

POLICY & PRACTICE

Bioidentical Hormones Resolution

The American Medical Association has endorsed a resolution calling for tighter regulation of bioidentical hormones. The resolution, passed at the AMA's interim meeting of its House of Delegates last month, calls for the Food and Drug Administration to conduct surveys for purity and dosage accuracy of all bioidentical hormone formulations; require mandatory reporting by drug manufacturers, including compounding pharmacies, of adverse events related to using bioidentical hormones; create a registry of adverse events related to use of compounded bioidentical hormone preparations; require uniform patient information; and prohibit use of the term "bioidentical hormones" unless the preparation has been approved by the FDA. "The Endocrine Society applauds the AMA for helping to bring much-needed oversight to bioidentical hormones," said Dr. Leonard Wartofsky, president of the society, which cosponsored the resolution along with the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and the American Society of Reproductive Medicine. "The inconsistencies and unknown risks of bioidentical hormones are of great concern. Without proper oversight and control, the public has no way of knowing precisely what they're getting or what effect it will have on an individual's body."

Disparities in Medicare HMO Care

Black Medicare beneficiaries with diabetes fare worse than their white counterparts on four outcome measures of quality, according to a study published in the Oct. 25 issue of the *Journal of the American Medical Association*. Dr. Amal N. Trivedi, of Brown University and colleagues reviewed 431,000 patient observations from 151 Medicare health plans over a 3-year period. They looked at a combination of hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA_{1c}) levels, low-density lipoprotein (LDL-C) levels, and blood pressure levels in three groups of patients: those with diabetes, those with hypertension, or those with a prior coronary event. They found that 80% of white patients had HbA_{1c} levels below 9.5% or 9.0% (depending on the year being studied), compared with only 72% of black patients. Fewer black patients with diabetes than white patients had LDL-C levels less than 130 mg/dL (63% vs. 72%, respectively). Nearly three-fourths of the disparity in each outcome was due to disparities in outcomes among beneficiaries in the same plan, as opposed to differences between plans, according to the authors. "Effective measurement within health plans is one cornerstone of improving quality and reducing racial disparities in outcomes," they wrote.

House Investigates CDC Finances

Members of Congress are looking into alleged financial problems at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. In a letter, members of the House Energy and Commerce Committee requested that CDC officials provide an analysis done by Deloitte Consulting L.L.C. detailing "inefficiency and ineffective leadership" in the agency's finance office. Committee Chairman Joe Barton (R-Texas) and chairman of the committee's

subcommittee on oversight and investigations, Rep. Ed Whitfield (R-Ky.), also requested information on how CDC manages human tissue samples and laboratory equipment. The congressmen also asked to be briefed on the status of CDC's reorganization, ongoing since June 2003. "Some CDC employees have raised concerns that these changes will make CDC more cumbersome and bureaucratic, taking time and resources away from scientific programs directly benefiting the public," Rep. Barton and Rep. Whitfield wrote in the letter.

Health IT Gaps

The adoption gap in health information technology continues to widen, with physicians in smaller practices being left behind, according to a report from the Center for Studying Health System Change (HSC). Between 2000-2001 and 2004-2005, physicians in all types of practices increased their use of health IT for accessing patient notes, generating preventive care reminders, exchanging clinical data, obtaining treatment guidelines, and writing prescriptions. But practices with two or fewer physicians increased their use of health IT for writing prescriptions by 5%, compared with 28% among practices with more than

50 physicians. The gaps are likely due to the greater financial resources of larger practices along with more administrative resources and economies of scale. The data in the report are from the HSC Community Tracking Study Physician survey. "Larger practices appear to be gaining critical mass in adopting IT for patient care, but the smallest practices, which account for more than half of all practicing physicians, appear to be at risk of being left behind," Joy M. Grossman, a coauthor of the report and a senior health researcher at HSC, said in a statement. The report is available at www.hschange.org/CONTENT/891.

—Joyce Frieden

References: 1. Raskin P, Allen E, Hollander P, et al, for the INITIATE Study Group. Initiating insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes: a comparison of biphasic and basal insulin analogs. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28:260-265. 2. Weyer C, Heise T, Heinemann L. Insulin aspart in a 30/70 premixed formulation: Pharmacodynamic properties of a rapid-acting insulin analog in stable mixture. *Diabetes Care*. 1997;20:1612-1614. 3. Garber AJ, Wahlen J, Wahl T, et al. Attainment of glycemic goals in type 2 diabetes with once-, twice-, or thrice-daily dosing with biphasic insulin aspart 70/30 (the 1-2-3 study). *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2006;8:58-66. 4. Boehm BO, Home PD, Behrend C, Kamp NM, Lindholm A. Premixed insulin aspart 30 vs. premixed human insulin 30/70 twice daily: a randomized trial in type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabet Med*. 2002;19:393-399. 5. Boehm BO, Vaz JA, Brondsted L, Home PD. Long-term efficacy and safety of biphasic insulin aspart in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2004;15:496-502. 6. Niskanen L, Jensen LE, Rastam J, Nygaard-Pedersen L, Erichsen K, Vora JP, Randomized, multinational, open-label, 2-period, crossover comparison of biphasic insulin aspart 30 and biphasic insulin lispro 25 and pen devices in adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Ther*. 2004;26:531-540. 7. American College of Endocrinology. ACE road map. Available at: <http://www.aace.com/meetings/consensus/odimplementation/roadmap.pdf>. Accessed January 13, 2006.

NovoLog[®] Mix 70/30

70% insulin aspart protamine suspension and 30% insulin aspart injection, (rDNA origin)

Mealtime and in-between time

BRIEF SUMMARY, PLEASE CONSULT PACKAGE INSERT FOR FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION.

INDICATIONS AND USAGE

NovoLog Mix 70/30 is indicated for the treatment of patients with diabetes mellitus for the control of hyperglycemia.

CONTRAINDICATIONS

NovoLog Mix 70/30 is contraindicated during episodes of hypoglycemia and in patients hypersensitive to NovoLog Mix 70/30 or one of its excipients.

WARNINGS

Because NovoLog Mix 70/30 has peak pharmacodynamic activity one hour after injection, it should be administered with meals.

NovoLog Mix 70/30 should not be administered intravenously. NovoLog Mix 70/30 is not to be used in insulin infusion pumps. NovoLog Mix 70/30 should not be mixed with any other insulin product.

Hypoglycemia is the most common adverse effect of insulin therapy, including NovoLog Mix 70/30. As with all insulins, the timing of hypoglycemia may differ among various insulin formulations.

Glucose monitoring is recommended for all patients with diabetes.

Any change of insulin dose should be made cautiously and only under medical supervision. Changes in insulin strength, manufacturer, type (e.g., regular, NPH, analog), species (animal, human), or method of manufacture (rDNA versus animal-source insulin) may result in the need for a change in dosage.

PRECAUTIONS

General

Hypoglycemia and hypokalemia are among the potential clinical adverse effects associated with the use of all insulins. Because of differences in the action of NovoLog Mix 70/30 and other insulins, care should be taken in patients in whom such potential side effects might be clinically relevant (e.g., patients who are fasting, have autonomic neuropathy, or are using potassium-lowering drugs or patients taking drugs sensitive to serum potassium level).

Fixed ratio insulins are typically dosed on a twice daily basis, i.e., before breakfast and supper, with each dose intended to cover two meals or a meal and snack. The dose of insulin required to provide adequate glycemic control for one of the meals may result in hyper- or hypoglycemia for the other meal. The pharmacodynamic profile may also be inadequate for patients (e.g., pregnant women) who require more frequent meals.

Adjustments in insulin dose or insulin type may be needed during illness, emotional stress, and other physiologic stress in addition to changes in meals and exercise.

The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles of all insulins may be altered by the site used for injection and the degree of vascularization of the site. Smoking, temperature, and exercise contribute to variations in blood flow and insulin absorption. These and other factors contribute to inter- and intra-patient variability.

Lipodystrophy and hypersensitivity are among other potential clinical adverse effects associated with the use of all insulins.

Hypoglycemia - As with all insulin preparations, hypoglycemic reactions may be associated with the administration of NovoLog Mix 70/30. Rapid changes in serum glucose concentrations may induce symptoms of hypoglycemia in persons with diabetes, regardless of the glucose value. Early warning symptoms of hypoglycemia may be different or less pronounced under certain conditions, such as long duration of diabetes, diabetic nerve disease, use of medications such as beta-blockers, or intensified diabetes control.

Renal Impairment - Clinical or pharmacology studies with NovoLog Mix 70/30 in diabetic patients with various degrees of renal impairment have not been conducted. As with other insulins, the requirements for NovoLog Mix 70/30 may be reduced in patients with renal impairment.

Hepatic Impairment - Clinical or pharmacology studies with NovoLog Mix 70/30 in diabetic patients with various degrees of hepatic impairment have not been conducted. As with other insulins, the requirements for NovoLog Mix 70/30 may be reduced in patients with hepatic impairment.

Allergy - Local Reactions - Erythema, swelling, and pruritus at the injection site have been observed with NovoLog Mix 70/30 as with other insulin therapy. Reactions may be related to the insulin molecule, other components in the insulin preparation including protamine and cresol, components in skin cleansing agents, or injection techniques.

Systemic Reactions - Less common, but potentially more serious, is generalized allergy to insulin, which may cause rash (including pruritus) over the whole body, shortness of breath, wheezing, reduction in blood pressure, rapid pulse, or sweating. Severe cases of generalized allergy, including anaphylactic reaction, may be life threatening. Localized reactions and generalized myalgias have been reported with the use of cresol as an injectable excipient.

Antibody production - Specific anti-insulin antibodies as well as cross-reacting anti-insulin antibodies were monitored in the 3-month, open-label comparator trial as well as in a long-term extension trial. Changes in cross-reactive antibodies were more common after NovoLog Mix 70/30 than with NovoLog[®] 70/30 but these changes did not correlate with change in HbA_{1c} or increase in insulin dose. The clinical significance of these antibodies has not been established. Antibodies did not increase further after long-term exposure (>6 months) to NovoLog Mix 70/30.

Information for patients - Patients should be informed about potential risks and advantages of NovoLog Mix 70/30 therapy including the possible side effects. Patients should also be offered continued education and advice on insulin therapies, injection technique, life-style management, regular glucose monitoring, periodic glycosylated hemoglobin testing, recognition and management of hypo- and hyperglycemia, adherence to meal planning, complications of insulin therapy, timing of dose, instruction for use of injection devices, and proper storage of insulin.

Female patients should be advised to discuss with their physician if they intend to, or if they become, pregnant because information is not available on the use of NovoLog Mix 70/30 during pregnancy or lactation (see PRECAUTIONS, Pregnancy).

Laboratory Tests - The therapeutic response to NovoLog Mix 70/30 should be assessed by measurement of serum or blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin.

Drug Interactions - A number of substances affect glucose metabolism and may require insulin dose adjustment and particularly close monitoring. The following are examples of substances that may increase the blood-glucose-lowering effect and susceptibility to hypoglycemia: oral antidiabetic products, ACE inhibitors, disopyramide, fibrates, fluoxetine, monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitors, propoxyphene, salicylates, somatostatin analog (e.g., octreotide), sulfonamide antibiotics.

The following are examples of substances that may reduce the blood-glucose-lowering effect: corticosteroids, niacin, danazol, diuretics, sympathomimetic agents (e.g., epinephrine, salbutamol, terbutaline), isoniazid, phenothiazine derivatives, somatropin, thyroid hormones, estrogens, progestogens (e.g., oral contraceptives).

Beta-blockers, clonidine, lithium salts, and alcohol may either potentiate or weaken the blood-glucose-lowering effect of insulin.

Pentamidine may cause hypoglycemia, which may sometimes be followed by hyperglycemia.

In addition, under the influence of sympatholytic medical products such as beta-blockers, clonidine, guanethidine, and reserpine, the signs of hypoglycemia may be reduced or absent.

Mixing of Insulins

NovoLog Mix 70/30 should not be mixed with any other insulin product.

Carcinogenicity, Mutagenicity, Impairment of Fertility

Standard 2-year carcinogenicity studies in animals have not been performed to evaluate the carcinogenic potential of NovoLog Mix 70/30. In 52-week studies, Sprague-Dawley rats were dosed subcutaneously with NovoLog[®], the rapid-acting component of NovoLog Mix 70/30, at 10, 50, and 200 U/kg/day (approximately 2, 8, and 32 times the human subcutaneous dose of 1.0 U/kg/day, based on U/body surface area, respectively). At a dose of 200 U/kg/day, NovoLog increased the incidence of mammary gland tumors in females when compared to untreated controls. The incidence of mammary tumors for NovoLog was not significantly different than for regular human insulin. The relevance of these findings to humans is not known. NovoLog was not genotoxic in the following tests: Ames test, mouse lymphoma cell forward gene mutation test, human peripheral blood lymphocyte chromosome aberration test, in vivo micronucleus test in mice, and in ex vivo UDS test in rat liver hepatocytes. In fertility studies in male and female rats, NovoLog at subcutaneous doses up to 200 U/kg/day (approximately 32 times the human subcutaneous dose, based on U/body surface area) had no direct adverse effects on male and female fertility, or on general reproductive performance of animals.

Pregnancy-Teratogenic Effects—Pregnancy Category C

Animal reproduction studies have not been conducted with NovoLog Mix 70/30. However, reproductive toxicology and teratology studies have been performed with NovoLog (the rapid-acting component of NovoLog Mix 70/30) and regular human insulin in rats and rabbits. In these studies, NovoLog was given to female rats before mating, during mating, and throughout pregnancy, and to rabbits during organogenesis. The effects of NovoLog did not differ from those observed

with subcutaneous regular human insulin. NovoLog, like human insulin, caused pre- and post-implantation losses and visceral/skeletal abnormalities in rats at a dose of 200 U/kg/day (approximately 32-times the human subcutaneous dose of 1.0 U/kg/day, based on U/body surface area), and in rabbits at a dose of 10 U/kg/day (approximately three times the human subcutaneous dose of 1.0 U/kg/day, based on U/body surface area). The effects are probably secondary to maternal hypoglycemia at high doses. No significant effects were observed in rats at a dose of 50 U/kg/day and rabbits at a dose of 3 U/kg/day. These doses are approximately 8 times the human subcutaneous dose of 1.0 U/kg/day for rats and equal to the human subcutaneous dose of 1.0 U/kg/day for rabbits based on U/body surface area.

It is not known whether NovoLog Mix 70/30 can cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman or can affect reproductive capacity. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of the use of NovoLog Mix 70/30 or NovoLog in pregnant women. NovoLog Mix 70/30 should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Nursing Mothers - It is unknown whether NovoLog Mix 70/30 is excreted in human milk as is human insulin. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of the use of NovoLog Mix 70/30 or NovoLog in lactating women.

Pediatric Use - Safety and effectiveness of NovoLog Mix 70/30 in children have not been established.

Geriatric Use - Clinical studies of NovoLog Mix 70/30 did not include sufficient numbers of patients aged 65 and over to determine whether they respond differently than younger patients. In general, dose selection for an elderly patient should be cautious, usually starting at the low end of the dosing range reflecting the greater frequency of decreased hepatic, renal, or cardiac function, and of concomitant disease or other drug therapy in this population.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

Clinical trials comparing NovoLog Mix 70/30 with Novolin 70/30 did not demonstrate a difference in frequency of adverse events between the two treatments.

Adverse events commonly associated with human insulin therapy include the following:

Body as whole: Allergic reactions (see PRECAUTIONS, Allergy).

Skin and Appendages: Local injection site reactions or rash or pruritus, as with other insulin therapies, occurred in 7% of all patients on NovoLog Mix 70/30 and 5% on Novolin 70/30. Rash led to withdrawal of therapy in <1% of patients on either drug (see PRECAUTIONS, Allergy).

Hypoglycemia: see WARNINGS and PRECAUTIONS.

Other: Small elevations in alkaline phosphatase were observed in patients treated in NovoLog controlled clinical trials. There have been no clinical consequences of these laboratory findings.

OVERDOSAGE

Hypoglycemia may occur as a result of an excess of insulin relative to food intake, energy expenditure, or both. Mild episodes of hypoglycemia usually can be treated with oral glucose. Adjustments in drug dosage, meal patterns, or exercise, may be needed. More severe episodes with coma, seizure, or neurologic impairment may be treated with intramuscular/subcutaneous glucagon or concentrated intravenous glucose. Sustained carbohydrate intake and observation may be necessary because hypoglycemia may recur after apparent clinical recovery.

More detailed information is available on request.

Rx Only

Manufactured by: Novo Nordisk A/S
2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

Manufactured for: Novo Nordisk Inc.
Princeton, NJ 08540
www.novonordisk-us.com

Novolin[®], NovoLog[®], and Novo Nordisk[®] are trademarks owned by Novo Nordisk A/S.

License under U.S. Patent No. 5,618,913 and Des. 347,894.
© 2005 Novo Nordisk Inc.

Date of issue:
November 21, 2005 126208R

