HIV Prophylaxis Alone May Not Work for Patients

BY ROBERT FINN

San Francisco Bureau

SAN FRANCISCO — A feasibility study among 891 individuals in San Francisco has identified some of the issues that must be considered in offering nonoccupational postexposure prophylaxis, Michelle Rowland, M.D., said at a meeting on HIV management sponsored by the University of California, San Francisco.

Postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) has

been shown to reduce the risk of HIV transmission by 81% in health care workers, but no information is available about the efficacy of prophylaxis after nonoccupational HIV exposure. A placebo-controlled study would be difficult to conduct because of ethical considerations.

Of the 891 people in the study, all of whom were given PEP within 72 hours after exposure, 700 could be evaluated 12 weeks after PEP was initiated, and 7 individuals (1%) seroconverted, said Dr. Rowland of UCSF. All seven reported having unprotected receptive anal intercourse, four of them with a partner known to be infected with HIV. In contrast, only 50% of the nonseroconverters presented after receptive anal intercourse, a significantly lower percentage.

Individuals in the study reported one or more episodes of unprotected receptive or insertive anal or vaginal intercourse, receptive oral sex with ejaculation, or shared injection drug equipment. The potential

clinical trials and 4 open-label trials were recorded as adverse events by

sources of infection had to be known HIVinfected persons, men who have sex with men of unknown HIV status, a past or present injection drug user, a commercial sex worker, or an anonymous contact.

Previous studies have yielded estimates that the risk of infection from a single encounter is 0.8%-5.0% for receptive anal intercourse and substantially lower for other types of exposure. The investigators therefore queried the seroconverters about additional risk behavior. Six of the seven reported other high-risk encounters in the 6 months before PEP, and three of the seven reported ongoing high-risk behavior even after starting PEP, suggesting that the failure of PEP in these patients may not have been entirely due to medication failure.

Six of the seven patients who seroconverted reported other high-risk encounters in the 6 months before postexposure prophylaxis.

"PEP is not just medication," Dr. Rowland said. "It's also adherence counseling. risk-reduction counseling, and referral, the cause whole point of this is to help people stay HIV negative. The per-contact transmission

rate is virtually almost nothing. So people are not at risk for HIV just at that particular moment; they're particularly at risk for the rest of their lives."

There's a tendency to want to divide people presenting for PEP into three groups: those who should be advised to use PEP, those who should be offered PEP, and those who should not be offered PEP. In practice, she said, "The bottom line for me is that it's my job to help that individual person make an individual riskbenefit assessment."

Animal studies and experience with health care workers suggest it's important to begin antiretroviral therapy at most 72 hours after exposure. But many people who are exposed misinterpret that as meaning that they can wait 72 hours before deciding on PEP. "The message we're trying to get across is, 'You want to start this as soon as possible, and we're not going to initiate it after 72 hours," she said.

Investigators generally agree that the antiretroviral component of PEP should be continued for 28 days, but there's a great deal of controversy about what antiretrovirals to use and whether two nucleosides are enough or whether a three-drug regimen is better. The practice at UCSF is to use two drugs, but Dr. Rowland would consider using three in certain circumstances. For example, a three-drug regimen might be indicated if a patient reports multiple exposures over 5 days, including several within the required 72-hour period.

She recommended that clinicians be aggressive in getting information about the source of the exposure, to determine whether that person is truly HIV positive, and to conduct viral resistance testing. This is critical in choosing which antiretrovirals to use.



Brief Summary of Prescribing Information.

For complete details, please see full Prescribing Information for NAMENDA

INDICATIONS AND USAGE

NAMENDA (memantine hydrochloride) is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe dementia of the Alzheimer's type.

CONTRAINDICATIONS
NAMENDA (memantine hydrochloride) is contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity to memantine hydrochloride or to any excipients

PRECAUTIONS

Information for Patients and Caregivers: Caregivers should be instructed in the recommended administration (twice per day for doses above 5 mg) and dose escalation (minimum interval of one week between dose increases).

and dose escalation (minimum interval of one week between dose increases). **Neurological Conditions**Seizures: NAMENDA has not been systematically evaluated in patients with a seizure disorder. In clinical trials of NAMENDA, seizures occurred in 0.2% of patients treated with NAMENDA and 0.5% of patients treated with placebo.

a dose (57-82%) is excreted unchanged in urine. The pharmacokinetics of memantine in patients with hepatic impairment have not been investigated, but would be expected to be only modestly affected.

Renal Impairment

There are inadequate data available in patients with mild, moderate, and severe renal impairment but it is likely that patients with moderate renal impairment will have higher exposure than normal subjects. Dose reduction in these patients should be considered. The use of NAMENDA in patients with severe renal impairment is not recommend

Drug-Drug Interactions

-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonists: The combined use of NAMENDA with other NMDA antagonists (an dextromethorphan) has not been systematically evaluated and such use should be approached with caution

Effects of NAMENDA on substrates of microsomal enzymes: In vitro studies conducted with marker substrates of CYP450 enzymes (CYP142, -246, -2C9, -2D6, -2E1, -3A4) showed minimal inhibition of these enzymes by memantine. No pharmacokinetic interactions with drugs metabolized by

Effects of inhibitors and/or substrates of microsomal enzymes on NAMENDA Memantine is predominantly renally eliminated, and drugs that are substrates and/or inhibitors of the CYP450 system are not expected to

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors: Coadministration of NAMENDA with The AChE inhibitor donepezil HCI did not affect the pharmacokinetics of either compound. In a 24-week controlled clinical study in patients with moderate to severe Alzheimer's disease, the adverse event profile observed with a combination of memantine and donepezil was similar to that of depended alone.

Drugs eliminated via renal mechanisms: Because memantine is eliminated in part by tubular secretion, coadministration of drugs that use he same renal cationic system, including hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ), triamterene (TA), cimetidine, ranitidine, quinidine, and nicotine, could potentially result in altered plasma levels of both agents. However, coadministration of NAMENDA and HCTZ/TA did not affect the bioavailability of either memantine or TA, and the bioavailability of HCTZ decreased by 20%. Drugs that make the urine alkaline: The clearance of memantine was reduced by about 80% under alkaline urine conditions at pH 8. Therefore, alterations of urine pH towards the alkaline condition may lead to an accumulation of the drug with a possible increase in adverse effects. Urine pH is altered by diet, drugs (e.g. carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, sodium bicarbonate), and clinical state of the patient (e.g. renal tubular acidosis or severe infections of the urinary tract). Hence, memantine should be used with caution under these conditions.

used with caution under these conditions.

Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis and Impairment of Fertility
There was no evidence of carcinogenicity in a 113-week oral study in mice at doses up to 40 mg/kg/day (10 times the maximum recommended human dose (MRHID) on a mg/m² basis). There was also no evidence of carcinogenicity in rats orally dosed at up to 40 mg/kg/day for 71 weeks followed by 20 mg/kg/day (20 and 10 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis, respectively) through 128 weeks.

Memantine produced no evidence of genotoxic potential when evaluated in the *in vitro S. typhimurium or E. coli* reverse mutation assay, an *in vitro* chromosomal aberration test in human lymphocytes, an *in vitro* ortogenetics are seasy for chromosomed amage in rats, and the *in vitro* mouse microgenetics.

chromosomal aberration test in numan prinpriodytes, an in two dylogenous assay for chromosome damage in rats, and the *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay. The results were equivocal in an *in vitro* gene mutation assay using

No impairment of fertility or reproductive performance was seen in rats administered up to 18 mg/kg/day (9 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis) orally from 14 days prior to mating through gestation and lactation in females, or for 60 days prior to mating in males.

Pregnancy Category B: Memantine given orally to pregnant rats and pregnant rabbits during the period of organogenesis was not teratogenic up to the highest doses tested (18 mg/kg/day in rats and 30 mg/kg/day in rabbits, which are 9 and 30 times, respectively, the maximum recommended

which are 9 and 30 times, respectively, the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) on a mg/m² basis).

Slight maternal toxicity, decreased pup weights and an increased incidence of nonossified cervical vertebrae were seen at an oral dose of 18 mg/kg/day in a study in which rats were given oral memantine beginning pre-mating and continuing through the postpartum period. Slight maternal

toxicity and decreased pup weights were also seen at this dose in a study toxicity and decreased upply weights were asso seen at this obes in a study in which rats were treated from day 15 of gestation through the postpartum period. The no-effect dose for these effects was 6 mg/kg, which is 3 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of memantine in pregnant women. Memantine should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Nursing Mothers

It is not known whether memantine is excreted in human breast milk Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when memantine is administered to a nursing mother.

There are no adequate and well-controlled trials documenting the safety and efficacy of memantine in any illness occurring in children

ADVERSE REACTIONS

perience described in this section derives from studies in patients with Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia.

Adverse Events Leading to Discontinuation: In placebo-controlled trials in which dementia patients received doses of NAMENDA up to 20 mg/day, the likelihood of discontinuation because of an adverse event was the same in the NAMENDA group as in the placebo group. No individual adverse event was associated with the discontinuation of treatment in 1% or more of NAMENDA-treated patients and at a rate greater than placebo

or more of NAMENDA-treated patients and at a rate greater than placebo.

Adverse Events Reported in Controlled Trials: The reported adverse events in NAMENDA (memantine hydrochloride) trials reflect experience gained under closely monitored conditions in a highly selected patient population. In actual practice or in other clinical trials, these frequency estimates may not apply, as the conditions of use, reporting behavior and the types of patients treated may differ Table 1 lists treatment-emergent signs and symptoms that were reported in at least 2% of patients in placebo-controlled dementia trials and for which the rate of occurrence the processor of the product of the processor of the product of th was greater for patients treated with NAMENDA than for the with placebo. No adverse event occurred at a frequency of at least 5% and twice the placebo rate.

Table 1: Adverse Events Reported in Controlled Clinical Trials in at Least 2% of Patients Receiving NAMENDA and at a Higher Frequency than Placebo-treated Patients.

Body System	Placebo	NAMENDA
Adverse Event	(N = 922)	(N = 940)
	%	%
Body as a Whole		
Fatigue	1	2
Pain	1	3
Cardiovascular System		
Hypertension	2	4
Central and Peripheral		
Nervous System		
Dizziness	5	7
Headache	3	6
Gastrointestinal System		
Constipation	3	5
Vomiting	2	3
Musculoskeletal System		
Back pain	2	3
Psychiatric Disorders		
Confusion	5	6
Somnolence	2	3
Hallucination	2	3
Respiratory System		
Coughing	3	4
Dyspnea	1	2

Other adverse events occurring with an incidence of at least 2% in NAMENDA-treated patients but at a greater or equal rate on placebo were agitation, fall, inflicted injury, urinary incontinence, diarrhea, bronchitis, insomnia, urinary tract infection, influenza-like symptoms, gait abnormal, depression, upper respiratory tract infection, anxiety, peripheral edema, nausea, anorexia, and arthralgia.

The overall profile of adverse events and the incidence rates for individual adverse events in the subpopulation of patients with moderate to severe

rates described above for the overall dementia population.

Vital Sign Changes: NAMENDA and placebo groups were compared with respect to (1) mean change from baseline in vital signs (pulse, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and weight) and (2) the incidence of patients meeting criteria for potentially clinically significant changes from baseline in these variables. There were no clinically important changes in vital signs in patients treated with NAMENDA A comparison of supine and standing vital sign measures for NAMENDA and placebo in elderly normal subjects indicated that NAMENDA treatment is not associated with orthostatic changes.

NAMENDA and placebo groups were compared.

Laboratory Changes: NAMENDA and placebo groups were compared with respect to (1) mean change from baseline in various serum chemistry, hematology, and urinalysis variables and (2) the incidence of patients meeting criteria for potentially clinically significant changes from baseline in these variables. These analyses revealed no clinically important changes in laboratory test parameters associated with NAMENDA treatment.

ECG Changes: NAMENDA and placebo groups were compared with respect to (1) mean change from baseline in various ECG parameters and (2) the incidence of patients meeting criteria for potentially clinically significant changes from baseline in these variables. These analyses revealed no clinically important changes in ECG parameters associated

with NAMENDA treatment.

Other Adverse Events Observed During Clinical Trials

NAMENDA has been administered to approximately 1350 patients with dementia, of whom more than 1200 received the maximum recommended dose of 20 mg/day. Patients received NAMENDA treatment for periods of up to 884 days, with 862 patients receiving at least 24 weeks of treatment and 387 patients receiving 48 weeks or more of treatment.

Treatment emergent signs and symptoms that occurred during 8 controlled

clinical trials and 4 open-label trials were recorded as adverse events by the clinical investigators using terminology of their own choosing. To provide an overall estimate of the proportion of individuals having similar types of events, the events were grouped into a smaller number of standardized categories using WHO terminology, and event frequencies were calculated across all studies.

All adverse events occurring in at least two patients are included, except for those already listed in Table 1, WHO terms too general to be informative, minor symptoms or events unlikely to be drug-caused, e.g., because they are common in the study population. Events are classified by body system and listed using the following definitions: frequent adverse events — those occurring in at least 1/100 patients; infrequent adverse events — those occurring in 1/100 to 1/1000 patients. These adverse events are not necessarily related to NAMENDA treatment and in most cases were observed at a similar frequency in placebo-treated patients in the controlled studies.

Cardiovascular System: Frequent: cardiac failure. Infrequent: angina pectoris, bradycardia, myocardial infarction, thrombophlebitis, atrial fibrillation, hypotension, cardiac arrest, postural hypotension, pulmonary embolism, pulmonary edema. Central and Peripheral Nervous System: Frequent: transient ischemic

erebrovascular accident, vertigo, ataxia, hypokinesia. *Infrequent* sia, convulsions, extrapyramidal disorder, hypertonia, tremor aphasia, hypoesthesia, abnormal coordination, hemiplegia, hyperkinesia involuntary muscle contractions, stupor, cerebral hemorrhag

Gastrointestinal System: Infrequent: gastroenteritis, diverticulitis, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, melena, esophageal ulceration.

Hemic and Lymphatic Disorders: Frequent: anemia. Infrequent: leukopenia.

Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders: Frequent: increased alkaline phosphatase, decreased weight, Infrequent: dehydration, hyponatremia aggravated diabetes mellitus.

aggravateo diabetes melitus. Psychiatric Disorders: Frequent: aggressive reaction. Infrequent: delusion, personality disorder, emotional lability, nervousness, sleep disorder, libido increased, psychosis, amnesia, apathy, paranoid reaction, thinking abnormal crying abnormal, appetite increased, paroniria, delirium, depersonalization, neurosis, suicide attempt.

Skin and Appendages: Frequent: rash. Infrequent: skin ulceration, pruritus, cellulitis, eczema, dermatitis, erythematous rash, alopecia, urticaria.

Special Senses: Frequent: cataract, conjunctivitis. Infrequent: macula lutea degeneration. decreased visual coult. blepharitis, blurred vision, corneal opacity, glaucoma, conjunctival hemorrhage, eye pain, retinal hemorrhage, xerophthalmia, diplopia, abnormal lacrimation, myopia, retinal detachment

Urinary System: Frequent: frequent micturition. Infrequent: dysuria hematuria, urinary retention.

ADVERSE EVENTS FROM OTHER SOURCES

ADVERSE EVENTS FROM OTHER SOURCES
Memantine has been commercially available outside the United States
since 1982, and has been evaluated in clinical trials including trials in
patients with neuropathic pain, Parkinson's disease, organic brain syndrome,
and spasticity. The following adverse events of possible importance for
which there is inadequate data to determine the causal relationship have
been reported to be temporally associated with memantine treatment in
more than one patient and are not described elsewhere in labeling: acne,
bone fracture, carpal tunnel syndrome, claudication, hyperlipidemia,
impotence, otitis media, thrombocytopenia.

ANIMAL TOXICOLOGY

ANIMAL TOXICOLOGY

Memantine induced neuronal lesions (vacuolation and necrosis) in the multipolar and pyramidal cells in cortical layers III and IV of the posterior cingulate and retrosplenial neocortices in rats, similar to those which are known to occur in rodents administered other NMDA receptor antagonist besions were seen after a single dose of memantine. In a study in which rats were given daily oral doses of memantine for 14 days, the no-effect dose for neuronal necrosis was 6 times the maximum recommended human dose on a mg/m² basis. The potential for induction of central neuronal vacuolation and necrosis by NMDA receptor antagonists in humans is unknown.

stance Class: Memantine HCl is not a controlled substance. Physical and Psychological Dependence: Memantine HCl is a low to moderate affinity uncompetitive NMDA antagonist that did not produce any evidence of drug-seeking behavior or withdrawal symptoms upon discontinuation in 2,504 patients who participated in clinical trials at therapeutic doses. Post marketing data, outside the U.S., retrospectively collected, has provided no evidence of drug abuse or deper

Forest Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

© 2004 Forest Laboratories, Inc.