Medicare Imaging Costs Rising, Quality Questioned

BY JOYCE FRIEDEN Associate Editor, Practice Trends

WASHINGTON — A congressional committee wrestled with whether or how much to regulate or impose standards on imaging procedures at a hearing on managing Medicare's imaging costs.

"I'm concerned about putting in a whole group of new structures [to monitor imaging procedures] because the system is structure-heavy already," said Rep. Nancy

Johnson (R-Conn.), chair of the health subcommittee of the House Ways and Means Committee. "I'm not sure putting in more oversight is really what we need.

Mark Miller, Ph.D., executive director of the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC), testified that the growth in the volume of imaging services such as PET scans, CT scans, and MRIs performed on Medicare beneficiaries "is growing at twice the rate of all physician services." And what worries

MedPAC, he continued, is that increasing the amount of imaging being done does not necessarily mean the quality of care is getting any better.

'There is a threefold variation in the use of these services among the Medicare population, and it's not linked to health care quality," Dr. Miller said. "It's more [related to the] availability of services and practice style.²

MedPAC also is concerned about the wide variability in imaging quality, he

ENABLEX[®]

(darifenacin)

Extended-release tablets Rx only

BRIEF SUMMARY: Please see package insert for full prescribing information

BRIEF SUMMARY: Please see package insert for full prescribing information. INDICATIONS AND USAGE ENABLEX® (darifenacin) extended-release tablets are indicated for the treatment of overactive bladder with symptoms of urge uninary incontinence, urgency and frequency. CONTRAINDICATIONS ENABLEX® (darifenacin) extended-release tablets are contraindicated in patients with urinary retention, gastric retention or uncontrolled narrow-angle glaucown and in patients who are at risk for these conditions. ENABLEX is also contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity to the drug or its ingredients. BERCHITIONS

RECAUTIONS

PhtLdu runs General Risk of Urinary Retention ENABLEX® (darifenacin) extended-release tablets should be administered with caution to patients with clinically significant bladder outflow obstruction because of the risk of urinary retention.

Decreased Gastrointestinal Multily ENABLEX should be administered with caution to patients with gastrointestinal obstructive disorders because of the risk of gastric retention. ENABLEX, like other anticholieregic drugs, may decrease gastrointestinal molility and should be used with caution in patients with conditions such as severe constipation, ulcerative colitis, and

Myasthenia gravis. Controlled Narrow-Angle Glaucoma ENABLEX should be used with caution in patients being treated for narrow-angle glaucoma and only where the potential benefits outweigh the risks.

Patients with Hepatic Impairment Patients with Hepatic Impairment There are no dosing adjustments for patients with mild hepatic impairment. The daily dose of ENABLEX should not exceed 7.5 mg for patients with moderate hepatic impairment. ENABLEX has not been studied in patients with severe hepatic impairment and therefore is not recommended for use in this patient population (see CLINI-CAL PHARMACOLOGY, Pharmacokinetics in Special Populations and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION in the full macrithin information)

Information for Patients Patients should be informed that anticholinergic agents, such as ENABLEX, may produce clinically significant adverse effects related to anticholinergic pharmacological activity including constipation, urinary retention and burred vision. Heat prostration (due to decreased sweating) can occur when anticholinergics such as ENABLEX are used in a hot environment. Because anticholinergics, such as ENABLEX, may produce dizziness or blurred vision, patients should be advised to exercise caution in decisions to engage in potentially dangerous activities until the drug's effects have been determined. Patients should read the patient information leaflet before starting therapy with ENABLEX.

undary with ENADELA. ENABLEX settended-release tablets should be taken once daily with liquid. They may be taken with or without food, and should be swallowed whole and not chewed, divided or crushed.

The daily dose of ENABLEX should not exceed 7.5 mg when coadministered with potent CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., ketoconazole, itraconazole, ritonavir, nefinavir, clarithromycin and netazadone) (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION in the full prescribing information).

Caution should be taken when ENABLEX is used concomitantly with medications that are predominantly metabo lized by CYP205 and which have a narrow therapeutic window, such as flecanide, thioridazine and tricyclic anti-depressants (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY in the full prescribing information).

depressants (see CLINICAL PHARMACUCUGY in the till prescribing miormation). The concomitant use of ENABLE with other anticholinergic patents may increase the frequency and/or severity of dry mouth, constipation, blurred vision and other anticholinergic pharmacological effects. Anticholinergic agents may potentially alter the absorption of some concomitantly administered drugs due to effects on gastro intestinal molifily.

Drug Laboratory Test Interactions Interactions between darifenacin and laboratory tests have not been studied.

Interactions between daritenation and laboratory tests have not been access. Carcinogenesis/Mutagenesis/Impairment of Fertility Carcinogenicity studies with daritenacin were conducted in mice and rats. No evidence of drug-related carcino-genicity was revealed in a 24-month study in mice at dietary doses up to 100 mg/kg/day or approximately 32 times the estimated human-free AUGo₂Am carced with 15 mg/kg/day or up to approximately 12 times the AUG at MRHD) and in a 24-month study in rats at doses up to 15 mg/kg/day or up to approximately 12 times the AUC at MRHD in female rats and approximately eight times the AUC at MRHD in male rats. Darifenacin was not mutagenic in the bacterial mutation assays (Ames test) and the Chinese hamster ovary assay, and not clastogenic in the human lymphocyte assay, and the *in vivo* mouse bone marrow cytogenetic

There was no evidence for effects on fertility in male or female rats treated at oral doses up to 50 mg/kg/day Exposures in this study correspond to approximately 78 times the AUC at MRHD.

There was no evuence on encode on whether and the second of the second of the study correspond to approximately 78 times the AUC at MRHD.
Pregnancy Category C
Dariforacin was not tratagopenic in rats and rabbits at doses up to 50 and 30 mg/kg/day, respectively. At the dos
of 50 mg/kg in rats, there was a delay in the ossification of the sacral and caudal vertebrae which was not
observed at 10 mg/kg (approximately 13 times the AUC of free plasma concentration at MRHD). Exposure in
this study at 50 mg/kg (approximately 51 times the AUC of free plasma concentration at MRHD).
Dystocia was observed in dams at 10 mg/kg/day (17 times the AUC of free plasma concentration at MRHD).
Sight developmental delays were observed in upps at this dose. At 3 mg/kg/day (five times the AUC of free
plasma concentration at MRHD) there were no effects on dams or pups. At the dose of 30 mg/kg in rabbits,
darifenacin was shown to increase post-implantation loss but not at 10 mg/kg/day (five times the AUC of free
plasma concentration at MRHD). Exposure to unbound drug at 30 mg/kg in this study at OC of ree
plasma concentration at MRHD. In rabbits, dilated urter and/or kidney pelvis was observed in offspring at
30 mg/kg/day and one case was observed at 10 mg/kg/day along with urinary bladder dilation consistent with
pharmacological action of darifenacin. No effect was observed at 3 mg/kg/day (2 stimes the AUC of free
plasma
concentration at MRHD). There are no studies of darifenacin in pregnant women. Because animal reproduction
studies are not always predictive of human response, ENABLEX should be used during pregnancy only if the
benefit to the mother outweights the potential risk to the fatus.
Nursing Mothers

benefit to the informer entropy of the second secon

Pediatric Use The safety and effectiveness of ENABLEX in pediatric patients have not been established.

The safety and effectiveness of ENABLEX in periatric patents have not been established. Geriatric Use in the Phase III fixed-dose, placebo-controlled, clinical studies, 30% of patients treated with ENABLEX were over 65 years of age. No overall differences in safety or efficacy were observed between these patients (n=2 and younger patients. 659 years (n=464). No dose adjustment is recommended for elderly patients (see CLI CAL PHARMACOLOGY, Pharmacokinetics in Special Populations and CLINICAL STUDIES in the full prescrib

ADVERSE REACTIONS ADVERSE REACTIONS During the clinical development of ENABLEX® (darifenacin) extended-release tablets, a total of 7,363 patients and volunteers were treated with doses of darifenacin from 3.75 mg to 75 mg once daily. The safety of ENABLEX was evaluated in Phase II and III controlled clinical trials in a total of 8,830 patients, 6,001 of whom were treated with ENABLEX. Of this total, 1,069 patients participated in three, 12-week, Phase fixed-dose efficacy and safety studies. Of this total, 303 and 334 patients received ENABLEX 7.5 mg daily and 15 mg daily, respectively. In all long-term trials combined, 1,216 and 672 patients received treatment with ENABLEX for at least 24 and 52 weeks, respectively. In all placebo-controlled trials combined, the incidence of serious adverse events for 7.5 mg, 15 mg and placebo-use cimilar

In an paced occurred unais continued, the incluence of sendus adverse events for 7-b mg, r-b mg and pacet was similar. In all fixed-dose Phase III studies combined, 3.3% of patients treated with ENABLEX discontinued due to all adverse events versus 2.6%, in placebo. Dry mouth leading to study discontinuation occurred in 0%, 0.9%, and 0% of patients treated with ENABLEX 7.5 mg daily, ENABLEX 15 mg daily and placebo, respectively. Constipati leading to study discontinuation occurred in 0.6%, 1.2%, and 0.3% of patients treated with ENABLEX 7.5 mg daily. ENABLEX 15 mg daily and placebo, respectively. Table 4 lists the adverse events reported (regardless of causality) in 2% or more of patients treated with 7.5-mg or 15-mg ENABLEX extended-release tablets and greater than placebo in theme, fixed-dose, placebo controlled Phase III studies (Studies 1, 2 and 3). Adverse events were reported by 54% and 65% of patients receiving placebo. In these studies, the most frequentity reported adverse events were dry mouth and constipa-tion. The majority of adverse events in ENABLEX-treated subjects were mild or moderate in severity and most occurred during the first two weeks of treatment. **Table 4**

Incidence of Adverse Events* Reported in 2.0% of Patients Treated with ENABLEX® nded-Release Tablets and More Frequent with ENABLEX® than with Placebo in Three, Fixed-Dose

Placebo-Controlled, Phase III Studies (Studies 1, 2, and 3)					
Body System	Adverse Event	Percentage of Subjects with Adverse Event (%)			
		ENABLEX® 7.5 mg N = 337	ENABLEX® 15 mg N = 334	Placebo N = 388	
Digestive	Dry Mouth	20.2	35.3	N = 300 8.2	
Digestive	Constipation	14.8	21.3	6.2	
	Dyspepsia	2.7	8.4	2.6	
	Abdominal Pain	2.4	3.9	0.5	
	Nausea	2.7	1.5	1.5	
	Diarrhea	2.1	0.9	1.8	
Urogenital	Urinary Tract Infection	4.7	4.5	2.6	
Nervous	Dizziness	0.9	2.1	1.3	
Body as a Whole	Asthenia	1.5	2.7	1.3	
Eye	Dry Eyes	1.5	2.1	0.5	

Conservings on causality Other adverse events reported, regardless of causality, by ≥1% of ENABLEX patients in either the 7.5 mg or 15 mg once-daily daritenacin-dose groups in these fixed-dose, placebo-controlled Phase III studies include: abnormal vision, accidental injury, back pain, dry skin, flu syndrome, pain, hypertension, vomiting, peripheral edema, weight gain, arthralgia, bronchitis, pharyngitis, rhinitis, sinusitis, rash, pruritus, urinary tract disorder and vaginitis.

and vaginitis. Study 4 was a 12-week, placebo-controlled, dose-titration regimen study in which ENABLEX was administered in accordance with dosing recommendations (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION in the full prescribing informa-tion). All patients initially received placebo or ENABLEX 7.5 mg daily, and after two weeks, patients and physi-cians were allowed to adjust upward to ENABLEX 15 mg in eleved. In this study, the most commonly reported adverse events were also constipation and dry mouth. The incidence of discontinuation due to all adverse events was 3.1% and 6.7% for placebo and for ENABLEX, respectively. Table 5 lists the adverse events (regardless of causality) reported in >3% of patients treated with ENABLEX extended-release tablets and greater than placebo.

	Table 5					
Number (%) of A	dverse Events* Reported in >3% of Patients Treated v	vith ENABLEX®				
Extended-Release Tablets, and More Frequent with ENABLEX® than Placebo, in the Placebo-Controlled,						
Dose-Titration, Phase III Study (Study 4)						
Adverse Event	ENABLEX® 7.5 mg/15 mg	Placebo				

	N = 200	N = 127
Constipation	56 (20.9%)	10 (7.9%)
Dry Mouth	50 (18.7%)	11 (8.7%)
Headache	18 (6.7%)	7 (5.5%)
Dyspepsia	12 (4.5%)	2 (1.6%)
Nausea	11 (4.1%)	2 (1.6%)
Urinary Tract Infection	10 (3.7%)	4 (3.1%)
Accidental Injury	8 (3.0%)	3 (2.4%)
Flu Syndrome	8 (3.0%)	3 (2.4%)

ss of causalit

*Regardless of causality Acute urinary retention (AUR) requiring treatment was reported in a total of 16 patients in the ENABLEX Phase I-III clinical trials. Of these 16 cases, seven were reported as serious adverse events, including one patient with detrusor hyperreflexia secondary to a stroke, one patient with benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPF one patient with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and four OAB patients taking darifenacin 30 mg daily. Of the remaining nine cases, none were reported as serious adverse events. Three occurred in OAB patients taking tercommended doses, and two of these required bladder catheterization for 1-2 days. recommended doess, and two or mese required bladder cameterization for 1-2 days. Constigation was reported as a serious adverse event in six patients in the ENABLEX Phase I-III clinical trials, including one patient with benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH), one OAB patient taking darifenacin 30 mg daily and only one OAB patient taking the recommended doses. The latter patient was hospitalized for investigation with colonoscopy after reporting nine months of chronic constipation that was reported as being moderate in

re at 25°C (77°F); excursions permitted to 15-30°C (59-86°F) [see USP Controlled Room Temperature].

DECEMBER 2004 Manufactured by: Pfizer Inc. Brooklyn, New York 11206	Printed in U.S.A.	
Distributed by: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation East Hanover, New Jersey 07936		

3/05

References: 1. Chapple CR, Yamanishi T, Chess-Williams R. Muscarinic receptor subtypes and management of the overactive bladder. Urology. 2002;60(suppl 5A):82-89. 2. Federal Interagency Forum on Aging-Related Statistics. Older Americans 2000: key indicators of well-being. August 2000. Available at: http://www.agingstats.gov/ charbook2000/healthstatus.html#Indicator%2014. Accessed November 4, 2004. **3.** Feinberg M. The problems of anticholinergic adverse effects in older patients. Drugs Aging 1993:3:335-348

U NOVARTIS

Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation East Hanover, New Jersey 07936-1080

©2005 Novartis

said. "There is variation in the quality of the images produced and in the quality of image interpretation." He said the 17 Med-PAC commissioners would like to see the Department of Health and Human Services set quality standards for imaging providers (INTERNAL MEDICINE NEWS, March 1, 2005, p. 77).

"Some people characterize this recommendation as directed toward limiting imaging to radiologists only and billing for imaging to radiologists only," Dr. Miller said, alluding to the perceived "turf war" going on between radiologists and other imaging providers. "That is not correct. We believe the standard should apply to all physicians" who do imaging.

Subcommittee member Rep. Jim Ramstad (R-Minn.) said he was happy to hear that imaging would not be restricted to radiologists. "I would hate to see this become nothing more than a turf battle," he said. "It seems to me that overutilization is a complex issue, involving factors like defensive medicine, provider preference, and consumer demand for the best test.'

The subcommittee also heard from representatives for cardiology and radiology groups, each of which took opposing positions on the increase in imaging volume. "We are deeply concerned with the exponential growth in office-based imaging by those who may lack the education, training, equipment, and clinical personnel to safely and effectively use these studies," said James Borgstede, M.D., chair of the American College of Radiology's board of chancellors. "For this reason, the ACR supports many of the MedPAC recommendations that link Medicare reimbursement to quality, safety, and training standards for physicians and facilities which provide medical imaging services."

Kim Williams, M.D., speaking on behalf of the American College of Cardiology, said there was "no credible evidence" to support the idea that office-based imaging was of poor quality. "Patients are really the issue, not the turf wars frequently discussed in the literature of the American College of Radiology," he said.

Cardiologists are especially concerned about a MedPAC recommendation involving ownership of imaging equipment. Under the current laws against physician selfreferral, physicians cannot refer patients to an imaging center in which they have direct ownership. Dr. Williams urged the subcommittee not to remove a provision in the law that exempts nuclear medicine.

The subcommittee also considered the issue of whether to lower reimbursement for multiple imaging procedures performed in the same visit—specifically, lowering the amount paid for each subsequent image after the first one. Dr. Borgstede noted that the American Medical Association's CPT Editorial Panel has recommended such a reduction, but it will apply to the first image as well. That change will take effect next January, he said.

"We're at a stage where we have to rethink the way we pay physicians," Rep. Johnson said to the two physicians on the panel. "Think about it, and get back to us about what you'd like to see in terms of ... steps in the quality ladder."

C-ENA-1012

T2004-49 5000213 5000214