Physicians Advise Use of Registry Data for PQRI

BY ALICIA AULT Associate Editor, Practice Trends

BALTIMORE — Outcomes registries, not claims data, should be the base for the Physician Quality Reporting Initiative next year, physicians and their representatives said at a forum held in May by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

CMS officials said they are gathering comments on how to evolve from claimsbased information to a registry model, in an effort to prevent duplicative efforts to collect data and to encourage quality improvement. The agency's final recommendations will be published in the Federal Register in mid-August as a proposed set of 2008 reportable measures, agency officials said.

PQRI is a hot topic among physicians. According to a Department of Health and Human Services spokeswoman, more than 600 people attended the forum via conference call. The initiative was mandated as part of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act of 2006. Beginning in July, physicians can take part in the initiative by reporting on specialty-specific measures. This year, CMS has listed 74 measures (posted at www.cms.hhs.gov/PQRI).

To participate, physicians submit data on those measures through December on at least 80% of their cases. Those who participate will get a bonus lump-sum payout of 1.5% of claims submitted, some time in mid-2008.

Many physicians already report on such measures to specialty societies.

The longest-running registry is maintained by the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. The 17-year-old registry contains more than 3 million records, Dr. Jeffrey Rich of the STS said at the forum. The STS supports the PQRI effort, but "we feel that it must go farther, and we feel that can be accomplished through the use of registries."

This year, PQRI is structured to collect data on processes, not outcomes, he said. Registries allow for the collection of clinical data on patient outcomes, which is more useful for quality improvement, Dr. Rich said.

STS suggested that outcomes measures should be vetted through groups such as the American Medical Association's Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement and the AQA (formerly the Ambulatory Care Quality Alliance). Measures that cut across disciplines should be harmonized, preferably by the National

Registries allow for the collection of clinical data on patient outcomes, which is more useful for quality improvement, compared with claims data.

Quality Forum, he said. And input standards should be established to ensure that the data cover all patients, not just a random sample, Dr. Rich said. Finally, registries should be subject to validation and an audit mechanism.

The American Board of Neurological Surgery has developed 15 procedure-specific outcomes measures that are available online, said Dr. Robert Harbaugh of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons. The ABNS envisions using the measures to teach neurosurgery residents how to collect outcomes data and to use the data for quality improvement, for neurosurgeons to prepare for board certification, and as part of the maintenance of certification process.

In 2006, the American Board of Internal Medicine began requiring internists to begin using Practice Improvement Modules (PIMs) in order to maintain certification. With PIMs, physicians enter medical data about patients, and then receive reports back from ABIM, which they are supposed to analyze and use to develop a selfimprovement plan.

More than 5,000 physicians completed a PIM in 2006, and 5,000 more are currently working on PIMs, Dr. Cary Sennett, ABIM senior vice president of strategy and clinical analytics, said at the forum.

Aetna, UnitedHealthcare, Humana, and several regional Blue Cross and Blue Shield plans have recognized PIMs as fulfilling quality improvement criteria, said Dr. Sennett, who added that ABIM supported the PQRI effort.

The American College of Physicians was due to make a statement at the forum. but a representative on the conference call said the ACP was not yet ready to share its thoughts on registries and PQRI.

Internal Medicine News

CLINICAL HIGHLIGHTS:

- Diabetes and Obesity
- Menopausal Symptoms
- Heart Disease and Stroke
 Sexually Transmitted Infections
- COPD and Lung Cancer
 - Migraine and Headaches
- Gastrointestinal Disorders
 Bone Health

Special Preconference Session on November 29 Learn how to integrate Medical Aesthetics into your practice.

For full program information, visit www.PerspectivesinWH.com



www.PerspectivesinWH.com/IM

www.PerspectivesinWH.com/IM



November 30 - December 2, 2007

Hyatt Regency La Jolla • San Diego, CA

Program Chair:

Anita L. Nelson, MD David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA/ **UCLA Medical Center**

Provide the Best Care for Every Woman at Every Age

Join the esteemed faculty of Perspectives in Women's Health to examine health care needs associated with sexuality and aging as well as the gender differences that affect the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diseases in women. Network with your fellow clinicians and learn new approaches for putting the latest clinical advances to work for you in your daily practice. Gain the clinical expertise that will allow you to meet the unique health care needs of women at every age.

Perspectives in Women's Health will focus on sexual health and aging and will address diseases and disorders that are increasing in incidence among women—diabetes and obesity, cancer, depression and anxiety, irritable and inflammatory bowel disorders, headache, gastroesophageal reflux disease, cardiovascular disease, stroke, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Presented by



