Continued from previous page

credibly well tolerated" is very gratifying, Dr. Jankowski said, because many people were skeptical that it could be done.

"One of the major criticisms of the study when we tried to get it funded in the first place was that people thought we were mad and dangerous, and that we would kill patients with low-dose aspirin. But about 90% of our patients are still on low-dose aspirin and esomeprazole 2 years into the study with hardly any adverse events, showing the drug combination is very well tolerated."

So far, 12% of patients randomized to 20 mg esomeprazole have required an increase to 40 mg for symptom control, Dr. Jankowski said.

Besides conversion to high-grade dysplasia or cancer, the other primary end point of the study is allcause mortality. Additionally, it

will look at the pharmacokinetics of aspirin resistance, genetic markers as potential risk factors for esophageal cancer, and quality of life.

The first planned efficacy analysis is scheduled for 2010, a second interim

analysis is due in 2012, and the final analysis is due in 2016. The

trial is funded by Cancer Research UK, Oxford University, and AstraZeneca. Dr. Jankowski disclosed that he is

a consultant to and receives research funding from AstraZeneca.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

Clinical Trials Experience. The overall incidence of side effects reported in patients receiving sitagliptin and metformin was similar to that reported with patients receiving placebo and metformin

In a 24-week placebo-controlled trial of sitagliptin 100 mg administered once daily added to a twice-daily metformin regimen, there were no adverse reactions reported regardless of investigator assessment of causality in \geq 5% of patients and more commonly than in patients given placebo. Discontinuation of therapy due to clinical adverse reactions was similar to the placebo treatment group (sitagliptin and metformin, 1.9%; placebo and metformin, 2.5%).

The overall incidence of adverse reactions of hypoglycemia in patients treated with sitagliptin and metformin was similar to patients treated with placebo and metformin (100 mg sitagliptin and metformin, 1.3%; placebo and metformin, 2.1%). Adverse reactions of hypoglycemia were based on all reports of hypoglycemia; a concurrent glucose measurement was not required. The incidence of selected gastrointestinal adverse reactions in patients treated with sitagliptin and metformin was also similar to placebo and metformin; nausea (sitagliptin and metformin, tage). 1.3%; placebo and metformin, 0.8%), vomiting (1.1%, 0.8%), abdominal pain (2.2%, 3.8%), and diarrhea (2.4%, 2.5%).

No clinically meaningful changes in vital signs or in ECG (including in QTc interval) were observed with the combination of sitagliptin and metformin

The most common adverse experience in sitagliptin monotherapy reported regardless of The index common advise component of the particular in a range part monotone approximate properties regardless of investigator assessment of causality in \geq 5% of patients and more commonly than in patients given placebo was nasopharyngitis.

The most common (>5%) established adverse reactions due to initiation of metformin therapy are diarrhea, nausea/vomiting, flatulence, abdominal discomfort, indigestion, asthenia, and headache Laboratory Tests.

Sitagliptin. The incidence of laboratory adverse reactions was similar in patients treated with sitagliptin and metformin (7.6%) compared to patients treated with placebo and metformin (8.7%). In most but not all studies, a small increase in white blood cell count (approximately 200 cells/microL difference in WBC vs placebo; mean baseline WBC approximately 6600 cells/ microL) was observed due to a small increase in neutrophils. This change in laboratory parameters is not considered to be clinically relevant.

Metformin hydrochloride. In controlled clinical trials of metformin of 29 weeks duration Metromining inclusion of the inclusion of the second second relation in the second se associated with anemia and appears to be rapidly reversible with discontinuation of metformin or Vitamin B₁₂ supplementation [see Warnings and Precautions].

Postmarketing Experience. The following additional adverse reactions have been identified during postapproval use of JANUMET or sitagliptin, one of the components of JANUMET. Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is generally not possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure

Hypersensitivity reactions include anaphylaxis, angioedema, rash, urticaria and exfoliative skin conditions including Stevens-Johnson syndrome [see Warnings and Precautions]; upper respiratory tract infection

DRUG INTERACTIONS

Cationic Drugs. Cationic drugs (e.g., amiloride, digoxin, morphine, procainamide, quinidine, quinine, ranitidine, triamterene, trimethoprim, or vancomvcin) that are eliminated by renal tubular secretion theoretically have the potential for interaction with metformin by competing for common renal tubular transport systems. Such interaction between metformin and oral cimetidine has been observed in normal healthy volunteers in both single- and multiple-dose metformin-cimetidine drug interaction studies, with a 60% increase in peak metformin plasma and whole blood concentrations and a 40% increase in plasma and whole blood metformin AUC. There was no change in elimination half-life in the single-dose study. Metformin had no effect on cimetidine pharmacokinetics. Although such interactions remain theoretical (except for cimetidine), careful patient monitoring and dose adjustment of JANUMET and/or the interfering drug is recommended in patients who are taking cationic medications that are excreted via the proximal renal tubular secretory system.

Digoxin. There was a slight increase in the area under the curve (AUC, 11%) and mean peak by commentation (C_{max} , 18%) of digosin with the coadministration of 100 mg sitagliptin for 10 days. These increases are not considered likely to be clinically meaningful. Digosin, as a cationic drug, has the potential to compete with metformin for common renal tubular transport systems, thus affecting the serum concentrations of either digosin, metformin or both. Patients receiving digoxin should be monitored appropriately. No dosage adjustment of digoxin or JANUMET is recommended.

Glyburide. In a single-dose interaction study in type 2 diabetes patients, coadministration of metformin and glyburide did not result in any changes in either metformin pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics. Decreases in glyburide AUC and C_{max} were observed, but were highly variable. The single-dose nature of this study and the lack of correlation between glyburide blood levels and pharmacodynamic effects make the clinical significance of this interaction uncertain.

Furosemide. A single-dose, metformin-furosemide drug interaction study in healthy subjects demonstrated that pharmacokinetic parameters of both compounds were affected by coadministration. Furosemide increased the metformin plasma and blood C_{max} by 22% and blood AUC by 15%, without any significant change in metformin renal clearance. When administered with metformin, the C_{max} and AUC of furosemide were 31% and 12% smaller, respectively, than when administered alone, and the terminal half-life was decreased by 32%, without any significant change in furosemide renal clearance. No information is available about the interaction of metformin and furosemide when coadministered chronically.

Nifedipine. A single-dose, metformin-nifedipine drug interaction study in normal healthy volunteers demonstrated that coadministration of nifedipine increased plasma metformin C_{max} and AUC by 20% and 9%, respectively, and increased the amount excreted in the urine. T_{max} and half-life were unaffected. Nifedipine appears to enhance the absorption of metformin. Metformin had minimal effects on nifedipine.

The Use of Metformin with Other Drugs. Certain drugs tend to produce hyperglycemia and may lead to loss of glycemic control. These drugs include the thiazides and other diuretics, corticosteroids, phenothiazines, thyroid products, estrogens, oral contractives, phenytoin, nicotinic acid, sympathomimetics, calcium channel blocking drugs, and isoniazid. When such drugs are administered to a patient receiving JANUMET the patient should be closely observed to maintain adequate glycemic control.

In healthy volunteers, the pharmacokinetics of metformin and propranolol, and metformin and ibuprofen were not affected when coadministered in single-dose interaction studies.

Metformin is negligibly bound to plasma proteins and is, therefore, less likely to interact with highly protein-bound drugs such as salicylates, sulfonamides, chloramphenicol, and probenecid, as compared to the sulfonylureas, which are extensively bound to serum proteins. USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

Pregnancy

Pregnancy Category B. JANUMET. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women with JANUMET or its individual components; therefore, the safety of JANUMET in pregnant women is not known. JANUMET should be used during pregnancy only if clearly needed.

Merck & Co.. Inc. maintains a registry to monitor the pregnancy outcomes of women exposed to JANUMET while pregnances are providers are encouraged to report any prenatal exposure to JANUMET by calling the Pregnancy Registry at (800) 986-8999.

No animal studies have been conducted with the combined products in JANUMET to evaluate effects on reproduction. The following data are based on findings in studies performed with sitagliptin or metformin individually.

Sitagliptin. Reproduction studies have been performed in rats and rabbits. Doses of sitagliptin up to 125 mg/kg (approximately 12 times the human exposure at the maximum recommender human dose) did not impair fertility or harm the fetus. There are, however, no adequate and well-controlled studies with sitagliptin in pregnant women.

Sitagliptin administered to pregnant female rats and rabbits from gestation day 6 to 20 (organogenesis) was not teratogenic at oral doses up to 250 mg/kg (rats) and 125 mg/kg (rabbits), or approximately 30 and 20 times human exposure at the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) of 100 mg/day based on AUC comparisons. Higher doses increased the incidence of rib malformations in offspring at 1000 mg/kg, or approximately 100 times human exposure at the MRHD.

Sitagliptin administered to female rats from gestation day 6 to lactation day 21 decreased body weight in male and female offspring at 1000 mg/kg. No functional or behavioral toxicity was observed in offspring of rats.

Placental transfer of sitagliptin administered to pregnant rats was approximately 45% at 2 hours and 80% at 24 hours postdose. Placental transfer of sitagliptin administered to pregnant rabbits was approximately 66% at 2 hours and 30% at 24 hours.

Metformin hydrochloride. Metformin was not teratogenic in rats and rabbits at doses up to 600 mg/kg/day. This represents an exposure of about 2 and 6 times the maximum recom human daily dose of 2000 mg based on body surface area comparisons for rats and rabbits, respectively. Determination of fetal concentrations demonstrated a partial placental barrier to metformin.

Nursing Mothers. No studies in lactating animals have been conducted with the combined components of JANUMET. In studies performed with the individual components, both sitagliptin and metformin are secreted in the milk of lactating rats. It is not known whether sitagliptin is excreted in human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when JANUMET is administered to a nursing woman.

Pediatric Use. Safety and effectiveness of JANUMET in pediatric patients under 18 years have not been established.

Geriatric Use, JANUMET, Because sitagliptin and metformin are substantially excreted by the kidney and because aging can be associated with reduced real function, JANUMET should be used with caution as age increases. Care should be taken in dose selection and should be based on careful and regular monitoring of renal function [see Warnings and Precautions].

Sitagliptin. Of the total number of subjects (N=3884) in Phase II and III clinical studies of sitagliptin. O'the total number of subjects (tu-3064) in in lase if and in clinical studies of sitagliptin. 725 patients were 65 years and over, while 61 patients were 75 years and over. No overall differences in safety or effectiveness were observed between subjects 65 years and over and younger subjects. While this and other reported clinical experience have not identified differences in responses between the elderly and younger patients, greater sensitivity of some other individuals accurate the mided and older individuals cannot be ruled out.

Metformin hydrochloride. Controlled clinical studies of metformin did not include sufficient numbers of elderly patients to determine whether they respond differently from younger patients, although other reported clinical experience has not identified differences in responses between the elderly and young patients. Metformin should only be used in patients with normal renal function. The initial and maintenance dosing of metformin should be conservative in patients with advanced age, due to the potential for decreased renal function in this population. Any dose adjustment should be based on a careful assessment of renal function [see Contraindications; Warnings and Precautions].



JANUMET is a trademark of Merck & Co., Inc. Copyright ©2008 Merck & Co., Inc All rights reserved. 20803037(1)(105)-JMT Whitehouse Station, NJ 08889, USA

Perception of Pain Altered in **IBS** Patients

BY KATE JOHNSON Montreal Bureau

Patients with irritable bowel syndrome have altered brain responses to the anticipation of pain and to pain itself, which may make them more sensitive to painful stimuli.

"During expectation of pain, IBS [irritable bowel syndrome] patients generate higher levels of tonic noradrenergic activity, producing a bias toward interpretation of network activity as pain (speed over accuracy), and are inefficient at reducing such activity when discrimination of nonpainful stimulation should be maximized, or pain should be inhibited," wrote Dr. Steven M. Berman and his colleagues from the Center for the Neurobiology of Stress at the University of California, Los Angeles (J. Neurosci. 2008;28:349-59).

They used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to measure the blood oxygen level-dependent response to anticipated and delivered rectal distention in 14 female IBS patients and 12 healthy controls (mean age 36 years). The imaging showed that, when the control subjects were anticipating a painful stimulus, brain activity decreased in the insula, supragenual anterior cingulate cortex, amygdala, and dorsal brainstem, but there was less of this anticipatory deactivation in the IBS patients.

Visceral distention of the rectum was then performed using a computer-driven pump and rectal balloon, which was inflated, in random order, to pressures of 25 mm Hg, 45 mm Hg, or 5 mm Hg (sham distension). The distention was performed after an 8-hour fast and two enemas.

Four to six sessions of 16 inflations were performed. Each inflation was preceded by an anticipatory cue, then 15 seconds of inflation at the designated pressure.

During rectal distention, increases in activity in the insula, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, and dorsal brainstem were more extensive in IBS patients than in controls. "The DBS [dorsal brainstem] region contains multiple small structures implicated in the modulation of pain," the authors wrote.

Patients rated their mood, before and after the visceral distention, using the Stress Symptom Rating scale and they rated the intensity of their discomfort on a 3-point scale. In addition, they were all evaluated for depression and anxiety symptoms.

Overall, depression and anxiety scores fell within the normal range for all controls and 12 of the 14 IBS patients, but both scores were higher in IBS patients than controls, even when the two clinically elevated patients were excluded. Self-reported stress, anxiety, and anger were also higher in IBS patients. "The current results demonstrate that during certain expectation of experimental abdominal/pelvic discomfort, female IBS-C [IBS with constipation] patients are more anxious and less able than healthy controls to downregulate activity within the CNS network activated by potentially aversive interoceptive stimuli," the authors noted.

