## **CCHIT Releases First List of Certified EHRs**

BY MARY ELLEN SCHNEIDER New York Bureau

the Certification Commission for Healthcare Information Technology has unveiled its first list of 20 ambulatory electronic health record products that meet its standards for functionality, interoperability, and security.

CCHIT was formed in 2004 by three leading health IT management and technology industry associations. It is under contract to the federal government to develop certification criteria for EHRs and evaluate products. In this first round, CCHIT officials gave their seal of approval to 18 products that met all certification standards. Two additional products were given conditional premarket certification pending verification by users.

The certified products are designed to serve the spectrum of physician practices, Dr. Mark Leavitt, CCHIT chair, said during a press conference. Vendors whose products were certified received a CCHIT seal of approval that the product met 2006 standards, Dr. Leavitt said. That certification is good for up to 3 years or vendors can come back to CCHIT each year to be certified under the updated standards, he said.

This year's standards included some baseline interoperability functionality related to receiving lab results, but the bulk of the interoperability requirements will be applied starting next year, once standards have been harmonized, he said.

At the press conference, Health and Human Services Secretary Mike Leavitt announced HHS soon will publish a final rule creating safe harbors in federal antikickback statute and physician self-referral laws that would allow hospital systems and other large provider groups to donate health IT products to physicians in certain cases. The proposed rule was issued in October.

The list of certified products is available at www.cchit.org.

structurally related to trogiltazone, a thiazolidinedione no longer marketed in the United States, which was associated with idio-syncratic hepatotoxicity and rare cases of liver failure, liver transplants, and death during clinical use. Pending the availability of the results of additional large, long-term controlled clinical trials and additional postmarketing safety data, it is recommended that patients treated with AVANDAMET undergo periodic monitoring of liver enzymes. Liver enzymes should be checked prior to the initiation of therapy with AVANDAMET should not be initiated in patients with increased baseline liver enzyme levels (ALT >2.5 Xupper limit of normal). Patients with mildly devaded liver enzymes (ALT levels. 2.5 Xupper limit of normal) at base-line or during therapy with AVANDAMET should not be initiated liver enzyme (ALT levels. 2.5 Xupper limit of normal). Patients with mildly devaded liver enzyme (ALT exest. 2.5 Xupper limit of normal). Patients with mildly evalued to determine the cause of the liver enzyme elevation. Initiation of, or continuation of, therapy with AVANDAMET in patients with mild liver enzyme levations, should proceed with caution and include close clinical follow-up, including more frequent liver enzyme limit of normal in patients on therapy with AVANDAMET liver enzyme levels should be erchecked as soon as possible. If ALT levels remain >3X the upper limit of normal, therapy with AVANDAMET should be discontinued. AVANDAMET should not be used in patients who experienced jauncie while taking trogitazone. If any patient develops symptoms suggesting hepatic dysfunction, which may include unexplained masea, vom-ting, abdominal pain, fatigue, anorexia, and/or dark urine, liver enzymes should be clicocatious is confirmed, therapy with AVANDAMET should be discontinued. In addition, if the presence of hepatic disease or hepatic dysfunction or sufficient magnitude to predispose to lactic acidosis is confirmed, therapy with AVANDAMET should be discontinued. Thera er no data available Jadinice Wille Wille Wille Wille Wardback AvANDAWET stolud into be used in patients who experienced plantice Wille daving frogmatorie. Laboratory Tests: Periodic fasting blood glucose and HbA1c measurements should be performed to monitor therapeutic response. Liver enzyme monitoring is recommended prior to initiation of therapy with AVANDAMET in all patients and period-ically thereafter (see PRECAUTIONS, Hepatic Effects and ADVERSE REACTIONS, Laboratory Ahommalities, Serum Transaminase Levels). Initial and periodic monitoring of hematologic parameters (e.g., hemoglobin/hematocrit and red blood cell indices) and renal function (serum creatinine) should be performed, at least on an annual basis. While megaloblastic anemia has rarely been seen with metformin therapy, if this is suspected, vitamin B<sub>12</sub> deficiency should be excluded.

can include y and reactions with metformin treatmine is nound be performed, at lease or an animal basis. In the method with the performed, at lease or an animal basis are by the performed and the performance and therence to dietary instructions, weight loss, and a regular exercise program because these methods help improve insulin sensitivity. The importance of adherence to dietary instructions, weight loss, and a regular exercise program because these methods help improve insulin sensitivity. The importance of adherence to dietary instructions, weight loss, and a regular exercise program because these methods help improve insulin sensitivity. The importance of adherence to dietary instructions, weight loss, and a regular exercise program because these methods help improve insulin sensitivity. The importance of regular testing of blood glucose, glycosylated that AVANDAMET can beein to take effect 10 2 weeks after initiation, however it can take 2 to 3 months to see the full effect of glycemic improvement. The risks of lactic acidosis, its symptoms, and conditions that perdispose to its development, madise, unusual somolence, or other nonspecific symptoms occur. Once a patient is stabilized on any dose level of AVANDAMET, gastrointestinal symptoms could be due to lactic acidosis or other sensious disease. Patients should be informed that blood will be drawn to check their liver function prior to the start of therapy and periodically thereafter per the should be informed that blood will be drawn to check their liver function prior to the start of therapy and periodically thereafter per the clinical Judgment of the heatthera erofessional. Patients with unexplained symptoms of heart fulliure while on AVANDAMET. Is should be commended. This possible effect has not been symptom is to their physician. Therapy with AVANDAMET, like other thiazo-lifedinedines, m

investigated in clinical studies so the frequency of this occurrence is not known. **Drug Interactions:** An inhibitor of CYP2C8 (such as gemfibrozii) may increase the AUC of rosiglitazone and an inducer of CYP2C8 (such as rifampin) may decrease the AUC of rosiglitazone. Therefore, if an inhibitor or an inducer of CYP2C8 is started or stopped during treatment with rosiglitazone, changes in diabetes treatment may be needed based upon clinical response. Although drug interactions with cationic drugs (e.g., amiloride, digoxin, morphine, proclainamide, quinidine, quinine, ranitidine, triamterene, trimethorim, and vancomycin) remain theoretical (except for cimetidine), careful patient monitoring and dose adjustment of AVANDAMET and/or the interfering drug is recommended in patients who are taking cationic medica-tions that are excreted via the proximal renal hubular secretory system. When drugs that produce hyperglycemia which may lead to loss of glycemic control. (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Drug Interactions in full prescribing information.) **Contracted Businese Linearisment of Entities** (Na actional chardine hub has conducted with the combined enductor

Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility: No animal studies have been conducted with the combined products in AVANDAMET. The following data are based on findings in studies performed with rosiglitazone or metrormin individually. *Rosiglitazone maleate:* Rosiglitazone was not carcinogenic in the mouse. There was an increase in incidence of adipose hyper-plasia in the mouse at doses >1.5 mg/kg/day (approximately 2 times human AUC at the maximum recommended human daily dose of the rosiglitazone component of AVANDAMET). In rats, there was a significant increase in the incidence of beingn adi-pose tissue tumors (lipomas) at doses >0.3 mg/kg/day (approximately 2 times human AUC at the maximum recommended human daily dose of the rosiglitazone component of AVANDAMET). These proliferative changes in both species are considered due to the persistent pharmacological oversimulation of adipose tissue. Rosiglitazone was not mutagenic or clastogenic in the in vitro bacterial assays for gene mutation, the in vitro chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes, the in vitro mouse micronucleus test, and the in vivo/in vitro rat UDS assay. There was a small (about 2-fold) increase in mutation in the in vitro mouse lymphoma assay in the presence of metabolic activation. Rosiglitazone compoment of AVANDAMET). Rosiglitazone earbed estrous cyclicity (2 mg/kg/day) and reduced fertility (4 mg/kg/day) of female rats in association with lower plasma levels of progesterone and estradiol (approximately 20 and 200 times human AUC at the maximum recommended human daily dose of the rosiglitazone component of AVANDAMET). In juvenile rats dosed from 27 days of age through to sexual maturity (4 up to 40 mg/kg/day), there was no effect on mate reproductive performance, or on estrus cyclicity, mating performance or pre-nancy incidence in females (approximately 26 times human AUC at the maximum recommended human daily dose of the rosiglitazone component of AVANDAMET). In juvenile rats dosed from 27 days of age through to sexual Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility: No animal studies have been conducted with the combined pro in AVANDAMET. The following data are based on findings in studies performed with rosiglitazone or metformin individu

Animal Toxicology: Heart vegicity serve increased in mice (3 mg/kg/day), rats (5 mg/kg/day), and dogs (2 mg/kg/day) with rosiglitazone treatments (approximately 5, 22, and 2 times human AUC at the maximum recommended human daily dose of the rosiglitazone component of AVANDANET, respectively). Effects in juvenile rats were consistent with those seen in adults. Morphometric measurement indicated that there was hypertrophy in cardiac ventricular tissues, which may be due to increased heart work as a result of plasma volume expansion.

Morphometric measurement indicated that there was hypertrophy in cardiac ventricular tissues, which may be due to increased heart work as a result of plasma volume expansion. **Pregnancy: Pregnancy: Crease** current information strongly suggests that abnormal blood glucose levels during pregnancy are associated with a higher incidence of congenital anomalies as well as increased nenatal morbidity and mortality, most experts recommend that insulin monotherapy be used during pregnancy to maintain blood glucose levels as close to normal as possible. AVANDAMET should not be used during pregnancy unless the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women with AVANDAMET or its individual components. No animal studies have been conducted with the combined products in AVANDAMET. The following data are based on findings in studies performed with rosigilitazone or metformin individually. **Rosigilitazone maleate:** There was no effect on implantation or the embryo with rosigilitazone treatment during early pregnancy in rats, but treatment during mid-tale gestation was associated with letal death and growth retardation in both rats and rabbits. Teratogenicity was not observed at doses up to 3 mg/kg in rats and 100 mg/kg in rabbits. ANANDAMET, respectively). Rosigilitazone caused placental pathology in rats (3 mg/kg/day). Irreatment of rats during gestation through lacation reduced litter size, neonatal viabilits, and postnatal growth, with growth retardation is eoffspring, the no-effect dose was 0.2 mg/kg/day in rats and 15 mg/kg/day or effects on the placenta, embryo<sup>7</sup>/etus, and foscijitazone caused placental pathology for 27 days of age through to sexual maturity (approximately 68 times human AUC at the maximum recommended haily dose). The no-effect dose was 0.2 mg/kg/day in rats and 15 mg/kg/day. This represente are versited at 40 mg/kg/day from 27 days of age through to sexual maturity (approximately 68 times human AUC at the maximum re

Labor and Delivery: The effect of AVANDAMET or its components on labor and delivery in humans is unknown

Nursing Mothers: No studies have been conducted with the combined components of AVANDAMET. In studies performed with the individual components, both rosiglitazone-related material and metformin were detectable in milk from lactating rats. It is not known whether rosiglitazone and/or metformin is excreted in human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, AVANDAMET should not be administered to a nursing woman. If AVANDAMET is discontinued, and if diet alone is inad-equate for controlling blood glucose, insulin therapy should be considered.

equate for controlling blood glucose, insulin therapy should be considered. Pediatric Use: Rosiglitazone maleate: After placebo run-in including diet counseling, children with type 2 diabetes melitus, aged 10 to 17 years and with a baseline mean body mass index (BMI) of 33 kg/m², were randomized to treatment with 2 mg twice daily of rosiglitazone (n = 99) or 500 mg twice daily of metformin (n = 101) in a 24-week, double-bind clinical trial. As expected, fasting plasma glucose (FPG) decreased in patients naive to diabetes medication (n = 104) and increased in patients withdrawn from prior medication (usually metformin) (n = 90) during the run-in period. After at least 8 weeks of treatment, 49% of rosiglitazone-treated patients and 55% of metformin-treated patients had their dose doubled if PFO >126 mg/dL. For the overall intert-to-treat population, at week 24, the mean change from baseline in HbA1c was -0.14% with rosiglitazone and -0.49% with metformin. There was an insufficient number of patients in this study to establish statistically whether these observed mean treatment effects were similar or different. Treatment effects differed for patients naive to therapy with antidi-abetic drugs and for patients previously treated with antidiabetic therapy. Week 24 FPG and HbA1c Change from Baseline Last-Observation-Carried Forward in Children with Baseline HbA1c >6.5%

week 24 FPG and HDATC Change from Baseline Last-Observation-Carried Forward in Children with Baseline HDATC >0.5%						
	Naïve Patients		Previously-Treated Patients			
	Metformin	Rosiglitazone	Metformin	Rosiglitazone		
N	40	45	43	32		
FPG (mg/dL)						
Baseline (mean) Change from baseline (mean) Adjusted Treatment Difference*	170 21	165 -11	221 -33	205 -5		
(rosiglitazone-metformin)† (95% CI) % of patients with ≥30 mg/dL decrease from baseline	43%	8 (-15, 30) 27%	44%	21 (-9, 51) 28%		
HbA1c (%)						
Baseline (mean) Change from baseline (mean) Adjusted Treatment Difference*	8.3 -0.7	8.2 0.5	8.8 -0.4	8.5 0.1		
(rosiglitazone – metformin)† (95% Cl) % of patients with ≥0.7% decrease from baseline	63%	0.2 (-0.6, 0.9) 52%	54%	0.5 (-0.2, 1.3) 31%		
Change from baseline means are least squares means adjusting for baseline HbA1c, gender, and region. Positive values for the difference favor metformin.						

Treatment differences depended on baseline BMI or weight such that the effects of rosigilitazone and metformin appeared more closely comparable among heavier patients. The median weight gain was 2.8 kg with rosigilitazone and 0.2 kg with metformin (see PRECAUTIONS, Rosigilitazone maleate, Weight Gain). Fifty four percent of patients treated with rosigilitazone and 32% of patients treated with metformin gained ≥2 kg, and 33% of patients treated with rosigilitazone and 7% of patients treated with metformin gained ≥5 kg on study. Adverse events observed in this study are described in ADVERSE REACTIONS, Pediatric. Mean HbA1c Over Time in a 24-Week Study of Rosiglitazone and Metformin in Pediatric Patients — Drug-Naïve Subgroup



Geriatric Use: Metformin is known to be substantially excreted by the kidney and because the risk of serious adverse reac-tions to the drug is greater in patients with impaired renal function, AVANDAMET should only be used in patients with normal renal function (see CONTRAINDICATIONS, WARNINGS, and CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Pharmacokinetics in complete pre-scribing information). Because reduced renal function is associated with increasing age, AVANDAMET should be used with caution in elderly patients. Care should be taken in dose selection and should be based on careful and regular monitoring of renal function. Generally, elderly patients should not be titrated to the maximum dose of AVANDAMET (see also wARNINGS and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION in complete prescribing information).

ADVERSE REACTIONS: Adult: The incidence and types of adverse events reported in a controlled, 32-week double-blind clinical trial of AVANDAMET as initial therapy (n = 468) are shown below. Adverse Events (>5% in Any Treatment Group) Reported by Patients in a 32-week Double-blind Clinical Trial of AVANDAMET as Initial Therany

	AVANDAMET N = 155	Metformin N = 154	Rosiglitazone N = 159
Preferred term	%	%	%
Vausea/vomiting	16	13	8
Diarrhea	14	21	7
Headache	11	12	10
Dyspepsia	10	8	9
Jpper respiratory tract infection	9	7	8
Dizziness	8	3	5
dema	6	3	7
lasopharyngitis	6	5	4
Abdominal pain	5	6	7
Arthralgia	5	3	7
.oose Štools	5	6	1
Constipation	5	4	6
nfluenza	1	2	6

The incidence and types of adverse events reported in controlled, 26-week clinical trials of rosiglitazone maleate administered as second-line therapy in combination with metformin hydrochloride 2,500 mg/day are shown below, in comparison to adverse reactions reported in association with rosiglitazone and metformin monotherapies.

erse Events (≥5% in Any Treatment Group) Reported by Patients in 26-week Double-blind Clinical Trials of iglitazone Added to Metformin as Second-Line Therapy

	Rosiglitazone N = 2,526	Placebo N = 601	Metformin N = 225	plus metformin N = 338
Preferred term	%	%	%	%
Upper respiratory	9.9	8.7	8.9	16.0
tract infection				
Injury	7.6	4.3	7.6	8.0
Héadache	5.9	5.0	8.9	6.5
Back pain	4.0	3.8	4.0	5.0
Hyperglycemia	3.9	5.7	4.4	2.1
Fatique	3.6	5.0	4.0	5.9
Sinusitis	3.2	4.5	5.3	6.2
Diarrhea	2.3	3.3	15.6	12.7
Viral infection	3.2	4.0	3.6	5.0
Arthralgia	3.0	4.0	2.2	5.0
Anemia	1.9	0.7	2.2	7.1
In the double blind trial	avaluating AVANDAMET a	a initial thereas ( mild (	a intervention required	to moderate (miner inter

In the double-blind trial evaluating AVANDAMET as initial therapy, mild (no intervention required) to moderate (minor inter-vention required) symptomatic hypoglycemia was reported by 18/155 (12%) of patients treated with AVANDAMET, 14/154 (9%) with metromin, and 13/169 (8%) with rosigilitazone. Approximately half of these episodes were accompanied by a simul-taneous capillary glucose measurement, and the rate of confirmed hypoglycemia (blood glucose <50mg/dL) was low in this clinical study: 0.6% (1/155) for AVANDAMET, 1.3% (2/154) for metformin and 0% with rosigilitazone. No hypoglycemia episode led to withdrawal with AVANDAMET treatment, and no patients required medical intervention due to hypoglycemia.