Is Your Apnea Patient at Risk for a Car Accident?

BY JANE SALODOF MACNEIL Southwest Bureau

SCOTTSDALE, ARIZ. — Evidencebased medicine provides no easy answers for a physician who must decide whether to report an obstructive sleep apnea patient to the state department of motor vehicles, according to Dr. Brian A. Boehlecke.

Numerous studies have failed to identify a method for determining which individuals with obstructive sleep apnea are

CHANTIX

(varenicline) tablets

PRECAUTIONS

INDICATIONS AND USAGE CHANTIX is indicated as an aid to smoking cessation treatment

more likely to have motor vehicle accidents, he said at a meeting on sleep medicine sponsored by the American College of Chest Physicians.

"There is no correlation between symptoms and objective measures of vigilance or performance," said Dr. Boehlecke, a professor of medicine at the University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill, reviewing one of many studies with similar findings.

People with the disorder are more likely to be in a motor vehicle accident, he

Before prescribing, please consult Full Prescribing Information.

said, but the overall risk is low. In one report, patients had more crashes than did a control group during a 3-year period (odds ratio 2.6). Some patients had two and three crashes, but most did not have any accidents, and no physiologic markers predicted which patients were at greater risk (Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1998;158:18-22).

How a person responds to sleep loss varies from individual to individual, Dr. Boehlecke said.

In study after study, objective measures such as scores on the Epworth Sleepiness Scale, Karolinska Sleepiness Scale, respiratory disturbance index, and the apnea-hypopnea index did not predict reaction time or driving performance. He cited a U.S. Department of Trans-

portation-commissioned review of the literature from 1960 to 2000 (Report No. DOT HS 809 690). The author concluded that commonly used measures of sleep apnea severity "are not very useful" in identifying people at risk for crashes.

In a more recent trial, 20 obstructive sleep apnea patients and 40 controls took a battery of tests, including a driving simulator (Eur. Respir. J. 2005;25:75-80). Dr. Boehlecke said that almost all the apnea patients had some impairment of vigilance or attention, but no one test predicted ability to remain awake and attentive.

Effectiveness of measures to counteract night drowsiness also is highly variable, according to Dr. Boehlecke. Drinking caffeine or taking a nap helped most participants in another study, but the effects ranged widely among individuals (Ann. Intern. Med. 2006;144:785-91.)

Dr. Boehlecke referred physicians treating sleep apnea patients to recommendations of the American Thoracic Society (Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1994;150:1463-73) and a statement on commercial drivers from a Joint Task Force of the American College of Chest Physicians, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, and the National Sleep Foundation (Chest 2006;130:902-5).

The thoracic society calls on physicians to know the applicable laws in their state, to give high-risk drivers a warning of risk, and to report high-risk drivers who insist on driving before being treated for obstructive sleep apnea or who fail to comply with treatment.

Dr. Boehlecke noted that the joint statement gives an apnea-hypopnea index of 5 or more during titration and 10 or more, "depending on clinical findings," as objective measures for when commercial drivers should be allowed to return to work. He questioned whether the thresholds were realistic given the inconclusive literature. It also calls for evaluation of compliance with treatment.

In the absence of an easy method for predicting when a patient poses a danger, he urged physicians to rely on their clinical judgment.

Two important considerations, he suggested, are whether the patient perceives a risk and whether he or she is willing to take actions to reduce it, such as treatment.

In North Carolina the law does not require him to report obstructive sleep apnea patients who pose a risk. Nonetheless, he reported a school bus driver who told him she "needs to work." Her license was suspended while he confirmed the diagnosis, and it was reinstated after she started treatment.

'You've got to live with yourself, and do what you think is right," Dr. Boehlecke said. "Don't be afraid to use your clinical judgment because nothing is a strong predictor of risk."

PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS			
Sleep Disorders/Disturbances			
Insomnia**	19	18	13
Abnormal dreams		13	5
Sleep disorder	9 2 2	5	3
Nightmare	2	1 1	0
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
Headaches			
Headache	19	15	13
Neurological Disorders NEC			
Dysgeusia	8	5 3	4
Somnolence	832	3	2
Lethargy GENERAL DISORDERS	2	1	0
GENERAL DISORDERS			
General Disorders NEC		-	
Fatigue/Malaise/Asthenia RESPIR/THORACIC/MEDIAST	4	7	6
Respiratory Disorders NEC Rhinorrhea	0	1	0
Dyspnoea	0		1 1
Upper Respiratory Tract Disorder	0 2 7	5	
SKIN/SUBCUTANEOUS TISSUE	1	5	4
Epidermal and Dermal Conditions			
Rash	1	3	2
Pruritis	0	1 1	1 1
METABOLISM & NUTRITION			
Appetite/General Nutrit. Disorders			
Increased appetite	4	3	2
Decreased appetite/Anorexia	1 1	2	1 1

Includes PTs Abdominal (pain, pain upper, pain lower, discomfort, tenderness, distension) and Stomach discomfort includes PTs Insomnia/Initial insomnia/Idfulde insomnia/Iaffyr moming awakening The overall pattern, and the frequency of adverse events during the longer-term trials was very similar to that described in Table 3, though several of the most common events were reported by a greater proportion of patients. Nausea, for instance, was reported in 40% of patients treated with CHANTIX 1 mg BID in a one-year study, compared to 8% of placebo-treated patients. Following is a list of treatment-emergent adverse events reported by a greatents treated with CHANTIX during BID in a one-year study, compared to 8% of placebo-treated patients. Following is a list of treatment-emergent adverse events reported by patients treated with CHANTIX during BID in a one-year study, compared to 8% of placebo-treated patients. Following is a list of treatment-emergent adverse events reported by a presents treated with CHANTIX during BID in a one-year study, compared to 8% of placebo-treated patients. Following is a list of treatment-emergent adverse events reported by patients treated with CHANTIX during BID in a one-year study, compared to 8% of placebo-treated patients. Following is a list of treatment-emergent adverse events reported by patients treated with CHANTIX during BID in a one-year study, compared to 8% of placebo-treated patients. Following is a list of treatment-emergent adverse events for which a during cause was discrete the sevents adverse place adverse events for which a durg cause was for the sevents for which a durg cause was adverse placebo-treated patients. Following is a list of the previous tables or elsewhere in labeling, those events for which a durg cause was for the sevents for which a durg cause was adverse placebo-treated placebo-treated placebo-treated placebo-treated placebo-treated placebo-treated placebo-treated placebo-treatebo-treatebo-treatebo-treatebo-treatebo-treatebo-treateb

40% of patients treated with CHANITA T mg BU in a one-year study, compared to 8% of placebo-treated patients. Following is all to freatment-encempert adverse events peroted by patients treated with CHANITA during all clinical trials. The listing does not include those events already listed in the previous tables or elsewhere in labeling, those events for which a dury cause was evente, those events which were so general as to be uninformative, and those events reported only once which did not have a substantial probability of being acutely life-threatening. BLODD AND UMPHATIC SYSTEM DISORDERS. Infrequent Angina Lymphadenopathy. Rare. Leukocytosis, Thrombocytopenia, Splenomegaly. CARDIAC DISORDERS. Infrequent Angina pectris; Arrhythmia, Bkarokarala, Venthicular extrasystolise, Myocardial infraction, Palpitations; Rahycardia, Rent Events, Shenese e. NDOCRNE: DISORDERS. Infrequent: Thoridia and disorders. EVE DISORDERS. Infrequent: Conjunctivits, Dry yee, Eye irritation, Visual disturbance, Eye pain. Rare: Acquired night blindness, Blindness transient, Gingvittis. Infrequent: Dysphagia, Enterocolitis, Eructation, Gastritis, Gastrointestinal hemorrhage, Mouth ulceration, Epolagitis. Rare: Durg Rougent Exection Charting user: Elablader discorte: IMMUNE SYSTEM DISORDERS. Infrequent: EC CONDITIONS. Frequent Disorbells, Kinetal Infrequent: Elablader discorte: IMMUNE SYSTEM DISORDERS. Infrequent: Electrocardiogram abnormal, Muscle enzyme Infrequent: Elablader discorte: IMMUNE SYSTEM DISORDERS. Infrequent: Electrocardiogram abnormal, Muscle enzyme Infrequent: Elablader discorte: IMMUNE SYSTEM DISORDERS. Infrequent: Electrocardiogram abnormal, Muscle enzyme INFrequent: Distribution System IMING INSORDERS. Interquent: Electrocardiogram abnormal, Muscle enzyme INFrequent: Distribution est abnormal. Weight increased. Infrequent: Electrocardiogram abnormal, Muscle enzyme INFrequent: Distribution est abnormal. Motorial estarbis of gastroints, Cardiagi Bastribution, Discoreistion, Discoreistion, Discoreistion, Dis

DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE DRUG ABUSE AND DEPRODENCE Controlled Substance Class Varenicine is not a controlled substance. <u>Humans</u>: Fewer than 1 out of 1000 patients reported euphoria in clinical trials with CHAITN. At higher doses (greater than 2 mg), CHANTIX produced more frequent reports of gastrointestinal disturbances such as nausea and vomting. There is no evidence of dose-escalation to maintain therapeutic effects in clinical studies, which suggests that blearance does not develop. Abrupt discontinuation of CHAITIX was associated with an increase in initiability and sleep disturbances in up to 3% of patients. This suggests that, in some patients, varenicine may produce mild physical dependence which is not associated with addiction. In a human laboratory abuse lability study, a single eral dose of 1 my varenicine id not produce any significant positive or negative subjective responses in mons mokers. In mon-smokers, 1 my varenicine produced an oral dose of 3 my varenicine unformly produced unpleasant subjective responses in both smokers and non-smokers. <u>Animals</u> Studies in rodents have shown that vernicine produced full generalization to the nicotine cue. In self-administration studies, the degree to which vareniciline substitutes for incolme is dependent upon the requirement of that of incolme, however in a more demanding task, rads self-administer varenicine to a lesser extent than microtine. Varenicine pretement also reduced microtine self-administration. **OVERDOSAGE** OVERDOSAGE

UVENUOSABLE In case of overdose, standard supportive measures should be instituted as required. Varenicline has been shown to be dialyzed in patients with end stage renal disease (see Full Prescribing Information, CLINICAL PHARMACOLOCY, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacokinetics in Special Patient Populations), however, there is no experience in dialysis following overdose.

Usual Dosage for Adults Smoking cessation therapies are more likely to succeed for patients who are motivated to stop smoking and who are provided additional advice and support. Patients should be provided with appropriate educational materials and counseling to support the cuit attempt. The patient should set a date to stop smoking. CHANTIX dosing should start one week before this date. CHANTIX should be taken after eating and with a full glass of water. The recommended dose of CHANTIX is 1 mg twice daily following a 1-week titration as follows:

Days 1-3:	0.5 mg once daily
Days 4-7:	0.5 mg twice daily
Days 8-End of treatment:	1 mg twice daily

Patients who cannot tolerate adverse effects of CHANTIX may have the dose lowered temporarily or permanently. Patients should be treated with CHANTIX for 12 weeks. For patients who have successfully stopped smoking at the end of 12 weeks, an additional course of 12 weeks treatment with CHANTIX is recommended to further increase the likelihood of long-term abstinence. Patients who do not succeed in stopping smoking during 12 weeks of limital therapy, or who relapse after treatment, should be encouraged to make another attempt once factors contributing to the failed attempt have been identified and addressed.

Special Populations Patients with impaired renal function No dosage adjustment is necessary for patients with mild to moderate renal impairment. For patients with severe renal impairment, the recommended starting dose of CHANTX is 0.5 mg once daily. Patients may then titrate as needed to a maximum dose of 0.5 mg twice a day. For patients with End-stage renal disease undergoing hemodialysis, a maximum dose of 0.5 mg once daily may be administered if theirated well (See Full Prescribing Information, CLINCAL PHARIMACOLOGY, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacokinetics in Special Populations, Renal impairment, Dosing in elderly patients and patients with their function. No dosage adjustment is necessary for patients with their in impairment hegatics elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function, care should be taken in dose selection, and it may be useful to monitor renal function (See PRECAUTIONS, Centrativ Les). Use in children's astley and effectiveness of CHANTX is neglicative patients are not therefore, CHANTX is not recommended for use in patients under 18 years of age.

May 2006, Version LAB-0327-2.0

Pfizer U.S. Pharma

© 2007 Pfizer Inc.

All rights reserved.

Rx only

Printed in USA/January 2007

fertility in the offspring of treated female rats was not evident at an oral dose of 3 mg/kg/day (9 times the maximum recommended human daily exposure based on AUC at 1 mg BID). **Pregnancy** Tergenary Category C. Varenicline succinate was not teratogenic in rats and rabbits at oral doses up to 15 and 30 mg/kg/day, respectively (36 and 50-times the maximum recommended human daily exposure based on AUC at 1 mg BID, respectively. Nontreatogenic effects Varenicine succinate was not teratogenic in rats and rabbits at oral doses up to 15 and 30 mg/kg/day, respectively. Montreatogenic effects Varenicine succinate has been shown to have an adverse effect on the fetus in animal reproduction studies. Administration of varencline succinate to pregnant rabbits resulted in reduced fetal veipths at an oral dose of 3 mg/kg/day (23 times the maximum recommended daily human exposure based on AUC). In addition, in the offspring of pregnant rab treated with varencline succinate has been advected to 15 mg/kg/day (36 times the maximum recommended daily human exposure based on AUC). In addition, in the offspring of pregnant rab treated with varencline succinate have were decreases in fulfily and increases in auditory startle response at an oral dose of 15 mg/kg/day (36 times the maximum recommended human daily exposure based on AUC at 1 mg BID). There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. CHANTIX should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential ins to the fusus. **Nursing mothers** Although its not known whether this during is excreted in human milk and because of the potential lor serious adverse reactions in nursing pusc. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk and because of the potential lor serious adverse reactions in account the importance of the drug to the mother. **Labor and delivery** The potential effects O CHANTIX on labor and delivery are not known. **Pediatric Use** Safety and the reported dinical experience has not identifited mate and themas

PRECAUTIONS General Nausea was the most common adverse event associated with CHANTX treatment. Nausea was generally described as mild or moderate and often transient, however, for some subjects, it was persistent over several months. The incidence of nausea was dose-dependent. Initial dose-titation was beneficial in reducing the occurrence of nausea. Nausea was reported by approximately 30% of patients treated with CHANTX. If ang BDI after an initial week of dose tritenation. In patients taking CHANTO. Go m BDI, the incidence of nausea was 15% following initial titration. Approximately 3% of subjects treated with CHANTX in gBID in studies involving 12 weeks of treatment discontinued treatment prematurely because of nausea. Are patients with inderable nausea, dose reduction should be considered. *Effect of smoking cessation*: Physiological changes resulting from smoking cessation, with or without treatment with CHANTX, may after the pharmacohicits or pharmacohymanics of some drugs, for which dosage adjustment may be necessary (examples include theophyline, warfarin and insulin).

(examples include theophyline, waratin and insulin). Drug Interactions Based on varatin and insulin). Drug Interactions Based on varaticities the end of the end of

Mutagenesis. Varenicline was not genotoxic, with or without metabolic activation, in the following assays: Ames bacterial mutation assay; mammalian CHO/HGPRT assay; and tests for cytogenetic aberrations *in vivo* in rat bone marrow and *in vitro* in human lymphocytes.

Interimitation Churror Ta seasy, and uses to cryougelieux adertativits in wori in a clone matrow and in which in the matrix the importance of the seasy and uses to cryougelieux adertativits in work in a clone matrow and in which in the advective seaso and the seaso of the the s

ents (see DOSAGE AND AUMINISTIATION, opposed a second of initiate CHANTX treatment one week before the quit date. • Patients should be instructed to set a date to quit smoking and to initiate CHANTX treatment one week before the quit date. • Patients should be instructed how to bitrate CHANTX, beginning at a dose of 0.5 mg/day. Prescribers should explain that one 0.5 mg tablet should be laken daily for the first three days, and that for the next four days, one 0.5 mg tablet should be taken in the revening. • Patients should be advised that, after the first seven days, the dose should be increased to one 1 mg tablet in the moming and one 1 mg tablet in the evening. • Patients should be advised that, after the first seven days, the dose should be increased to one 1 mg tablet in the evening. • Determine the evening.

Patients should be evening. In glable in the evening. Patients should be encouraged to continue to attempt to quit if they have early lapses after quit day. Patients should be informed that nause and insomina are side effects of CHANTIX and are usually transient; however, patients should be advised that if they are persistently troubled by these symptoms, they should notify the prescribing physician so that a dose reduction can be considered.

duction can be considered. distints should also be provided with educational materials and necessary counseling to support an attempt at quitting smoking, atients should be informed that some medications may require dose adjustment after quitting smoking. atients intending to become pregnant or planning to breast-feed an infant should be advised of the risks of smoking and risks and metits of smoking cessation with CHWITX. ADVERSE REACTIONS

ADVERSE FEACTONS During the premarketing development of CHANTIX, over 4500 individuals were exposed to CHANTIX, with over 450 treated for at least 24 weeks and approximately 100 for a year. Most study participants were treated for 12 weeks or less. In Phase 2 and 3 placebo-controlled studies, the treatment discontinuation rate due to adverse events in patients dosed with 1 mg BID was 12% for CHANTIX compared to 10% for placebo in studies of three months' treatment. In this group, the discontinuation rates for the most common adverse events in CHANTIX treated patients were as follows: nausea (3% vs. 0.5% for placebo), headache (0.6% vs. 0.9% for placebo), insomnia (12.4% vs. 1.1% for placebo), and abnormal dreams (0.3% vs. 0.2% for placebo), Adverse Events were categorized using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA, Version 7.1).

e most common adverse events associated with CHANTX (>5% and twice the rate seen in placebo-treated patients) were nausea, ep disturbance, constipation, flatulence, and vomiting. Smoking cessation, with or without treatment, is associated with nicotine thdrawal symptoms.

withdrawal symptoms. The most common adverse event associated with CHANTIX treatment is nausea. For patients treated to the maximum recommended dose of 1 mg BID following initial dosage titration, the incidence of nausea was 30% compared with 10% in patients taking a comparable placebo regimen. In patients taking CHANTIX 0.5 mg BID following initial titration, the incidence was 16% compared with 11% for placebo. Ruusea was generally described as mild or moderate and often transient; however, for some subjects, it was persistent throughout the treatment period.

persistent antrogroup to additional portion. Table 3 shows the adverse events for CHANTX and placebo in the 12 week fixed dose studies with titration in the first week (Studies 2 (titrated arm only), 4, and 5). MedDRA High Level Group Terms (HLGT) reported in \geq 5% of patients in the CHANTX the glabe of group, are listed, along with subordinate Preferred Terms (PT) reported in \geq 1% of CHANTIX platients (and at least 0.05% more frequent than placebo.) Closely related Preferred Terms such as Insonnia', "Initial insonnia', "Middle insonnia', "Early morning awakening' were grouped, but individual patients reporting two or more grouped events are only counted one.

Table 3: Common Treatment Emergent AEs (%) in the Fixed-Dose, Placebo-Controlled Studies (\geq 1% in the 1 mg BID CHANTIX Group, and 1 mg BID CHANTIX at least 0.5% more than Placebol

SYSTEM ORGAN CLASS High Level Group Term Preferred Term	CHANTIX 0.5 mg BID N=129	CHANTIX 1mg 1mg BID N=821	Placebo N=805
GASTROINTESTINAL			
GI Signs and Symptoms			
Nausea	16	30	10
Abdominal Pain*	5	7	5
Flatulence	9	6	3
Dyspepsia	5	5	3
Vomiting	1	5	2
GI Motility/Defecation Conditions			
Constipation	5	8	3
Gastroesophageal reflux disease	1	1	0
Salivary Gland Conditions			
Dry mouth	4	6	4

VC276999G