# Prevention Elusive With Ipilimumab Diarrhea

BY SHERRY BOSCHERT

rophylactic budesonide did not significantly decrease the risk of grade 2 or higher diarrhea that is common in patients who have unresectable melanoma treated with the investigational drug ipilimumab, a phase II trial found.

Ipilimumab is a human monoclonal antibody directed against CTL antigen-

4, which is a key negative regulator of the T-cell immune response. In clinical studies, immune-related adverse events associated with ipilimumab most commonly have involved the gastrointestinal tract or the skin.

Dr. Jeffrey Weber and his associates hypothesized that oral budesonide, which is used to treat grade 2 diarrhea when it accompanies ipilimumab therapy, might work as prophylaxis to prevent diarrhea

without affecting any antitumor activity from ipilimumab.

They randomized 115 patients with unresectable stage III or IV melanoma to treatment with open-label IV ipilimumab (10 mg/kg every 3 weeks for four doses), plus blinded oral budesonide or placebo. The once-daily budesonide dose was 9 mg through week 12, then tapered until discontinuation at week 16.

Grade 2 or higher diarrhea occurred

in 19 (33%) of the 58 patients in the budesonide group and 20 (35%) of the 57 patients in the placebo group, Dr. Weber and colleagues reported in an online article to appear in the Sept. 1, 2009, issue of Clinical Cancer Research (doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1024).

Budesonide should not be used prophylactically for grade 2 or higher diarrhea associated with ipilimumab therapy, concluded Dr. Weber of the Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa. Prompt treatment of diarrhea or colitis, however, seemed to be effective in preventing serious complications such as gastrointestinal perforations, he

Patients who developed grade 2 or higher diarrhea or other immune-related adverse events discontinued the

## Patients with unresectable melanoma experienced no difference in risk of grade 2 or higher diarrhea, with or without prophylactic budesonide.

blinded drug and started open-label therapy with budesonide or other steroids. If the diarrhea lasted 2 weeks or there was grade 3 or 4 diarrhea, they stopped ipilimumab. None of the patients developed gastrointestinal or colonic perforations.

Bristol-Myers Squibb, which is developing ipilimumab with Medarex, sponsored the study and funded editorial and writing assistance for the investigators. Dr. Weber and three of his associates have received funds for speaking, advising, and research for Bristol-Myers Squibb. Dr. Weber owns part of a patent with Medarex on CT2A-4 antibodies. The journal marked the article as an advertisement because page charges were levied to defray the costs of publication.

Grade 3-4 immune-related adverse events occurred in 46 (40%) of all patients, compared with rates of 25% and 22% in other studies that used the same dosing regimens of ipilimumab, the investigators noted. Unlike some of the earlier studies, the current trial included many patients with poor prognostic

Immune-related adverse events affecting the skin, gastrointestinal system, liver, endocrine system, or other area were seen in 47 patients (81%) in the budesonide group and 48 patients (84%) in the placebo group.

Secondary end points in the study included multiple measures of the efficacy of ipilimumab in treating melanoma. A complete response or partial response was seen in seven patients (12%) in the budesonide group and in nine patients (16%) in the placebo group. In addition, the disease stabilized in 11 patients (19%) in each group.

With a median follow-up of over 12 months, the median overall survival rate was 18 months in the budesonide group and 19 months on placebo.

## **KENOVA** (tretinoin cream) 0.02%



## ORAL, OR INTRAVAGINAL USE.

Brief Summary RENOVA (tretinoin cream) 0.02% conta active ingredient tretinoin in a cream base.

active ingredient retinoin in a cream base.

IMPORTANT NOTE — This information is a BRIEF SUMMARY of the complete prescribing information provided with the product and therefore should not be used as the basis for prescribing the product. This summary was prepared by deleting from the complete prescribing information certain text, tables, and references. The physician should be thoroughly familiar with the complete prescribing information before prescribing the product.

### INDICATIONS AND USAGE:

(To understand fully the indication for this product, please read the entire INDICATIONS AND USAGE section of the labeling.)

uct, please read the entire INDICATIONS AND USAGE section of the labeling.)

RENOVA (tretinoin cream) 0.02% is indicated as an adjunctive agent (see second bullet point below) for use in the mitigation (palliation) of fine facial wrinkles in patients who use comprehensive skin care and sunlight avoidance programs. RENOVA DOES NOT ELIMINATE WRINKLES, REPAIR SUN-DAMAGED SKIN, REVERSE PHOTOAGING, or RESTORE WRINKLES, REPAIR SUN-DAMAGED SKIN, REVERSE PHOTOAGING, or RESTORE MORE YOUTHFUL or YOUNGER SKIN. In double-blinded, vehicle-controlled clinical studies, many patients in the vehicle group achieved desired palliative effects on fine wrinkling of facial skin with the use of comprehensive skin care and sunlight avoidance programs including sunscreens, protective clothing, and non-prescription emollient creams.

• RENOVA 0.02% has NOT DEMONSTRATED A MITIGATING EFFECT on significant signs of chronic sunlight exposure such as coarse or deep wrinkling, tactile roughness, motificating skin laxity, keratinocytic atypia, or dermal elastosis.

• RENOVA should be used under medical supervision er and sincile a comprehensie skin.

- atypia, or derinia elasticisis.

  RENOVA should be used under medical super-vision as an adjunct to a comprehensive skin care and sunlight avoidance program that includes the use of effective sunscreens (mini-mum SPF of 15) and protective clothing.
- Patients with visible actinic keratoses and patients with visible actinic keratoses and patients with a history of skin cancer were excluded from clinical trials of RENOVA 0.02%. Thus the effectiveness and safety of RENOVA 0.02% in these populations are not known at this time.
- been established.
- been established.

  Neither the safety nor the efficacy of using RENOVA 0.02% daily for greater than 52 weeks has been established, and daily use beyond 52 weeks has not been systematically and histologically investigated in adequate and well-controlled trials. (See WARNINGS section.)

CONTROLLO IT IT IS NOT TO THE IT IS TO THE IT. any of its ingredients is noted.

- VARNINGS:
  RENOVA 0.02% is a dermal irritant, and the results of continued irritation of the skin for greater than 52 weeks in chronic use with RENOVA are not known. There is evidence of atypical changes in melanocytes and keratinocytes and of increased dermal elastosis in some patients treated with RENOVA 0.05% for longer than 48 weeks. The significance of these findings and their relevance for RENOVA 0.02% are unknown.
- 0.02% are unknown.

  RENOVA should not be administered if the patient is also taking drugs known to be photosensitizers (e.g., thiazides, tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, phenothiazines, ultranamides) because of the possibility of aug-

Exposure to sunlight (including sunlamps) should be avoided or minimized during use of RENOVA because of heightened sunburn susceptibility. Patients should be warned to use sunscreens (minimum SPF of 15) and protective clothing when using RENOVA. Patients with sunburshould be advised not to use RENOVA until fully recovered. Patients who may have considerable sun exposure, e.g., due to their occupation, and those patients with inherent sensitivity to sunlight should exercise caution when using RENOVA and follow the precautions outlined in the Patient Package Insert.

RENOVA should be kept out of the eyes, mouth, angles of the nose, and mucous membranes. Topical use may cause severe local erythema, pruritus, burning, stinging, and peeling at the site of application. If the degree of local irritation warrants, patients should be directed to use less medication, decrease the frequency of application, discontinue use temporarily, or discontinue use atlogether and consider additional appropriate therapy.

Tretinoin has been reported to cause severe irritation on eczematous skin and should be used only with caution in patients with this condition.

Application of larger amounts of medication than

Application of larger amounts of medication than recommended has not been shown to lead to more rapid or better results, and marked redness, peeling, or discomfort may occur.

### PRECAUTIONS: General: RENOVA should be used only as an

adjunct to a comprehensive skin care and sunlight avoidance program. (See INDICATIONS AND USAGE section.)

USAGE Section: )

If a drug sensitivity, chemical irritation, or a systemic adverse reaction develops, use of RENOVA should be discontinued.

Weather extremes, such as wind or cold, may be more irritating to patients using tretinoin-containing products.

Package Insert

Drug Interactions: Concomitant topical medications, medicated or abrasive soaps, shampoos, cleansers, cosmetics with a strong drying effect, products with high concentrations of alcohol, astringents, spices or lime, permanent wave solutions, electrolysis, hair depliatories or waxes, and products that may irritate the skin should be used with caution in patients being treated with RENOVA because they may increase irritation with RENOVA.

RENOVA should not be administered if the patient

RENOVA should not be administered if the patient is also taking drugs known to be photosensitizers (e.g., thiazides, tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, phenothiazines, sulfonamides) because of the possibility of augmented phototoxicity.

phenothazines, sulfonamides) because of the possibility of augmented phototoxicity.

Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility: In a 91-week dermal study in which CD-1 mice were administered 0.017% and 0.035% formulations of tretinoin, cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas and papillomas in the treatment area were observed in some fernale mice. These concentrations are near the tretinoin concentration of this clinical formulation (0.02%). A dose-related incidence of liver tumors in male mice was observed at those same doses. The maximum systemic doses associated with the 0.017% and 0.035% formulations are 0.5 and 1.0 mg/kg/day. These doses are 10 and 20 times the maximum human systemic dose, when adjusted for total body surface area. The biological significance of these findings is not clear because they occurred at doses that exceeded the dermal maximally tolerated dose (MTD) of tretinoin and because they were within the background natural occurrence rate for these tumors in this strain of mice. There was no evidence of carcinogenic potential when 0.025 mg/kg/day of tretinoin was administered topically to mice (0.5 times the maximum human systemic dose, adjusted for total body surface area). For purposes of comparisons of the animal exposure to systemic human exposure, the maximum human systemic dose is defined as 1 gram of 0.02% RENOVA applied daily to a 50 kg person (0.04 mg tretinoin/kg body weight).

Studies in hairless albino mice suggest that con-

current exposure to tretinoin may enhance the tumorigenic potential of carcinogenic doses of UVB and UVA light from a solar simulator. This effect has been confirmed in a later study in pigmented mice, and dark pigmentation did not overcome the enhancement of photocarcinogenesis by 0.05% tretinoin. Although the significance of these studies to humans is not clear, patients should minimize exposure to sunlight or artificial ultraviolet irradiation sources.

pauerius sindura minimize exposure to sunlight or artificial ultraviolet irradiation sources.

The mutagenic potential of tretinoin was evaluated in the Arnes assay and in the in vivo mouse micronucleus assay, both of which were negative. In dermal Segment I fertilify studies in rats, slight not statistically significantly decreases in sperm count and motilify were seen at 0.5 mg/kg/day (20 times the maximum human systemic dose adjusted for total body surface area), and slight (not statistically significantly increases in the number and percent of nonviable embryos in femalest treated with 0.25 mg/kg/day (10 times the maximum human systemic dose adjusted for total body surface area) and above were observed. A dermal Segment III study with RENOVA has not been performed in any species. In oral Segment I and Segment III studies in rats with tretinoin, decreased survival of neonates and growth retardation were observed at doses in excess of 2 mg/kg/day (83 times the human topical dose adjusted for total body surface area).

Teratogenic effects: Pregnancy Category C.

ORAL tretinoin has been shown to be teratogenic in rats, mice, rabbits, harmsters, and subhuman primates. It was teratogenic and fetotoxic in Wistar rats when given orally or topically in doses greater than 1 mg/kg/day (42 times the maximum human systemic dose normalized for total body surface area). However, variations in teratogenic doses among various strains of rats have been reported. In the cynomolojus monkey, which, metabolically, is closer to humans for tretinoin than the other species examined, fetal malformations were reported at doses of 10 mg/kg/day or greater, but none were observed at 5 mg/kg/day (417 times the maximum human systemic dose adjusted for total body surface area), although increased skeletal variations were observed at all doses. A dose-related increase in embryolethality and abortion was reported. Similar results have also been reported in pigtail macaques.

TOPICAL tretinoin in animal teratogenicity tests has

macaques.

TOPICAL tretinoin in animal teratogenicity tests has generated equivocal results. There is evidence for teratogenicity (shortened or kinked tail) of topical tretinoin in Wistar rats at doses greater than mg/kg/day (42 times the maximum human systemic dose adjusted for total body surface area). Anomalies (humerus: short 13%, bent 6%, os parietal incompletely ossified 14%) have also been reported when 10 mg/kg/day was dermally applied.

There are other reports in New Zeoland Miss.

mally applied.

There are other reports in New Zealand White rab-bits administered doses of greater than 0.2 mg/kg/day (17 times the maximum human sys-temic dose adjusted for total body surface area) of an increased incidence of domed head and hydro-cephaly, typical of retinoid-induced fetal malforma-tions in this species.

nons in this species. In contrast, several well-controlled animal studies have shown that dermally applied tretinoin may be fetotoxic, but not overtly teratogenic, in rats and rabbits at doses of 1.0 and 0.5 mg/kg/day, respectively (42 times the maximum human systemic dose adjusted for total body surface area in both species).

With widespread use of any drug, a small number of birth defect reports associated temporally with the administration of the drug would be expected by chance alone. Thirty human cases of temporally-associated congenital malformations have been reported during two decades of clinical use of another formulation of topical tretinoin (Retin-A). Although no definite pattern of teratogenicity and no cause association has been established from these cases, 5 of the reports describe the rare birth defect category holoprosencephaly (defects associated with incomplete midline development of the forebrain). The significance of

### Non-teratogenic effects:

Non-teratogenic effects:
Dermal tretinoin has been shown to be fetotoxic in rabbits when administered 0.5 mg/kg/day (42 times the maximum human systemic dose normalized for total body surface area). Oral tretinoin has been shown to be fetotoxic, resulting in skeletal variations and increased intrauterine death, in rats when administered 2.5 mg/kg/day (104 times the maximum human systemic dose adjusted for total body surface area).

There are, however, no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. RENOVA should not be used during pregnancy.

Nursing Mothers: It is not known whether this drug is excreted in human milk. Since many drugs are excreted in human milk. Since many drugs are excreted in human milk. Sonce many dr

been established.

Geriatric Use: In clinical studies with RENOVA 0.02%, patients aged 65 to 71 did not demonstrate a significant difference for improvement in fine wrinkling when compared to patients under the age of 65. Patients aged 65 and over may demonstrate slightly more irritation, although the differences were not statistically significant in the clinical studies for RENOVA 0.02%. Safety and effectiveness of RENOVA 0.29% in individuals older than 71 years of age have not been established.

## ADVERSE REACTIONS: (See WARNINGS and PRECAUTIONS sections.)

In double-blind, vehicle-controlled studies involving 339 patients who applied RENOVA 0.02% to their faces, adverse reactions associated with the Ing 339 patients wind applied HENOVA 0.U.29. In their faces, adverse reactions associated with the use of RENOVA were limited primarily to the skin. Almost all patients reported one or more local reactions such as peeling, dry skin, burning, stinging, erythema, and pruritus. In 32% of all study patients, skin irritation was reported that was severe, led to temporary discontinuation of RENOVA 0.02%, or led to use of a mild topical corticosteroid. About 7% of patients using RENOVA 0.02%, compared to less than 1% of the control patients, had sufficiently severe local irritation to warrant short-term use of mild topical corticosteroids to alleviate local irritation. About 4% of patients had to discontinue use of RENOVA because of adverse reactions.

Approximately 2% of spontaneous post-marketing

Decates of averses reactions.

Approximately 2% of spontaneous post-marketing adverse event reporting for RENOVA 0.05% were for skin hypo- or hyperpigmentation. Other spontaneously reported adverse events for RENOVA 0.05% predominantly appear to be local reactions similar to those seen in clinical trials.

### OVERDOSAGE:

Application of larger amounts of medication than recommended has not been shown to lead to more rapid or better results, and marked rechess, peeling, or discomfort may occur. Oral ingestion of the drug may lead to the same side effects as those associated with excessive oral intake of Vitamin A.



© OMP 2000

U.S. Patents 4,603,146 and 4,877,805