

ACOG Criticized for Restricting Rural VBACs

BY DAMIAN McNAMARA
Miami Bureau

NEW ORLEANS — Neonatal and maternal mortality in California did not significantly change after the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommended that vaginal births be attempted after cesarean delivery only in settings with "immediately available" emergency care, according to one study.

John Zweifler, M.D., and research fellow Susan Hughes compared neonatal and maternal deaths from 1996 to 2002, before and after the 1999 recommendations from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. They reviewed maternal demographics, birth data, and out-

comes, noting previous cesarean sections and whether hospitals were in rural or urban areas.

Very low-birth-weight infants were the only group in the study to experience significantly higher mortality associated with vaginal births after cesarean (VBAC).

When ACOG was contacted for comment, a representative, Gary Hankins, M.D., criticized the study design and its implications.

In 1996, ACOG encouraged VBACs, Dr.

Zweifler said at the annual conference of the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine. In 1998, the college changed its recommendations on VBACs and stated they should be attempted only where emergency care is "readily available."

The following year, ACOG further restricted the recommendations to settings where emergency care is "immediately available." The college retained the wording of these recommendations in its latest update (Obstet. Gynecol. 2004;104:203-12).

ACOG defines "immediately available" as having access to anesthesia services and a physician throughout active labor, as well as the resources to perform an emergency cesarean. "But for those of us in rural settings, this could impair our ability to do VBAC," Dr. Zweifler said. "We were concerned that a change in ACOG guidelines would have deleterious effects on our [residency] program."

California Birth Statistical Master files consider mortality to be associated with

MDs Need Brush Up on Parturient Resuscitation

PALM DESERT, CALIF. — Obstetricians, emergency physicians, and anesthesiologists may suffer significant knowledge gaps when it comes to resuscitation of women in labor, suggest survey results presented in poster form at the annual meeting of the Society for Obstetric Anesthesia and Perinatology.

Faculty and residents in all three groups of specialists at Stanford (Calif.) University responded to an 11-question anonymous survey covering four critical knowledge areas concerning resuscitation after catastrophic events in labor that lead to cardiorespiratory arrest:

- ▶ Awareness of the need for left uterine displacement.
- ▶ Recall of specific standard advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) algorithms.
- ▶ Knowledge of pertinent maternal physiology.

▶ Awareness of the recommendation to perform C-section in women at more than 20 weeks' gestation after 5 minutes of unsuccessful resuscitation for cardiac arrest.

Among 74 respondents, anesthesiologists answered the most questions correctly (average 76%). They were also better informed than other specialists about relevant maternal physiology.

Emergency physicians scored highest on questions regarding ACLS algorithms, averaging 93% correct responses.

All three groups earned similar scores on questions relating to left uterine displacement during resuscitation and the 5-minute cesarean rule. However, the rate of correct responses to those questions was low, at 60%-75%, said Leslie C. Andes, M.D., of the Stanford department of anesthesiology, and her associates.

They recommended that residents in all three specialties be required to complete ACLS certification, with an emphasis on the special resuscitation needs of women in labor. Labor and delivery suites are not the only places in a hospital where pregnant women may need to be resuscitated, the investigators noted in the poster.

—Betsy Bates

Works late nights and weekends designing fashion brochures.

Needs access to a PPI that works just as hard.

For some patients with acid-related disorders, simply getting access to treatment is a challenge. That's why PREVACID works to expand access to more managed care patients and why we continue to find ways to improve formulary coverage. It's also why we offer coupons, rebates, and comprehensive uninsured and indigent assistance programs.

Ask your PREVACID sales rep about formulary coverage and patient assistance.



Important Safety and Other Information

PREVACID indications include healing of erosive esophagitis. Most erosions heal in 4 to 8 weeks.

PREVACID Oral Formulations

- The most frequently reported adverse events with PREVACID in adults were diarrhea (3.8%), abdominal pain (2.1%), and nausea (1.3%).
- Symptomatic response to therapy does not preclude the presence of gastric malignancy. PREVACID formulations are contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity to any component of the formulation.

Patient Assistance

- Patient assistance programs include coupons, rebates, Together Rx Access™, and other programs.

©2005 TAP Pharmaceutical Products Inc. 2005-030-06363 06/05

Formulary Coverage

- PREVACID is covered on 96% of managed care plans, with 82% of these covered plans requiring no prior authorization.^{1*}

See following page for brief summary of prescribing information.

*Based on Formulary Compass™ managed care database available through MediMedia Information Technologies, March 2005. At least one PREVACID product is covered.

Reference 1. Data on file, TAP Pharmaceutical Products Inc.

Together Rx Access and Formulary Compass are not trademarks of TAP Pharmaceutical Products Inc.