Specifically, the median duration of follow-up was 30 weeks for placebo and 31 weeks for REMICADE.), 17% of patients receiving REMICADE experienced elevations in ALT at >1 to <3 times the ULN compared to 12% of patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations ≥3 times the ULN were observed in 2% of patients who received REMICADE compared with 1% of patients who received placebo. ALT elevations ≥3 times the ULN were observed in 1% of patients in both REMICADE and placebo groups. In an AS clinical trial (median follow up 24 weeks) 40% of patients receiving REMICADE experienced elevations in ALT at >1 to <3 times the ULN omerared to 13% of patients who received placebo. ALT elevations ≥4 times the ULN were observed in 6% of patients who received REMICADE compared to 13% of patients who received placebo. ALT elevations ≥3 times the ULN were observed in 6% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients who received placebo. ALT elevations ≥3 times the ULN were observed in 6% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients who received placebo. ALT elevations ≥5 times the ULN were observed in 6% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients who received placebo. 40% of patients receiving REMICADE experienced elevations in ALT at >1 to <3 times the ULN compared to 13% of patients who received PAEMICADE compared to none in patients who received placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 5 times ULN were observed in 6% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. In a PsA clinical trial (median follow up 24 weeks for REMICADE group and 18 weeks in placebo group) 42% of patients receiving REMICADE experienced elevations in ALT at >1 to <3 times the ULN compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 3 times the ULN were observed in 5% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 3 times the ULN were observed in 2% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 5 times ULN were observed in 2% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 5 times ULN were observed in 2% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 45 times ULN were observed in 3% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 5 times ULN were observed in 3% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 5 tul. V were observed in 3% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 5 tul. Were observed in 3% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$ 5 tul. Were observed in 3% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$  5 tul. Were observed in 3% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. ALT elevations  $\geq$  5 tul. Were observed in 3% of patients who received REMICADE compared to none in patients treated with placebo. A infusion reactions, with no notable difference between treatment groups. Of the 112 patients in Study Peds Crohn's, there were no serious infusion reactions, and 2 patients had non-serious anaphylactoid reactions. Antibodies to REMICADE developed in 3% of pediatric patients in Study Peds Crohn's. Elevations of ALT up to 3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN) were seen in 18% of pediatric patients in CO clinical trials; 4% had ALT elevations ≥3 × ULN, and 1% had elevations ≥5 × ULN. (Median follow-up was 53 weeks.) The most common serious adverse events reported in the post-marketing experience with REMICADE in the pediatric population have also included malignancies, including hepatosplenic T-cell lymphomas (see *Boxed WARNINGS* and *WARNINGS*), transient hepatic enzyme abnormalities, lupus-like syndromes, and the development of autoantibodies. Adverse Reactions in **Psoriasis Studies** During the placebo-controlled portion across the three clinical trials up to Week 16, the proportion of patients who experienced at least 1 SAE (defined as resulting in death.) Ife threatening, requires hospitalization, or persistent or significant disability/incapacity) was 1.7% in the 3 mg/kg REMICADE group, 3.2% in the placebo group, and 3.9% in the 5 mg/kg REMICADE group. Among patients in the 2 Phase 3 studies, 12.4% of patients receiving REMICADE group, MicRADE 3 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg ever 9 weeks, respectively, through one year of maintenance treatment experienced at least 1 SAE. In *Gene* 10.0% and 1.3% of patients receiving REMICADE 5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg arey 6 meeks, through one year of maintenance treatment experienced at least 1 SAE in *Gene* 1.9% of adiatist receiving REMICADE 5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg arey 1 year of treatment experienced at least 1 SAE. In *Gene* 4.1% of patients receiving REMICADE 5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg arey 1 year of treatment experienced at least 1 serious infection. In Study II, 0.7% of patients receiving REMICADE 5 mg/kg and are listed below. The types and frequencies of adverse reaction's observed were similar in REMICADE-treated RA, AS, PSA, plaque PSO and CD patients except for abdominal pain, which occurred in 26% of REMICADE-treated patients with CD. In the CD studies, there were insufficient numbers and duration of follow-up for patients who never received REMICADE to provide meaningful comparisons. The percentages of adverse events for placebo-treated patients (n=350; average weeks of follow-up 59) and REMICADE-treated patients (n=1129; average weeks of follow-up 66), respectively, are: *Gastrointestinal:* Nausea: 20, 21; Abdominal pain: 8, 12; Diarrhea: 12, 12; Dyspepsia: 7, 10; Respiratory: Upper respiratory tract infection: 25, 32; Sinustitis: 8, 14; Pharyngtinis: 8, 12; Coornochtitis: 9, 10; Nithitis: 5, 8; *Skin and appendages disorders*: Barls: 5, 10; Prunitis: 2, 7; *Body as a whole—general disorders*: Fleadache: 14, 18; *Musculoskelatal system disorders*: Beack pain: 5, 8; Arthraligi: 7, 8; Uninary system disorders: Uninary tract infection: 5, 7; *Bocque as a whole—general disorders*: general: Hypertension: 5, 7; *Bocque as clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions*, adverse reaction rates observed in clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in clinical trials of another drug and may not predict the rates observed in broader patient populations in clinical practice. The most common serious adverse events boserved in clinical trials were infections (see *ADVERSE EACTIONS, Infections*). Other serious, medically relevant adverse events aboserved in clinical risk and *ADVERSE EACTIONS, Infections*). Centaria, adema surgical/procedural sequela; *Blood*: pancytopenia; *Cardiovascular*: circulatory failure, hypotension, syncope; *Gastrointestinal*: constipation, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, lieus, intestinal obstruction, intestinal perforation, intestinal stenosis, panceatitis, pertonitis, proctalgia; *Central & Peripheral Nervous*: meningitis, neuritis, peripheral neuropathy, d except for abdominal pain, which occurred in 26% of REMICADE-treated patients with CD. In the CD studies, there were insufficient nu Hepatotoxicity). Because these events are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to REMICADE exposure. **OVERDOSAGE**: Single doses up to 20 mg/kg have been administered without any frequency or establish a causal relationship to REMICADE exposure. **OVERDOSAGE:** Single doses up to 20 mg/kg have been administered without any direct toxic effect. In case of overdosage, it is recommended that the patient be monitored for any signs or symptoms of adverse reactions or effects and appropriate symptomatic treatment instituted immediately. **Administration Instructions Regarding Intusion Reactions** Adverse effects during administration of REMICADE have included flu-like symptoms, headache, dyspnea, hypotension, transient fever, chills, gastrointestinal symptoms, and skin rashes. Anaphylaxis might occur at any time during REMICADE infusion. Approximately 20% of REMICADE-treated patients in all clinical trials experienced an infusion reaction compared with 10% of placebo-treated patients (see *ADVERSE REACTIONS, Infusion-related Reactions*). Prior to infusion with REMICADE, premedication may be administered at the physician's discretion. Premedication could include antihistamines (anti-H1 +/ anti-H2), acetaminophen and/or corticosteroids. During infusion, mild to moderate infusion reactions may improve following or suspension of the infusion and upon resolution of the reaction, reinitation at a lower infusion rate and/or therapeutic administration of antihistamines, acetaminophen, and/or corticosteroids. For patients that do not tolerate the infusion following these interventions, REMICADE should be discontinued. During or following infusion, patients that have severe infusion-related hypersensitivity reactions. Should be discontinued. Toming or the variable of severe infusion reactions should be dicated by the signs and symptoms of the reaction. Appropriate personnel and medication should be available to treat anaphylaxis if it occurs.

REFERENCES: 1. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;161:S221–S247. 2. See latest Centers for Disease Control guidelines and recommendations for tuberculosis testing in immunocompromised patients. 3. Cardam MA, Keystone EC, Menzies R, et al. Anti-tumor necrosis factor agents and tuberculosis risk: mechanisms of action and clinical management. Lancet Inflect Dis 2003;3:148-155. 4. Belhadj K, Reyes F, Farcet JP, et al. Hepatosplenic γ6 T-cell lymphoma is a rare clinicopathologic entity with poor outcome: report on a series of 21 patients. Biod. 2003;102(13):4261-4269.

© 2006 Centocor, Inc. Malvern, PA 19355, USA 1-800-457-6399 License #1242 Revised October 2006 IN06722

## Antimalarials May Lower Risk for Cancer in Lupus

## BY DIANA MAHONEY New England Bureau

ntimalarial drugs may confer some protection against cancer in lupus patients, according to the results of a prospective cohort study that linked treatment with antimalarials to improved cancer-free survival.

In a study of 235 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) from an ongoing, prospective observational study, those who had been treated with antimalarials were significantly less likely to develop cancer over a median 10-year follow-up than those who had never been treated with the drugs, according to Dr. Guillermo Ruiz-Irastorza of the Hospital de Cruces in Bizkaia, Spain, and colleagues.

Previous studies have suggested that individuals with SLE are at increased risk for developing certain types of malignancies, although the causes for this increased susceptibility remain unknown. The possibility that antimalarial agents may have an anticarcinogenic effect might impact treatment decisions in this patient population, the authors wrote (Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2007 Jan. 4 [Epub doi:10.1136/ard.2006.067777]).

Antimalarials such as hydroxychloroquine (Plaquenil), chloroquine, and quinacrine are frequently used to treat both the skin and systemic symptoms of mild to moderate lupus. Recent studies have demonstrated important long-term effects of the drugs in lupus patients, including a reduction in the accrual of disease-related damage and a reduction in long-term mortality, the authors wrote. Antimalarials have also demonstrated potential antineoplastic properties in investigations looking at their use as adjuvant cancer therapy agents.

Based on these collective findings, Dr. Ruiz-Irastorza and colleagues sought to determine the impact of antimalarials on cancer risk in lupus. Of the 235 patients included in the investigation, 156 had ever received antimalarial treatment and 79 had not. Only 2 of the patients in the antimalarial-treated group had developed a radiologically or histologically confirmed neoplasm during the study follow-up, compared with 11 of the 79 patients who had never been treated, the authors reported.

All of the patients in the study fulfilled the updated American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification of SLE and were included in the cohort at the time of lupus diagnosis. Pediatric lupus patients (younger than 14 years) enrolled in the larger observational study were excluded from the current investigation.

As per study protocol, most of the patients in the cohort underwent clinical and immunologic assessment every 3 months. Patients with long-standing inactive disease required fewer visits, while those with active disease required more frequent visits.

The study criteria for antimalarial exposure included treatment with the agents for any period of 6 months or longer. The median time on antimalarial drugs was 56 months.

To compare the frequencies of cancer in the patients ever treated with antimalarials and those who were never treated with the drugs, the investigators used a chi-square test and created Kaplan-Meier free-of-cancer survival curves. They used a COX proportional hazards model to adjust for variables that could potentially influence the development of neoplasms: age at diagnosis; year of diagnosis; gender; treatment with azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, and methotrexate; smoking history; and SDI at 6 months after lupus diagnosis. "Treatment variables were only counted if patients received the [antimalarial] drug prior to the time of diagnosis of cancer," the authors wrote.

The COX model showed that, compared with patients who had never received antimalarials, the patients who received them were younger, more likely to have received methotrexate, and less likely to have severe organ damage at 6 months. In addition, patients diagnosed with lupus between 1996 and 2005 were more likely to receive antimalarials than those diagnosed prior to 1996.

With a total observation time of 2,620 patient-years, the incidence of cancer in the full cohort was 4.9/1,000 patient-years, according to the authors. The specific neoplasms observed in the cohort included basal cell carcinoma in three patients and glioblastoma in two, and in one patient each hepatocarcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, endometrial carcinoma, cervical carcinoma, breast cancer, sarcoma, urothelial carcinoma, and Hodgkin's lymphoma. The median time to cancer diagnosis from the time of lupus diagnosis was 3 years.

The cumulative cancer-free survival rates for patients ever treated with antimalarials compared with the never-treated group was 0.98 vs. 0.73, respectively. "The adjusted [hazards ratio] of patients treated with antimalarials was 0.15," the authors wrote. The only additional variables that showed independent associations with cancer were age at diagnosis and male gender, Dr. Ruiz-Irastorza and colleagues reported.

The limitations of the current investigation included the fact that patients in the cohort who were ever treated with antimalarials were younger and had less severe organ damage at the time of diagnosis, although severity of damage was not associated with cancer in the analysis, the authors stressed. In addition, some patients in the antimalarial group spent some time at risk for malignancy before receiving the drugs, although "a significant association with a lower frequency of cancer is unlikely to be explained by this fact," they wrote.

Larger, more ethnically diverse cohorts (99% of the current cohort was white) are needed to confirm the hypothesis that antimalarials exert some favorable action against some of the predisposing factors in patients with SLE, according to the authors. Such confirmation "would reinforce the recommendation of universal use of hydroxychloroquine in all patients with SLE," they concluded.