Adverse Event	amlodipine		Placebo	
	M=% (N=1218)	F=% (N=512)	M=% (N=914)	F=% (N=336)
Edema	5.6	14.6	1.4	5.1
Flushing	1.5	4.5	0.3	0.9
Palpitations	1.4	3.3	0.9	0.9
Somnolence	1.3	1.6	0.8	0.3

The following events occurred in ≤1% but >0.1% of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience where a causal relationship is uncertain; they are listed to alert the physician to a possible relationship: **Cardiovascular:** arrhythmia (including ventricular tachycardia and atrial fibrillation), bradycardia, chest pain, hypotension, peripheral ischemia, syncope, tachycardia, postural dizziness, postural hypotension, vasculitis. **Central and Peripheral Nervous System:** hypoesthesia, neuropathy peripheral, paresthesia, tremor, vertigo. **Gastrointestinal:** anorexia, constipation, dyspepsia,** dysphagia, diarrhea, flatulence, pancreatitis, vomiting, gingival hyperplasia. **General:** allergic reaction, asthenia,** back pain, hot flushes, malaise, pain, rigors, weight gain, weight decrease. **Musculoskeletal System:** arthralgia, arthrosis, muscle cramps,** myalgia. **Psychiatric:** sexual dysfunction (male** and female), insomnia, nervousness, depression, abnormal dreams, anxiety, depersonalization. **Respiratory System:** dyspnea,** epistaxis. **Skin and Appendages:** angioedema, erythema multiforme, pruritus,** rash,** rash erythematous, rash maculopapular. **Special Senses:** abnormal vision, conjunctivitis, diplopia, eye pain, tinnitus. **Urinary System:** micturition frequency, micturition disorder, nocturia. **Autonomic Nervous System:** dry mouth, sweating increased. **Metabolic and Nutritional:** hyperglycemia, thirst. **Hemopoietic:** leukopenia, purpura, thrombocytopenia. The following events occurred in ≤0.1% of patients treated with amlodipine in controlled clinical trials or under conditions of open trials or marketing experience: cardiac failure, pulse irregularity, extrasystoles, skin discoloration, urticaria, skin dryness, alopecia, dermatitis, muscle weakness, twitching, ataxia, hypertonia, migraine, cold and clammy skin, apathy, agitation, amnesia, gastritis, increased appetite, loose stools, coughing, rhinitis, dysuria, polyuria, parosmia, taste perversion, abnormal visual accommodation, and xerophthalmia. Other reactions occurred sporadically and cannot be distinguished from medications or concurrent disease states such as myocardial infarction and angina. Amlodipine therapy has not been associated with clinically significant changes in routine laboratory tests. No clinically relevant changes were noted in serum potassium, serum glucose, total triglycerides, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, uric acid, blood urea nitrogen, or creatinine. The following postmarketing event has been reported infrequently with amlodipine treatment where a causal relationship is uncertain: gynecomastia. In postmarketing experience, jaundice and hepatic enzyme elevations (mostly consistent with cholestasis or hepatitis) in some cases severe enough to require hospitalization have been reported in association with use of amlodipine. Amlodipine has been used safely in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, well-compensated congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and abnormal lipid profiles. **The Atorvastatin Component of CADUET:** Atorvastatin is generally well-tolerated. Adverse reactions have usually been mild and transient. In controlled clinical studies of 2502 patients, <2% of patients were discontinued due to adverse experiences attributable to atorvastatin calcium. The most frequent adverse events thought to be related to atorvastatin calcium were constipation, flatulence, dyspepsia, and abdominal pain. Clinical Adverse Experiences: Adverse experiences reported in ≥2% of patients in placebo-controlled clinical studies of atorvastatin, regardless of causality assessment, are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Adverse Events in Placebo-Controlled Studies (% of Patients)

Body System/ Adverse Event	Placebo N=270	atorvastatin 10 mg N=863	20 mg N=36	40 mg N=79	80 mg N=94
BODY AS A WHOLE					
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1
SKIN AND APPENDAGES					
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
Arthralgia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Myalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0

Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT): In ASCOT involving 10,305 participants treated with atorvastatin 10 mg daily (n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with atorvastatin was comparable to that of the group treated with placebo during a median of 3.3 years of follow-up. The following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment, in patients treated with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in ≥2% of patients and the events in plain type occurred in <2% of patients. **Body as a Whole:** *Chest pain*, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction, generalized edema. **Digestive System:** *Nausea*, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, biliary pain, cheilitis, duodenal ulcer, dysphagia, enteritis, melena, gum hemorrhage, stomach ulcer, tenesmus, ulcerative stomatitis, hepatitis, pancreatitis, cholestatic jaundice. **Respiratory System:** *Bronchitis, rhinitis*, pneumonia, dyspnea, asthma, epistaxis. **Nervous System:** *Insomnia, dizziness*, paresthesia, somnolence, amnesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertonia. **Musculoskeletal System:** *Arthritis*, leg cramps, bursitis, tenosynovitis, myasthenia, tendinous contracture, myositis. **Skin and Appendages:** Pruritus, contact dermatitis, alopecia, dry skin, sweating, acne, urticaria, eczema, seborrhea, skin ulcer. **Urogenital System:** *Urinary tract infection*, urinary frequency, cystitis, hematuria, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epididymitis, fibrocystic breast, vaginal hemorrhage, albuminuria, breast enlargement, metrorrhagia, nephritis, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, urinary urgency, abnormal ejaculation, uterine hemorrhage. **Special Senses:** Amblyopia, tinnitus, dry eyes, refraction disorder, eye hemorrhage, deafness, glaucoma, parosmia, taste loss, taste perversion. **Cardiovascular System:** Palpitation, vasodilatation, syncope, migraine, postural hypotension, phlebitis, arrhythmia, angina pectoris, hypertension. **Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders:** *Peripheral edema*, hyperglycemia, creatine phosphokinase increased, gout, weight gain, hypoglycemia. **Hemic and Lymphatic System:** Ecchymosis, anemia, lymphadenopathy, thrombocytopenia, petechia. ***Postintroduction Reports with Atorvastatin:*** Adverse events associated with atorvastatin therapy reported since market introduction, that are not listed above, regardless of causality assessment, include the following: anaphylaxis, angioneurotic edema, bullous rashes (including erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis), and rhabdomyolysis. ***Pediatric Patients (ages 10-17 years):*** In a 26-week controlled study in boys and postmenarchal girls (n=140), the safety and tolerability profile of atorvastatin 10 to 20 mg daily was generally similar to that of placebo (see **PRECAUTIONS, Pediatric Use**).

OVERDOSAGE: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. **Information on Amlodipine:** Single oral doses of amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate doses equivalent to 4 or more mg amlodipine/kg in dogs (11 or more times the maximum recommended clinical dose on a mg/m² basis) caused a marked peripheral vasodilation and hypotension. Overdosage might be expected to cause excessive peripheral vasodilation with marked hypotension and possibly a reflex tachycardia. In humans, experience with intentional overdosage of amlodipine is limited. Reports of intentional overdosage include a patient who ingested 250 mg and was asymptomatic and was not hospitalized; another (120 mg) was hospitalized, underwent gastric lavage and remained normotensive; the third (105 mg) was hospitalized and had hypotension (90/50 mmHg) which normalized following plasma expansion. A patient who took 70 mg amlodipine and an unknown quantity of benzodiazepine in a suicide attempt developed shock which was refractory to treatment and died the following day with abnormally high benzodiazepine plasma concentration. A case of accidental drug overdose has been documented in a 19-month-old male who ingested 30 mg amlodipine (about 2 mg/kg). During the emergency room presentation, vital signs were stable with no evidence of hypotension, but a heart rate of 180 bpm. Ipecac was administered 3.5 hours after ingestion and on subsequent observation (overnight) no sequelae were noted. If massive overdose should occur, active cardiac and respiratory monitoring should be instituted. Frequent blood pressure measurements are essential. Should hypotension occur, cardiovascular support including elevation of the extremities and the judicious administration of fluids should be initiated. If hypotension remains unresponsive to these conservative measures, administration of vasopressors (such as phenylephrine) should be considered with attention to circulating volume and urine output. Intravenous calcium gluconate may help to reverse the effects of calcium entry blockade. As amlodipine is highly protein bound, hemodialysis is not likely to be of benefit. **Information on Atorvastatin:** There is no specific treatment for atorvastatin overdosage. In the event of an overdose, the patient should be treated symptomatically, and supportive measures instituted as required. Due to extensive drug binding to plasma proteins, hemodialysis is not expected to significantly enhance atorvastatin clearance.

*Based on patient weight of 50 kg.

**These events occurred in less than 1% in placebo-controlled trials, but the incidence of these side effects was between 1% and 2% in all multiple dose studies.

℞ **only**

Manufactured by:
Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals
Dublin, Ireland

Distributed by:

Pfizer Labs
Division of Pfizer Inc., NY, NY 10017

Rev. 1 October 2004

Discarded Drug May Be First for Gene Therapy

BY MICHELE G. SULLIVAN
Mid-Atlantic Bureau

The discovery of a common polymorphism in the gene for β_1-adrenergic–receptor blocker that predicts response to the β-blocker bucindolol has resurrected interest in the discarded drug.

Bucindolol could be the first genetically targeted cardiovascular drug, said Dr. Michael Bristow, codirector of the Cardiovascular Institute at the University of Colorado. His company, ARCA Discovery Inc. of Denver, intends to file a new drug and diagnostic test application with the Food and Drug Administration. If approved, the drug would be marketed along with a genetic test to identify potential responders.

Subjects with heart failure who were homozygous for the arginine variant of the β_1 AR-389 gene (Arg-389 variant) and who took bucindolol had a 38% reduction in mortality, compared with such patients who were treated with placebo, Dr. Bristow and his colleagues have reported. They also had a 34% reduction in the combined end point of hospitalizations and mortality (PNAS 2006;doi/10.1073/pnas.0509937103).

'We wouldn't have to rely on skin color to assign therapy. We could assign therapy based on much better criteria: biology as predicted by a genetic profile.'

"This is where the field of pharmacogenetic therapy needs to go in cardiovascular medicine," Dr. Bristow said in an interview. "Developing therapies that are specifically effective on pharmacogenetic subsets, so patients unlikely to respond to therapy aren't exposed to the risks and costs of treatment."

The drug could be especially important for blacks, who are twice as likely as whites to develop heart failure, but who also have a poorer response to drugs, he said. The DNA test would target blacks who could benefit from bucindolol. "We wouldn't have to rely on skin color to assign therapy. We could assign therapy based on much better criteria: biology as predicted by a genetic profile."

The bucindolol study is an exciting one, said Dr. Sidney Goldstein, head, emeritus, of the division of cardiovascular medicine at Henry Ford Hospital in Detroit. "Previous studies trying to link genotypes to β-blocker efficacy have shown some association. This study, which is the largest randomized population that has been looked at, certainly lends further credibility to the thought."

But it will take more than one DNA study to bring bucindolol to market, he predicted. "It's very intriguing, but in order to get approval we'd need a lot more clinical evidence in this particular population," said Dr. Goldstein, medical editor of CARDIOLOGY NEWS.

Mainstream research abandoned bucindolol in 1999, when the Beta-Blocker Evaluation of Survival Time study (BEST) was halted for ethical reasons. After a median of 2 years, bucindolol offered no significant survival advantage over placebo, while other β-blockers had long been confirmed as beneficial.

But as the study was further analyzed, substudies using its database emerged, including one using DNA collected from 1,040 BEST subjects. In 1998, Dr. Steve Liggett, BEST's lead investigator, identified several genetic variants of the β_1-adrenergic receptor—the target of β-blocker therapy. In a DNA substudy submitted before BEST ended, Dr. Liggett and Dr. Bristow hypothesized that the Arg-389 variant would predict bucindolol response.

Dr. Bristow and his colleagues reexamined the BEST response data, stratifying survival of placebo and bucindolol-treated patients according to genetic type. Only homozygous Arg-389 variant patients treated with bucindolol showed a significant survival advantage: a hazard ratio of 0.62 for mortality, compared with Arg-389 variant placebo-treated patients.

The polymorphism occurred more frequently in whites than in blacks (51% vs. 35%), Dr. Bristow said—an intriguing finding that probably speaks to bucindolol' s better performance among whites in the original BEST study (N. Engl. J. Med. 2001;344:1659-67). "We need more research on this point, but it may be possible that this genetic variant has a great deal to do with why black patients have more heart failure, and worse outcomes, than white patients."

Bucindolol has a unique pharmacologic profile among β-blockers, not only blocking adrenergic receptors but lowering norepinephrine as well. "It appears that those with the Arg/Arg polymorphism can tolerate the loss of norepinephrine signalling better than those who don't have it," Dr. Bristow said. "In them, the combination of actions produces a supertherapeutic response."

It's impossible to extrapolate bucindolol's positive effect on patients with the arginine variant to any other β-blocker, however. One study has already addressed this issue, finding no association between β_1-AR genetic subtype and survival in patients taking metoprolol (Eur. J. Heart Fail. 2003;5:463-78). There are no data available on carvedilol or bisoprolol.

If bucindolol and its accompanying genetic screen are eventually approved, physicians and patients will have to decide if the additional time and expense of genetic testing will be worth the drug's benefit, Dr. Goldstein said. "Metoprolol and carvedilol will probably both be coming out in generic forms pretty quickly. In all probability they will be substantially less expensive than bucindolol, and it's hard to believe bucindolol would be better than those drugs, although it does appear to be just as good for these particular patients." ■