## Alzheimer's Video Changes Care Preferences

BY PATRICE WENDLING

CHICAGO — Elderly persons shown a video depiction of advanced Alzheimer's are less likely to opt for life-prolonging care, compared with those who listen to a verbal description.

A multicenter, prospective trial randomized 200 community-dwelling adults aged at least 65 years (mean 75 years) to one of two interventions and then compared their preferences for advanced care if they were in a state of dementia. In all, 106 participants listened to a standardized verbal description of stage 7 Alzheimer's, which is the final stage of the disease when individuals lose the ability to speak or respond to their environment, and ultimately their ability to control movement. The other 94 participants listened to the verbal description and viewed a 2-minute video of a real pa-

'Most patients don't have experience with advanced disease. Video may promote preferences for comfort care by providing more realistic expectations of dementia.'

tient with features of stage 7 Alzheimer's and her family. (The video can be viewed online at www.ACPdecisions.com.)

Among those hearing only the verbal description, 68% preferred comfort care, 17% chose limited care, 13% wanted care that would prolong their life, and 2% were uncertain.

Among those receiving both the verbal narrative and the video, 87% preferred comfort care, 8% chose limited care, 4% desired life-prolonging care, and 1% were undecided, Dr. Angelo E. Volandes said at the annual meeting of the American Geriatrics Society.

"Most patients don't have experience with advanced disease," he said. "Video may promote preferences for comfort care by providing more realistic expectations of dementia.'

Preferences also appear more stable when made with the assistance of a video. After 6 weeks, 27 (29%) of 94 participants interviewed in the verbal group changed their preferences, compared with only 5 (6%) of 84 participants in the video group. The difference between groups was statistically significant, said Dr. Volandes, an internist with Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard University, both in Boston. Comfort care was significantly more likely to be selected as the new preference (86%) in the verbal group, whereas the percentage choosing this option remained constant in the video group.

The use of innovative videos in endof-life decision making and advance care planning discussions is relatively new," Dr. Volandes said in an interview. "Further work and studies are needed to examine the implementation of these videos in clinical practice before they can become the standard of care."

Dr. Volandes acknowledged that the study did not use real patients with a dementia diagnosis, and did not include Hispanics or Asians.

The convenience sample, selected from two primary care and two geriatric clinics, was 58% female, 29.5% African American, and had a score of 7 or higher on the Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire. Overall, 68% of the verbal group and 73% in the video group

had a ninth-grade or higher level of health literacy on the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine test.

One audience member remarked that advance care directives are the most important thing he does as a geriatrician, and another acknowledged the struggle that often arises over goals of care with family members and health care power of attorney documents. The use of video in advance care planning has been evaluated in surrogate decision makers with similar results, Dr. Volandes said.

Dr. Volandes, who received a new investigator award for his work by the American Geriatrics Society, disclosed no conflicts of interest for himself or his

The study was sponsored by the Alzheimer's Association, John A. Hartford Foundation, and the Foundation for Informed Medical Decision Making.



## Indications and usage

Levemir® is indicated for once- or twicedaily subcutaneous administration for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus or adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who require basal (long-acting) insulin for the control of hyperglycemia.

## Important safety information

Levemir<sup>®</sup> is contraindicated in patients hypersensitive to insulin detemir or one of its excipients.

Hypoglycemia is the most common adverse effect of all insulin therapies, including Levemir®. As with other insulins, the timing of hypoglycemic events may differ among various insulin preparations. Glucose monitoring is recommended for all patients with diabetes. Levemir® is not to be used in insulin infusion pumps. Any change of insulin dose should be made cautiously and only under medical supervision. Concomitant oral antidiabetes treatment may require adjustment.

Inadequate dosing or discontinuation of treatment may lead to hyperglycemia and, in patients with type 1 diabetes, diabetic ketoacidosis. Levemir® should not be diluted or mixed with any

**other insulin preparations.** Insulin may cause sodium retention and nay cause souldn't referritor and edema, particularly if previously poor metabolic control is improved by intensified insulin therapy. Dose and timing of administration may need to be adjusted to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia in patients being switched to Levemir® from other intermediate or long-acting insulin preparations. The dose of Levemir® may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic impairment.

Other adverse events commonly associated with insulin therapy may include injection site reactions (on average, 3% to 4% of patients in clinical trials) such as lipodystrophy, redness, pain, itching, hives, swelling, and inflammation.

\*Whether these observed differences represent true differences in the effects of Levemir®, NPH insulin, and insulin glargine is not known, since these trials were not blinded and the protocols (eg, diet and exercise instructions and monitoring) were not specifically directed at exploring hypotheses related to weight effects of the treatments compared. The clinical significance of the observed differences in weight has not been established.

## start once-daily Levemir®

To access complimentary e-learning programs, visit novomedlink.com/Levemir

References: 1. Data on file. Novo Nordisk Inc, Princeton, NJ. 2. Meneghini LF, Rosenberg KH, Koenen C, Meriläinen MJ, Lüddeke H-J. Insulin detemir improves glycaemic control with less hypoglycaemia and no weight gain in patients with type 2 diabetes who were insulin naive or treated with NPH or insulin glargine: clinical practice experience from a German subgroup of the PREDICTIVE study. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9(3): 418-427. 3. Hermansen K, Davies M, Derezinski T, Ravn GM, Clauson P, Home P, for the Levenir Treat-to-Target Study Group. A 26-week, randomized, parallel, treat-to-target trial comparing insulin detemir with NPH insulin as add-on therapy to oral glucose-lowering drugs in insulin-naive people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2006;29(6):1269-1274. 4. Klein O, Lynge J, Endahl L, Damholt B, Nosek L, Heise T. Albumin-bound basal insulin nalogues (insulin detemir and NN344): comparable time-action profiles but less variability than insulin glargine in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9(3):290-299. 5. Philis-Tsimikas A, Charpentier G, Clauson P, Ravn GM, Roberts VL, Thorsteinsson B. Comparison of once-daily insulin detemir with NPH insulin added to a regimen of oral antidiabetic drugs in poorly controlled type 2 diabetes. Clin Thec. 2006;28(10):1569-1581. 6. Danne T, Endahl L, Haahr H, et al. Lower within-subject variability in pharmacokinetic profiles of insulin determir in comparison to insulin glargine in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Pestember 17-21, 2007; Amsterdam, Netherlands. Abstract 0189. 7. Heise T, Nosek L, Rønn BB, et al. Lower within-subject variability of insulin determir in comparison to NPH insulin and insulin glargine in people with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. Solve Diabetes. Spetember 17-21, 2007; Amsterdam, Netherlands. Abstract 0189. 7. Heise T, Nosek L, Rønn BB, et al. Lower within-subject variability of insulin determir in comparison to NPH insulin and insulin glargine in people with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes. 2004;53(6):1614-1620. 8. Data on file. ND The same of the sa



Please see brief summary of Prescribing Information on adjacent page.

FlexPen® and Levemir® are registered trademarks of Novo Nordisk A/S. © 2008 Novo Nordisk Inc.

**Levemir** 

