders	34 (6.2%)	10 (5.8%)	9 (5.2%)
Insomnia	7 (1.3%)	5 (2.9%)	4 (2.3%)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	46 (8.4%)	7 (4.1%)	7 (4.0%)
Pruritus	34 (6.2%)	0	1 (0.6%)
Vascular disorders	4 (0.7%)	5 (2.9%)	2 (1.2%)
Flushing	0	4 (2.3%)	1 (0.6%)

<sup>1</sup>Adverse reactions are classified by System Organ Class and Preferred Term as defined by the Medical Dictionary of Regulatory Affairs (MedDRA) v9.1. If a subject had more than one AE that codes to the same Preferred Term, the subject was counted only once for that Preferred Term. <u>Long-Term Open-Label Safety</u> <u>Study</u>: In the long-term open-label safety study, 465 patients with chronic non-malignant pain were enrolled and 124 patients were treated for up to 1 year. The distributions of adverse events were similar to that of the randomized, controlled studies, and were consistent with the most common opioid related Into of the randomized, controlled studies, and were consistent with the most common opioid related adverse events. Adverse reactions, defined as treatment-related adverse events assessed by the investigators, reported by  $\geq 2.0\%$  of subjects are presented immediately below. Adverse Reactions Reported by  $\geq 2.0\%$  of subjects in Long-Term Safety Study – Safety Population (N=465): Any Related AE 288 (61.9%); foastrointestinal disorders 219 (47.1%); constipation 145 (31.2%); Diorthoea 10 (2.2%); Dry mouth 17 (3.7%); Nausea 103 (22.2%); Vomiting 37 (8.0%); General disorders and administration site conditions 51 (11.0%); Fatigue 19 (4.1%); Nervous system disorders 92 (21.3%); Dizziness 19 (4.1%); Headache 32 (6.9%); Somnolence 34 (7.3%); Psychiatric disorders 42 (9.0%); Anxiety 10 (2.2%); Insomnia 13 (2.8%); Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders 52 (11.2%); Hyperhidrosis 16 (3.4%); Pruritus 26 (5.6%). Adverse reactions are classified by System Organ Class and Preferred Term as defined by the Medical Dictionary of Regulatory Affairs (MedDRA) v9.1. If a subject had more than one AE that codes to the same Preferred Term, the subject was counted only once for that Preferred Term and defined by the Medical Dictionary of Regulatory Affairs (MedDRA) v9.1. If a subject had more than one AE that codes to the same Preferred Term, the subject was counted only once for that Preferred Term and defined by the Medical Dictionary of Regulatory Affairs (MedDRA) v9.1. If a subject had more than one AE that codes to the same Preferred Term, the subject was counted only once for that Preferred Term. <u>Adverse</u> <u>Reactions Observed in the Phase 2/3 Studies</u>: Most common ( $\geq 10\%$ ): constipation, nausea, somnolence **Common (\geq 10\% to <10%**); vomiting, headache, diziness, puritus, dry mouth, discorders; somnolence. **Common (\geq 10\% to <10%**); *Gastrointestinal disorders*: constipation, nausea, Nervous system disorders; somonlence. **Common (\geq 10\% to <10%**); *Gastrointestinal disorders*: and administration site conditions: chills, edenue adverse events. Adverse reactions, defined as treatment-related adverse events assessed by the investigators system disorders: dizziness, headache, lethargy, seddrion, tremor, *Psychiatric disorders*: anxiety, depression, insomnia, restlessness, *Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders*: hyperhidrosis, pruritus; *Vascular disorders*: hot flush. Less Common (<1%): Eye disorders: vision blurred, orthostatic hypotension; *Gastrointestinal* disorders: abdominal distension, parcentitis, abdominal discomfort, fecalorna, abdominal pain lower, abdominal tenderness; General disorders and administration site conditions: malaise, asthenia, feeling jittery, drug withdrawal syndrome: Hepatobiliary disorders: cholecystitis: Investigations: glanine aminotransferase and minutava synatome, reproduct users, choices, choices, intersignatios, adamte size disorders, myalgia increased, aspartate aminoantansferase increased, *Musculaskeletal and connective tissue disorders*: myalgia muscular weakness; *Nervous system disorders*: depressed level of consciousness, mental impairment Increased, superinter uninformaticals increased, maccharakteriar and contentive inside advantast, injudigu, muscular weakness; Nervous system disorders: depressed level of consciousness, mental impointment, amemory impairment, disturbance in attention, stupor, paraesthesia, coordination abnormal; *Psychiatric disorders:* disorientation, thinking abnormal, mental status changes, confusional state, euphoric mood, hallucination, abnormal dreams, mood swings, nervousness; *Renal and uninary disorders:* uninary retention, dysuria; *Reproductive system and breast disorders:* erectile dysfunction; *Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders:* dyspnea, thinorthoea; *Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders:* rash, piloerection, cold sweat, night sweats; *Vascular disorders:* hypotension, flushing. **USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS: Pregnancy:** *<u>Ieratogenic Effects:</u>* Pregnancy Category C: Teratogenic effects of morphine have been reported in the animal literature. High parental doses during the second trimester were teratogenic in neurological, soft and skeletal tissue. The abnormalities included encephalopathy and axial skeletal fusions. These doses were often maternally toxic and were 0.3 to 3-fold the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) on a mg/m<sup>2</sup> basis. The relative contribution of morphine-induced maternal hypoxia and malnutrition, each of which can be teratogenic, has not been clearly defined. Treatment of male rats with approximately 3-fold the MRHD for 10 days prior to mating decreased littler size and viability. *<u>Monteratogenic Effects</u>: Morphine given subcutaneously, at non-maternally toxic doses, to rats during the third trimester with approximately 0.15-fold the MRHD caused reversible reductions in brain and spinal cord volume, and testes size and body weight in the offspring, and decreased fartility in fernale offspring of rats and hamsters treated orally or intraperitoneally throughout pregnancy with 0.04- to 0.3-fold the MRHD of morphine have demonstrated delayed growth, motor an* responsiveness to morphine that persisted into adulthood. There are no well-controlled studies of chronic in utero exposure to morphine sulfate in human subjects. However, uncontrolled retrospective studies of human over the first month of life. Infants bom to opioid-abusing mothers are more often small for gestational age, have a decreased ventilatory response to CO<sub>2</sub>, and increased risk of sudden infant death syndrome. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of nattrexone in pregnant women. EMBEDA should only be used

during pregnancy if the need for strong opioid analgesia justifies the potential risk to the fetus. **Labor and Delivery:** EMBEDA is not recommended for use in women during and immediately prior to labor, where shorter acting analgesics or other analgesic techniques are more appropriate. Occasionally, opioid analgesics may prolong labor through actions which temporarily reduce the strength, duration, and frequency of uterime contractions. However, this effect is not consistent and may be offset by an increased rate of cervical dilatation which tends to shorten labor. Neonates whose mothers received opioid analgesics during labor should be observed closely for signs of respiratory depression. A specific opioid antagonist, such as naloxone or nalmefene, should be available for reversal of opioid-induced respiratory depression in the neonate. **Nursing Mothers:** Morphine is excreted in the maternal milk, and the milk to plasma morphine AUC ratio is about 2.5:1. The amount of morphine received by the infant depends on the maternal plasma concentration, amount of milk ingested by the infant, and the extent of first pass metabolism. Withdrawal symptoms can occur in breast-feeding infants when maternal administration of morphine sultate is stopped. Because of the potential for adverse reactions in nursing infants from EMBEDA, a decision should be made whether to discontinue nursing or discontinue the drug, taking into account the importance of the drug to the mother. **Pediatric Use:** The safety and efficacy of EMBEDA dil not include sufficient numbers of subjects aged 65 and over to determine whether they respond differently from younger subjects. The pharmacokinetics of EMBEDA have not been investigated in idelry patients (>65 years) although such patients were included in clinical studies. In a long-term open label safety study, the pre-dose plasma morphine concentrations ofter dose normalization were similar for subjects <65 years and those ≥65 years of gg. Other reported clinical experience has not identified dif morphine concentrations after dose normalization were similar for subjects <65 years and those ≥65 years of age. Other reported clinical experience has not identified differences in responses between the elderly and younger patients. In general, dose selection for an elderly patient should be cautious, usually starting at the low end of the dosing range, reflecting the greater frequency of decreased hepatic, renal, or cardiac function, and of concomitant disease or other drug therapy. **Neonatal Withdrawal Syndrome:** Chronic maternal use of opiates or opioids during pregnancy coexposes the fetus. The newborn may experience subsequent neonatal withdrawal syndrome (NWS). Manifestations of NWS include irritability, hyperactivity, abnormal sleep pattern, high-pitched cry, tremor, vomiting, diarrhea, weight loss, and failure to gain weight. The onset, duration, and severity of the disorder differ based on such factors as the addictive drug used, time and emanter of methers' lact dose, and rate of elimination of the drug from the pawborn. Anoroches to the duration, and severity of the disorder differ based on such factors as the addictive drug used, time and amount of mother's last dose, and rate of elimination of the drug from the newborn. Approaches to the treatment of this syndrome have included supportive care and, when indicated, drugs such as paregoric or phenobarbital. **Race:** Pharmacokinetic differences due to race may exist. Chinese subjects given intravenous morphine in one study had a higher clearance when compared to Caucasian subjects (1852 ± 116 mL/min versus 1495 ± 80 mL/min). **Hepatic Failure:** The pharmacokinetics of morphine was found to be significantly altered in individuals with alcoholic cirrhosis. The dearance was found to decrease with a corresponding increase in half-life. The morphine-3-glucuronide (M36) and morphine-6-glucuronide (M66) to morphine plasma AUC ratios also decreased in these patients indicating a decrease in metabolic activity. **Renal Insufficiency:** Ame Anamacokinetics. M36 of morphine is altered in renal failure patients. AUC is increased and dearance is decreased. The metabolities, M3G and M6G, accumulate several fold in renal failure patients compared with healthy subjects. Adequate studies of and woo, accumule several four in term return name patients compared with returnly subjects, acequine stores or nahrexone in patients with severe hepatic or renal impairment have not been conducted. **Breakthrough Pain/ Adverse Experiences:** Patients should be advised to report episodes of breakthrough pain and adverse experiences occurring during therapy. Individualization of dosage is essential to make optimal use of this medication. **Mental and/or Physical Ability:** Patients should be advised that EMBEDA may impair mental and/or physical ability required for the performance of potentially hazardous tasks (e.g., driving, operating machinery). Patients storted on EMBEDA or whose dose has been changed should refrain from dangerous activity until it is established that they are not adversely affected [see Warnings and Precautions] Avoidance of Alcohol or Other CNS Depressants: Patients should be advised that EMBEDA should Avoidance of Alcohol or UTHER CNS Depressionts: Patients should be advised that EMBEDA should not be taken with alcohol, prescription or non-prescription medications containing alcohol, or other CNS depressants (sleeping medication, tranquilizers) except by the orders of the prescribing healthcare provider because dangerous additive effects may occur resulting in serious injury or death [see Warnings and Precautions]. **Pregnancy:** Women of childbearing potential who become or are planning to become pregnant, should consult their prescribing healthcare provider prior to initiating or continuing therapy with EMBEDA [see Use in Specific Populations]. **Cessation of Therapy:** Patients should be advised that if they have been receiving treatment with EMBEDA for more than a few weeks and cessation of therapy is indicated, it may be appropriate to taper the EMBEDA dose, rather than obterdy continue it, due to the see of arearchitation withdrawal symptoms. Their prescribing healthcare provider provider provider prescribing healthcare provider and the area of the advised that if they have been receiving the taper the EMBEDA dose, rather than obter approximate it doe to the set. of precipition withdrawal symptoms. Their prescribing healthcare provider provider provider and a dose of the previder provider provider provider provider provider being the prevident of the previdence provider provider provider provider previdence provider providence provider provider provider provider providence providence provider provider provider provider provider providence providence providence provider provider provider providence providence provider provider provider providence providence providence provider provider providence providence providence provider provider providence pr risk of precipitating withdrawal symptoms. Their prescribing healthcare provider should provide a dose schedule to accomplish a gradual discontinuation of the medication. **Drug of Abuse:** Patients should never be given to anyone other than the individual for whom it was prescribed *[see Warnings and Precautions]*. **Constipation:** Patients should be advised that severe constipation could occur as a result of training EMBEDA. and appropriate laxatives, stool softeners and other appropriate treatments should be initiated from the beginning of opioid therapy. **Storage/Destruction of Unused EMBEDA:** Patients should be instructed to keep EMBEDA in a secure place out of the reach of children. When EMBEDA is no longer needed, the unused capsules should be destroyed by flushing down the toilet.

### FDA-Approved Patient Labeling

#### [See separate leaflet.]

Manufactured for: King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 501 Fifth Street, Bristol, TN 37620 (Telephone: 1-800-776-3637)

by: Actavis Elizabeth LLC, 200 Elmora Avenue, Elizabeth, NJ 07207 USA

EMBEDA is a trademark of Alpharma Pharmaceuticals LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of King Pharmaceuticals,

To report SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS, contact King Pharmaceuticals, Inc. at 1-800-546-4905 or DSP@Kingpharm.com or FDA at 1-800-FDA-1088 or www.fda.gov/medwatch U.S. Patent Numbers: 5,202,128; 5,378,474; 5,330,766

lune 2009 v.1

King Pharmaceuticals www.KinaPharm.com Copyright© 2009 King Pharmaceuticals®, Inc. All rights reserved. EMB6201 08/2009

Lifestyle Intervention **Reduces CRP in Diabetes** 

## BY BRUCE JANCIN

ORLANDO — A behavioral lifestyle intervention focused on diet and exercise in obese individuals with type 2 diabetes resulted in an impressive reduction in elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels at 1 year in the Look AHEAD study.

"The magnitude of reduction in CRP was similar to that seen in statin trials, particularly JUPITER," Dr. Maria Belalcazar noted at the annual scientific sessions of the American Heart Association. That's an encouraging parallel in light

of the spectacular success of JUPITER (Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: An Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin), which was halted for ethical reasons after a median 1.9 years of follow-up because of a significant reduction in cardiovascular events in statin-treated nondiabetic subjects with increased systemic inflammation as reflected by an elevated baseline CRP, said Dr. Belalcazar of the University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston.

The intervention utilized in the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) study led to salutary changes in glycosylated hemoglobin, body weight, blood pressure, fitness, and need for antidiabetic medications. Of note, only the changes in glycosylated hemoglobin, body mass index, and waist circumference independently predicted a reduction in CRP level in multivariate regression analyses.

'Improvements in glucose control achieved with the intensive lifestyle intervention in Look AHEAD were associated with a decrease in CRP levels independently of changes in weight, fitness, and lipid control. This suggests that the modality with which glucose is lowered is important when considering its effect on CRP," Dr. Belalcazar said.

Look AHEAD is a 16-center U.S. study involving 5,145 overweight or obese adults with type 2 diabetes. They were randomized to the intensive lifestyle intervention or usual care. All continued to see their primary care physicians. The 1year impact on traditional cardiovascular risk factors was previously reported (Diabetes Care 2007;30:1374-83).

Dr. Belalcazar reported on the 1,759 participants for whom baseline and 1year CRP measurements were available. The investigators decided to take a closer look at this subgroup in light of the JUPITER results. (See box.)

The weight loss intervention included group and one-on-one sessions with psychologists, dieticians, and exercise specialists. Liquid meal replacements and low-calorie frozen entrees were provided free of charge. The home-based physical activity program encouraged a build-up to a target of 175 minutes of moderateintensity exercise per week.

The central question is whether the reduction in CRP and other benefits on surrogate markers of cardiovascular risk achieved through the lifestyle intervention will translate into a significant decrease in cardiovascular events during ongoing follow-up planned for 8 more years.

Disclosures: The Look AHEAD study is sponsored by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Dr. Belalcazar reported no financial conflicts.