

Adverse Event	M=% (N=1218)	amlodipine F=% (N=512)	M=% (N=914)	F=% (N=336)
Edema	5.6	14.6	1.4	5.1
Flushing	1.5	4.5	0.3	0.9
Palpitations	1.4	3.3	0.9	0.9
Somnolence	1.3	1.6	0.8	0.3

The following events occurred in $\leq 1\%$ but $>0.1\%$ of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience where a causal relationship is uncertain; they are listed to alert the physician to a possible relationship: **Cardiovascular:** arrhythmia (including ventricular tachycardia and atrial fibrillation), bradycardia, chest pain, hypotension, peripheral ischemia, syncope, tachycardia, postural dizziness, postural hypotension, vasculitis. **Central and Peripheral Nervous System:** hyposthesia, neuropathy peripheral, paresthesia, tremor, vertigo. **Gastrointestinal:** anorexia, constipation, dyspepsia, dysphagia, diarrhea, flatulence, pancreatitis, vomiting, gingival hyperplasia. **General:** allergic reaction, asthenia, back pain, hot flushes, malaise, pain, rigors, weight gain, weight decrease. **Musculoskeletal System:** arthralgia, arthrosis, muscle cramps, myalgia. **Psychiatric:** sexual dysfunction (male and female), insomnia, nervousness, depression, abnormal dreams, anxiety, depersonalization. **Respiratory System:** dyspnea, epistaxis. **Skin and Appendages:** angioedema, erythema multiforme, pruritus, rash, erythematous, rash maculopapular. **Special Senses:** abnormal vision, conjunctivitis, diplopia, eye pain, tinnitus. **Urinary System:** micturition frequency, micturition disorder, nocturia. **Autonomic Nervous System:** dry mouth, sweating increased. **Metabolic and Nutritional:** hyperglycemia, thirst. **Hemopoietic:** leukopenia, purpura, thrombocytopenia. The following events occurred in $\leq 0.1\%$ of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience: cardiac failure, pulse irregularity, extrasystoles, skin discoloration, urticaria, skin dryness, alopecia, dermatitis, muscle weakness, twitching, ataxia, hypertension, migraine, cold and clammy skin, apathy, agitation, amnesia, gastritis, increased appetite, loose stools, coughing, rhinitis, dysuria, polyuria, parosmia, taste perversion, abnormal visual accommodation, and xerophthalmia. Other reactions occurred sporadically and cannot be distinguished from medications or concurrent disease states such as myocardial infarction and angina. Amlodipine therapy has not been associated with clinically significant changes in routine laboratory tests. No clinically relevant changes were noted in serum potassium, serum glucose, total triglycerides, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, uric acid, blood urea nitrogen, or creatinine. The following postmarketing event has been reported infrequently with amlodipine treatment where a causal relationship is uncertain: gynecomastia. In postmarketing experience, jaundice and hepatic enzyme elevations (mostly consistent with cholestasis or hepatitis) in some cases severe enough to require hospitalization have been reported in association with use of amlodipine. Amlodipine has been used safely in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, well-compensated congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and abnormal lipid profiles. **The Atorvastatin Component of CADUET:** Atorvastatin is generally well-tolerated. Adverse reactions have usually been mild and transient. In controlled clinical studies of 2502 patients, $<2\%$ of patients were discontinued due to adverse experiences attributable to atorvastatin calcium. The most frequent adverse events thought to be related to atorvastatin calcium were constipation, flatulence, dyspepsia, and abdominal pain. Clinical Adverse Experiences: Adverse experiences reported in $\geq 2\%$ of patients in placebo-controlled clinical studies of atorvastatin, regardless of causality assessment, are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Adverse Events in Placebo-Controlled Studies (% of Patients)

Body System/ Adverse Event	Placebo N=270	atorvastatin			
		10 mg N=863	20 mg N=36	40 mg N=79	80 mg N=94
BODY AS A WHOLE					
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1
SKIN AND APPENDAGES					
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
Arthralgia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Myalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0

Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT): In ASCOT involving 10,305 participants treated with atorvastatin 10 mg daily (n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with atorvastatin was comparable to that of the group treated with placebo during a median of 3.3 years of follow-up. The following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment, in patients treated with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in $\geq 2\%$ of patients and the events in plain type occurred in $<2\%$ of patients. **Body as a Whole:** Chest pain, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction, generalized edema. **Digestive System:** Nausea, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, biliary pain, cheilitis, duodenal ulcer, dysphagia, enteritis, melena, gum hemorrhage, stomach ulcer, tenesmus, ulcerative stomatitis, hepatitis, pancreatitis, cholestatic jaundice. **Respiratory System:** Bronchitis, rhinitis, pneumonia, dyspnea, asthma, epistaxis. **Nervous System:** Insomnia, dizziness, paresthesia, somnolence, amnesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertension. **Musculoskeletal System:** Arthritis, leg cramps, bursitis, tenosynovitis, myasthenia, tendinous contracture, myositis. **Skin and Appendages:** Pruritus, contact dermatitis, alopecia, dry skin, sweating, acne, urticaria, eczema, seborrhea, skin ulcer. **Urinary System:** Urinary tract infection, urinary frequency, cystitis, hematuria, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epididymitis, fibrocystic breast, vaginal hemorrhage, albuminuria, breast enlargement, metrorrhagia, nephritis, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, urinary urgency, abnormal ejaculation, uterine hemorrhage. **Special Senses:** Amblyopia, tinnitus, dry eyes, refraction disorder, eye hemorrhage, deafness, glaucoma, parosmia, taste loss, taste perversion. **Cardiovascular System:** Palpitation, vasodilatation, syncope, migraine, postural hypotension, phlebitis, arrhythmia, angina pectoris, hypertension. **Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders:** Peripheral edema, hyperglycemia, creatine phosphokinase increased, gout, weight gain, hypoglycemia. **Hemic and Lymphatic System:** Echinomycosis, anemia, lymphadenopathy, thrombocytopenia, petechia. **Postintroduction Reports with Atorvastatin:** Adverse events associated with atorvastatin therapy reported since market introduction, that are not listed above, regardless of causality assessment, include the following: anaphylaxis, angioneurotic edema, bullous rashes (including erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis), and rhabdomyolysis. **Pediatric Patients (ages 10-17 years):** In a 26-week controlled study in boys and postmenarchal girls (n=140), the safety and tolerability profile of atorvastatin 10 to 20 mg daily was generally similar to that of placebo (see PRECAUTIONS, Pediatric Use).

OVERDOSAGE: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. **Information on Amlodipine:** Single oral doses of amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate doses equivalent to 4 or more mg amlodipine/kg in dogs (11 or more times the maximum recommended clinical dose on a mg/m² basis) caused a marked peripheral vasodilation and hypotension. Overdosage might be expected to cause excessive peripheral vasodilation with marked hypotension and possibly a reflex tachycardia. In humans, experience with intentional overdosage of amlodipine is limited. Reports of intentional overdosage include a patient who ingested 250 mg and was asymptomatic and was not hospitalized; another (120 mg) was hospitalized, underwent gastric lavage and remained normotensive; the third (105 mg) was hospitalized and had hypotension (90/50 mmHg) which normalized following plasma expansion. A patient who took 70 mg amlodipine and an unknown quantity of benzodiazepine in a suicide attempt developed shock which was refractory to treatment and died the following day with abnormally high benzodiazepine plasma concentration. A case of accidental drug overdose has been documented in a 19-month-old male who ingested 30 mg amlodipine (about 2 mg/kg). During the emergency room presentation, vital signs were stable with no evidence of hypotension, but a heart rate of 180 bpm. Ipecac was administered 3.5 hours after ingestion and on subsequent observation (overnight) no sequelae were noted. If massive overdose should occur, active cardiac and respiratory monitoring should be instituted. Frequent blood pressure measurements are essential. Should hypotension occur, cardiovascular support including elevation of the extremities and the judicious administration of fluids should be initiated. If hypotension remains unresponsive to these conservative measures, administration of vasopressors (such as phenylephrine) should be considered with attention to circulating volume and urine output. Intravenous calcium gluconate may help to reverse the effects of calcium entry blockade. As amlodipine is highly protein bound, hemodialysis is not likely to be of benefit. **Information on Atorvastatin:** There is no specific treatment for atorvastatin overdosage. In the event of an overdose, the patient should be treated symptomatically, and supportive measures instituted as required. Due to extensive drug binding to plasma proteins, hemodialysis is not expected to significantly enhance atorvastatin clearance.

*Based on patient weight of 50 kg.

**These events occurred in less than 1% in placebo-controlled trials, but the incidence of these side effects was between 1% and 2% in all multiple dose studies.

R only
Manufactured by:
Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals
Dublin, Ireland



Distributed by:
Pfizer Labs
Division of Pfizer Inc., NY, NY 10017

Rev. 1 October 2004

LN233581

© 2005 Pfizer Inc.

All rights reserved.



CMR Trumps Echo in Heart Failure Diagnoses

BY ROBERT FINN
San Francisco Bureau

SAN FRANCISCO — Cardiac MRI with late gadolinium enhancement is the imaging technique of choice when the goal is tissue characterization and infarct detection in heart failure, Dr. Christopher M. Kramer said at a cardiovascular imaging conference sponsored by the American College of Cardiology.

Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) provides outstanding image quality, excellent quantification, and tissue characterization, said Dr. Kramer of the University of Virginia, in Charlottesville. In addition, Gadolinium contrast is easy to use and safe with CMR and also offers the ability to assess intramural function.

However, CMR devices are not portable, are quite expensive, and are not readily available. Physicians need to have extensive training in the use of CMR and the technique is not suitable for patients with implanted metallic devices such as pacemakers and implantable cardioverter defibrillators. Furthermore, assessment of diastolic function is not routine with CMR.

Echocardiography also has a number of

advantages. The devices are portable and relatively inexpensive, and they are readily available. Generations of cardiologists have established its validity and all cardiologists become proficient in the use of echo during their training. Contrast can be added to echocardiography, and the assessment of diastolic function has become routine.

But the technique is subject to variable image quality and poor windows. The results tend to be qualitative, and quantitation can be difficult. Newer 3-D echocardiographic techniques address some of these issues, but such devices are not widely available.

Gadolinium-enhanced CMR is useful in determining if cardiomyopathy is ischemic or nonischemic. Studies have also shown the value of enhanced CMR as a marker in late-stage myocarditis, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, amyloidosis, sarcoidosis, and Chagas disease.

Dr. Kramer noted that echocardiography is useful in several circumstances, especially for diastolic function and when "quick and easy" is adequate, but CMR is best for regional systolic function, for differential diagnosis and tissue characterization, and when quantitation is needed and 3-D echo is unavailable.

Echo is useful for diastolic function and when 'quick and easy' is adequate; CMR is best for regional systolic function and when quantitation is needed.

Echo Score Helps to Predict Survival in Post-MI Patients

BY ROBERT FINN
San Francisco Bureau

SAN FRANCISCO — Echocardiography provides a great deal of information to help determine a patient's risk following a myocardial infarction, Dr. Thomas Ryan said at a cardiovascular imaging conference sponsored by the American College of Cardiology.

"There are a lot of ways we can [risk stratify patients], but I think our goals should be to do it in the most efficient, the most effective, and the most cost-responsible fashion possible," said Dr. Ryan of Duke University, Durham, N.C.

Echocardiography provides a variety of perspectives on left ventricular function. It allows for a calculation of ejection fraction. Doppler plus the principle of continuity of flow allows for the measurement of stroke volume across both valves, which in turn allows for the calculation of cardiac output. The contour of the mitral regurgitation depth can be used to measure the rate of change in left ventricular pressure (dP/dt). And finally, one can generate a wall-motion score.

Together, the degree of left ventricular dysfunction and the presence and

severity of mitral regurgitation are the most powerful predictors of early risk after acute MI. The results of a study of more than 3,000 patients in the Duke database show that an echo score derived from these two factors neatly stratifies patients into three categories.

Patients get no points for a good ejection fraction or good mitral regurgitation. They get 2 points each for poor ejection fraction and poor mitral regurgitation, and they get 1 point each for intermediate values. The echo score is the sum of the ejection fraction and mitral regurgitation scores.

Patients with an echo score of 0 have better than 90% 2-year survival. Those with an echo score of 3 or 4 have about a 50% 2-year survival, and those with a score of 1 or 2 have about a 75% 2-year survival.

Diastolic function has prognostic implications as well. If the deceleration time of the mitral P wave is 115 milliseconds or more, then the 30-month survival is 100%. Those with mitral deceleration times of less than 115 milliseconds have a 30-month survival rate of about 40%.

The combination of these measures means that the physician will get a great deal of information even before resorting to stress echocardiography.