Part D Counseling: Another 'Unfunded Mandate'?

BY NELLIE BRISTOL

Contributing Writer

WASHINGTON — Physician obligation to help patients negotiate the upcoming Medicare Part D outpatient drug benefit will result in "another unfunded mandate" for Medicare providers, Ronald Castellanos, M.D., chairman of the Practicing Physicians Advisory Council said at the group's recent meeting.

Noting that patients are most likely to

rely on their physicians for aid in choosing among the new drug plans, Dr. Castellanos said, "Basically what you're doing is putting the burden on physicians in their offices to really educate the Medicare re-

PPAC members asked the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services to make educational materials as simple as possible, including information on whether beneficiaries are eligible for the low-income portion of the program.

"I really want a lot of information, very digestible," said PPAC member Geraldine O'Shea, D.O., an internist in Jackson, Calif. "Something very easy for them to understand, because I do not want to take time out of my time to do medicine with my patient to say, 'Well, let me see your tax return.' "

'We are trying to make the information available as simple as possible," said Jeffrey Kelman, M.D., medical officer for the CMS Center for Beneficiary Choices, noting that he would bring educational material to the council's next meeting.

Council member Barbara McAneny, M.D., an oncologist from Albuquerque, requested that the agency develop a computer program that would allow physicians to type in the drugs a patient is using and come up with the plan that would cover all of them. She also proposed a draft recommendation that would require CMS to develop a reimbursement code for physician time spent on drug plan education, but it was voted down by the panel, with members saying it wasn't practical.

Walking through the benefit, Dr. Kelman said CMS is getting "much more robust formularies" from drug plans than officials had anticipated. "They're looking

'I really want a lot of information, very digestible ... because I do not want to take time out of my time to do medicine with my patient to say, "Well, let me see your tax return." '

like commercial formularies," he said. He added that the formularies would be available on the Web site in Oc-

All drugs approved by the Food and Drug Administration must be on the formularies, Dr. Kelman said. If

a drug is not included, a beneficiary can appeal, based on medical necessity, but 'preferably with a physician's help," he said. "All medically necessary drugs that are approved by the FDA with certain exceptions ... have to be available." However, off-label prescriptions will be covered,

In a move important to rare drug organizations, Dr. Kelman said if there is only one drug to treat a disease, it must be included in the formulary. Part D also will ensure drugs are available for chronic conditions by "favorably risk adjusting" those diseases, Dr. Kelman said. The plans also will "overadjust" for low-income individuals and nursing homes.

We went to a lot of trouble to ensure nobody was discriminated against on the formulary or based on the Part D benefit," Dr. Kelman said. He said formularies would be compared with others in their region and with commercial plans.

Council member Laura Powers, M.D., a neurologist in Knoxville, Tenn. said she was relieved by Dr. Kelman's comments. "We were so worried that our patients with very expensive drugs were going to be eliminated from all the formularies."

Dr. Kelman urged physicians to begin moving patients to the new formularies before the benefit is effective Jan. 1, 2006. 'The last thing we want is 40 million exceptions and appeals in the first week," he said. Beneficiaries can enroll in the program from November 15 through May 15.

Dr. Kelman also pointed out that by law, barbiturates and benzodiazepines will not be covered by the plans. He said the medications are inexpensive and that the program was hoping states would continue to pay for them for dual-eligible (Medicaid-Medicare) beneficiaries.



INDICATIONS AND USAGE
LUNESTA is indicated for the treatment of insomnia. In controlled outpatient and sleep
laboratory studies, LUNESTA administered at bedtime decreased sleep latency and
improved sleep maintenance.

WARNINGS

Because sleep disturbances may be the presenting manifestation of a physical and/or psychiatric disorder, symptomatic treatment of incoming should be initiated only after a careful evaluation of the patient. The failure of insomnia to remit after 7 to 10 days of treatment may indicate the presence of a primary psychiatric and/or medical illness that should be evaluated. Worsening of insomnia or the emergence of new thinking or behavior abnormalities may be the consequence of an unrecognized psychiatric or physical disorder. Such findings have emerged during the course of treatment with sedative/hypnotic drugs, including LUNESTA. Because some of the important adverse effects of LUNESTA appear to be dose-related, it is important to use the lowest possible effective dose, sepecially in the elderly (see DosAGE AND ADMINIS-TRATION in the Full Prescribing Information).

Inautor in the Full Prescribing Information). A variety of abnormal thinking and behavior changes have been reported to occur in association with the use of seadtive/hypnotics. Some of these changes may be characterized by decreased inhibition (e.g., aggressiveness and extroversion that seem out of charactery, similar to effects produced by alcohol and other CNS depressants. Other reported behavioral changes have included bizarre behavior, agitation, hallucinations, and depersonalization. Amensia and other neuropsychiatric symptoms any occur unpredictably. In primarily depressed patients, worsening of depression, including suicidal thinking, has been reported in association with the use of sedative/hypnotics.

tive/hypnotics. It can rarely be determined with certainty whether a particular instance of the abnormal behaviors listed above are drug-induced, spontaneous in origin, or a result of an underlying psychiatric or physical disorder. Nonetheless, the emergence of any new behavioral sign or symptom of concern requires careful and immediate evaluation. Following rapid dose decrease or abrupt discontinuation of the use of sedative/hypnotics, there have been reports of signs and symptoms similar to those associated with withdrawal from other CNS-depressant drugs (see DRUG ABUSE AND DEPRIDENCE).

withdrawal from other CNS-depressant drugs (see DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE). LUNESTA, like other hypnotics, has CNS-depressant affects. Because of the rapid onset of action, LUNESTA should only be ingested immediately prior to going to bed or after the patient seewing LUNESTA should be cautioned against engaging in hazardous occupations requiring complete mental alertness or motor coordination (e.g., operating machinery or driving a motor vehicle) after ingesting the drug, and be cautioned about potential impairment of the performance of such activities on the day following ingestion of LUNESTA. LUNESTA like other hypnotics, may produce additive CNS-depressant effects when coadministered with other psychotropic medications, anticonvulsants, antihistamines, ethanol, and other drugs that themselves produce CNS depression. LUNESTA should not be taken with alcohol. Dose adjustment may be necessary when LUNESTA is administered with other CNS-depressant agents, because of the potentially additive effects.

General
Timing of Drug Administration: LUNESTA should be taken immediately before bedtime.
Taking a sedative/hyponotic while still up and about may result in short-term memory impairment, halucinations, impaired coordination, dizziness, and lightheadedness.
Use In The Elderly And/Or Debilitated Patients: Impaired motor and/or cognitive performance after repeated exposure or unusual sensitivity to sedative/hyponotic

performance after repeated exposure or unusual sensitivity to sedative/hypnotic drugs is a concern in the treatment of elderly and/or debilitated patients. The recommended starting dose of LUNESTA for these patients is 1 mg (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION in the Full Prescribing Information).

Use In Patients With Concomitant Illness: Clinical experience with eszopiclore in patients with concomitant illness is simited. Eszopiclore should be used with caution in patients with diseases or conditions that could affect metabolism or hemodynamic responses.

A study in healthy volunteers did not reveal respiratory-depressant effects at doses 2.5-fold higher (7 mg) than the recommended dose of eszopicione. Caution is advised, however, if LUNESTA is prescribed to patients with compromised respiratory function. The dose of LUNESTA should be reduced to 1 mg in patients with sovere health impairment, because systemic exposure is doubled in such subjects. No dose adjustment appears necessary for subjects with mild or moderate hepatic impairment, because systemic exposure is doubled in such subjects. No dose adjustment appears necessary for subjects with mild or moderate hepatic impairment, since less than 10% of eszopicione is excreted unchanged in the urine. The dose of LUNESTA should be reduced in patients who are administered potent inhibitors of CYPSAH, such as ketoconazole, while taking LUNESTA. Downward dose adjustment is also recommended when LUNESTA is administered with agents having known CNS-depressant effects.

ing known CNS-depressant effects.

Use In Patients With Depression: Sedative/hypnotic drugs should be administered with caution to patients exhibiting signs and symptoms of depression. Sucidat here dencies may be present in such patients, and protective measures may be regular. Intentional overdose is more common in this group of patients; therefore, the least amount of drug that is feasible should be prescribed for the patient at any one limit. Internation For Patients: Patient information is printed in the complete prescribing internation.

Laboratory Tests: There are no specific laboratory tests recommended

Paroxetine: Coadministration of single doses of eszopiclone 3 mg and paroxetine 20 mg daily for 7 days produced no pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic interaction Lorazepam: Coadministration of single doses of eszopicione 3 mg and lorazepam 2 mg did not have clinically relevant effects on the pharmacodynamics or pharmaco-kinetics of either drug.

kinetics of either drug.

Alanzapine: Coadministration of eszopicione 3 mg and olanzapine 10 mg produced a decrease in DSST scores. The interaction was pharmacodynamic; there was no alteration in the pharmacokinetics of either drug.

Drugs That Inhibit CYP3A4 (Ketoconazole): CYP3A4 is a major metabolic pathway for elimination of eszopicione. The AUC of eszopicione was increased 2.2-fold by coad-

elimination of eszopicione. The AUC of eszopicione was increased 2.2-fold by coad-ministration of ketoconazole, a potent inhibitor of CVP3A4, 40p. mg daily for 5 days. C_{min} and t_o were increased 1.4-fold and 1.3-fold, respectively. Other strong inhibitors of CVP3A4 (e.g., irraconazole, clarithromycin, nefazodone, troleandomycin, ritonavir, nelificavir) would be expected to behave similarly.

The mean would be expected to befave sinitiary.

Drugs That Induce CYP3A4 (Rifampicin): Racemic zopicione exposure was decreased 80% by concomitant use of rifampicin, a potent inducer of CYP3A4. A similar effect would be expected with exopicione.

Driugs Highly Bound To Plasma Protein: Escapicione is not highly bound to plasma proteins (52-59% bound); therefore, the disposition of escapicione is not expected to be sensitive to alterations in protein binding. Administration of escapicione 3 mg to a patent taking another drug that is highly protein-bound would not be expected to cause an afteration in the five conventration of either drug.

to a petient taking another using in a singing process both and to cause an advantage in the tree concentration of either drug.

Drugs With A Narrow Therapeutic Index

Digoxin: A single dose of eszopiolone 3 mg did not affect the pharmacokinetics of digoxin measured at steady state following dosing of 0.5 mg twice daily for one day and 0.25 mg daily for the next 6 fazy, and of the digoxin measured at steady state following dosing of 0.5 mg twice daily for one day and 0.25 mg daily for the next 6 fazy, and of the digoxin measured in the pharmacokinetics of (R)- or (S)-warfarin, nor were there any changes in the pharmacodynamic profile (protritombin time) following a single 25-mg oral dose of warfarin.

Carcinogenesis: Mutagenesis: Impairment of Fertility
Carcinogenesis: In a carcinogenicity study in Sprague-Dawley rats in which eszopicione was given by oral gavage, no increases in tumors were seen; plasma levels (AUC) of eszopicione at the nighest dose used in this study (16 mg/g/day) are seimated to be 80 (females) and 20 (males) times those in humans receiving the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD). However, in a carcinogenicity study in

Sprague-Dawley rats in which racemic zopiclone was given in the diet, and in which plasma levels of eszopiclone were reached that were greater than those reached in the above study of eszopiclone, an increase in mammary gland adenocarcinomas in females and an increase in thyroid gland follicular cell adenomas and carcinomas in females and an increase in thyroid gland follicular cell adenomas and carcinomas in females were seen at the highest dose of 100 mg/kg/day. Plasma levels of eszopiclone at this dose are estimated to be 150 (females) and 70 (males) times those in humans is unknown. The increase in thyroid tumors is thought to be due to increased kevils of TSH secondary to increase in thyroid tumors is thought to be due to increased kevils of TSH secondary to increased matabolism of circulating thyroid hormones, a mechanism that is not considered to be relevant to humans.

In a carcinogenicity study in B6C3F1 mice in which racemic zopiclone was given in the diet, an increase in pulmonary carcinomas and carcinomas plus adenomas in females and an increase in skin fibromas and sarcomas in males were seen at the highest dose of 100 mg/kg/day. Plasma levels of eszopiclone at this dose are estimated to be 8 (females) and 20 (males) times those in humans receiving the MRHO. The skin tumors were due to skin ilesions induced by aggressive behavior, a mechanism that is not relevant to humans. A carcinogenicity study was also performed in which CD-1 mice were given eszopiclone at doses up to 100 mg/kg/day by oral gavages though this study did not reach a maximum tolerated dose, and was thus inadequate for overall assessment of carcinogenicity study was also performed in which CD-1 mice were given eszopiclone at doses up to 100 mg/kg/day by oral gavages upon the plandary or skin tumors were seen at doses producing plasma levels of eszopiclone do mortinoma.

Eszopicione did not increase tumors in a p53 transgenic mouse bioassay at oral doses up to 300 mg/kg/day.

doses up to suu mg/kg/q/ay.

Mutagenesis: Eszopiclone was positive in the mouse lymphoma chromosomal aberration assay and produced an equivocal response in the Chinese hamster ovary cell chromosomal aberration assay, it was not mutagenic or clastogenic in the bacterial Ames gene mutation assay, in an unscheduled DNA synthesis assay, or in an in vivo mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay.

an in work induse long interest many minuticates assay.

(S)-N-desmethyl zopiclone, a metabolite of eszopiclone, was positive in the Chinese hamster ovary cell and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration assays. It was negative in the bacterial Ames mutation assay, in an in vitro xP-postlabeling DNA adduct assay, and in an in vivo mouse bone marrow chromosomal aberration and micronucleus assay.

micronucleus assay.

Impairment Of Fertility: Escopicione was given by oral gavage to male rats at doses up to 45 mg/kg/day from 4 weeks premating through mating and to female rats at doses up to 180 mg/kg/day from 2 weeks premating through day 7 of pregnancy. An additional study was performed in which only females were treated, up to 180 mg/kg/day. Escopicione decreased fertility, probably because of effects in both males and females. with no females becoming pregnant when both males and females were treated with the flighest dose; the no-effect dose in both sexes was 5 mg/kg (16 tines the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Other effects included increased preimplantation loss (no-effect dose 25 mg/kg), ahornmal estrus cycles (no-effect dose 25 mg/kg), and decreases in sperm number and motility and increases in morphologically abnormal sperm (no-effect dose 5 mg/kg).

phologically abnormal sperm (no-effect dose o myrky).

Pregnancy Cabegory C: Eszopicione administered by oral gavage to pregnant rals and rabbits during the period of organogenesis showed no evidence of testagenicity up to the highest doses tested (250 and 16 mg/kg/day in rats and rabbits, respectively; these doses are 800 and 100 times, respectively, the maximum recommended human dose (MRHOI) on a mg/m² basis). In the rat, slight reductions in felal welf and evidence of developmental delay were seen at maternally toxic doses of 125 and 50 mg/kg/day, but not at 62.5 mg/kg/day (200 times the MRHOI on a mg/m² basis). Eszopicione was also administered by oral gavage to pregnant rats throughout the pregnancy and lactation periods at doses of up to 180 mg/kg/day. Increased post-implantation loss, decreased postnatal pup weights and survival, and increased pup startle response were seen at all doses; the lowest dose tested. Of mg/kg/day, is 200 times the MRHO on a mg/m² basis. These doses did not produce significant maternal toxicity. Eszopicione had no effects on other behavioral measures or reproductive function in the offspring.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of eszopicione in pregnant women.

function in the offspring.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of escopictone in pregnant women.

Escopictone should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Labor And Delvery: LUNESTA has no established use in labor and delivery.

Nursing Moltars: It is not known whether LUNESTA is excreted in human milk.

Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when LUNESTA is administered to a nursing woman.

Politatic like Settle and effectiveness of escopicions in children below the agent 18

LUNESTA is administered to a nursing worman. Pediatric Use: Safety and effectiveness of eszopiclone in children below the age of 18 have not been established.

Geriatric Use: A total of 287 subjects in double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled clinical trails who received eszopiclone were 65 to 86 years of age. The overall pattern of adverse events for elderly subjects (median age = 71 years) in 2-week studies with nightlime dosing of 2 mg eszopiclone was not different from that seen in younger adults. LUNESTA 2 ing exhibited significant reduction in sleep latency and improvement in sleep maintenance in the elderly population.

ANYERS REACTIONS

improvement in sleep maintenance in the elderly population.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

The premarketing development program for LUNESTA included eszopiclone exposures in patients and/or normal subjects from two different groups of studies approximately 400 normal subjects in clinical pharmacology/pharmacokinetic studies, and approximately 505 patients in placebo-controlled clinical effectiveness studies, corresponding to approximately 263 patient-exposure years. The conditions and duration for treatment with LUNESTA varied greatly and included (in overlapping categories) open-label and double-blind phases of studies, inpatients and outpatients, and short-term and longer-term exposure. Adverse reactions were assessed by collecting adverse events, results of physical examinations, vital signs, weights, laboratory analyses, and ECGs.

weights, laboratory analyses, and ECGs.
Adverse events during exposure were obtained primarily by general inquiry and recorded by clinical investigators using terminology of their own choesing. Consequently, it is not possible to provide a meaningful estimate of the proportion of individuals experiencing adverse events without first grouping similar types of events into a smaller number of standardized event categories. In the tabulations that follow, COSTART terminology has been used to classify reported adverse events.

COSTART terminology has been used to classify reported adverse events. The stated frequencies of adverse events represent the proportion of individuals who experienced, at least once, a treatment-emergent adverse event of the type listed. An event was considered treatment-emergent if it occurred for the first time or worsened while the patient was receiving therapy following baseline evaluation.

Adverse Findings Observed in Placebo-Controlled Trials

Adverse Events Resulting in Discontinuation of Treatment. In placebo-controlled, parallel-group clinical trials in the elderly, 3.8% of 280 patients who received placebo, 2.3% of 215 patients who received a mg LUNESTA, and 1.4% of 72 patients who received I mg LUNESTA discontinued treatment due to an adverse event. In the elong-term 6-month study in adult insomnia patients, 7.2% of 195 patients who received placebo and 1.2% of 195 patients who received to a adverse event. No event that resulted in discontinuation occurred at a rate of greater than 2%.

Adverse Events Observed at an Incidence of 2.2% in Controlled Trials. The follow-

resulted in discontinuation occurred at a rate of greater than 2%.

Adverse Events Diserved at an Incidence of ≥2% in Controlled Trials. The following lists the incidence (% placebo, 2 mg, 3 mg, respectively) of treatment-emergent adverse events from a Phase 3 placebo-controlled study of LUNESTA at doses of 2 or 3 mg in non-eldedy adults. Treatment duration in this trial was 44 days. Data are limited to adverse events that occurred in 2% or more of patients treated with LUNESTA 2 mg (n=105) in mg (n=105) in which the incidence in patients treated with LUNESTA was greater than the incidence in placebo-treated patients (n=99).

Body as a whole; headache (13%, 21%, 17%), viral infection (1%, 3%, 3%), oligosities yestem; dry mount (3%, 5%, 7%), shapspelsi (4%, 4%, 5%), naisae (4%, 5%, 4%), vomiting (1%, 3%, 0%). Merryous system; anxiety (0%, 3%, 1%), biolido decreased (0%, 0%, 3%), nervousness (3%, 5%, 0%), 5%, onnoisence (3%, 10%, 3%, 4%), Shamat annextages; resh (1%, 3%, 4%). Shamat annextages; respective system; infection (3%, 5%, 0%), skin and annextages; resh (1%, 3%, 4%). Shamat annextages; respective system; infection (3%, 5%, 0%), skin and annextages; resh (1%, 3%, 4%). Shamat annextages; respecific adverse event in females

Gender-specific adverse event in females

'Events for which the LUNESTA incidence was equal to or less than placebo are not listed, but included the following: abnormal dreams, accidental injury, back pain, diarrhea, flu syndrome, myalgia, pain, pharyngitis, and rhinitis. Adverse events that suggest a dose-response relationship in adults include viral infection, dry mouth, dizziness, hallucinations, infection, rash, and unpleasant taste, with this relationship clearest for unpleasant taste.

The following lists the incidence (% placebo, 2 mg, 3 mg, respectively) of treatment-emergent adverse events from combined Phase 3 placebo-controlled studies of LUNESTA at doses of 1 or 2 mg in eterly adults (ages 55-66). Treatment duration in these trials was 14 days. Data are limited to events that occurred in 2% or more of patients treated with LUNESTA in mg (n=2) or 2 mg (n=215) in which the incidence in patients treated with LUNESTA was greater than the incidence in placebo-freated patients.

patients: 1

Body as a whole; accidental injury (1%, 0%, 3%), headache (14%, 15%, 13%), pain (2%, 4%, 5%). Digestive system: diarrhea (2%, 4%, 2%), dry mouth (2%, 3%, 7%), dyspensia (2%, 6%, 2%). Beroous system: ahormal dreams (9%, 3%, 1%), dyspensia (2%, 6%, 2%). Beroous system: ahormal dreams (9%, 3%, 1%), dyspensia (2%, 1%, 6%), nervousness (1%, 0%, 2%), neuralpia (0%, 3%, 0%), Skin and anoendanes; purritus: (1%, 4%, 1%). Special senses; unpleasant taste (0%, 8%, 12%), Luronenital system; urinary tract infection (0%, 3%, 0%).

The cited figures, however, do provide the prescribing physician with some basis for estimating the relative contributions of drug and non-drug factors to the adverse event incidence rate in the population studied.

continuous or drug dan non-drug factors to the adverse event incidence rate in the population studied.

Other Events Observed During The Premarketing Evaluation OI LINESTA.

Following is a list of modified COSTART terms that reflect treatment-emergent adverse events as defined in the introduction to the ADVERSE REACTIONS section and reported by approximately 1560 subjects treated with LUNESTA at doses in the range of 1 to 3.5 mg/day during Phase 2 and 3 clinical trials throughout the United States and Canada. All reported events are included except those already listed here or listed elsewhere in labeling, millor events common in the general population, and events unlikely to be drug-related. Although the events reported occurred during treatment with LUNESTA, they were not necessarily caused by II.

Events are listed in order of decreasing frequency according to the following definions: frequent adverse events are those that occurred in fewer than 1710 patients unit related to the course of the

Frequent: chest pain, migraine, peripheral edema.

Frequent: chest pain, migraine, peripheral edema. Infrequent: cane agitation, allergic reaction, alopecia, amenorrhea, anemia, anorexia, apathy, archruts, ashma, atxia, breast engorgement, breast enlargement, breast neoplesm, breast pain, bronchitis, burstis, cellulifis, cholelifihasis, conjunctivitis, contact dermatitis, cystistis, vely eyes, dry skin, dysprae, dyspura, eczema, ear pain, emotional fability, epistaxis, face edema, fornalo lactation, fever, halfosis, foat stroke, henatura, hernia, hiccup, hostilly, hypercholesterenia, hypertenison, hypertonia, hypesthesia, incoordination, increased appetite, insornia, joint disorder (mainly swelling, stiffness, and pain), kidney calculus, kidney pain, karyngiths, ieg cramps, lymphadenopathy, malaise, mastitis, melena, memory impairment, menorrhagia, mouth ulceration, myasthenia, neck nigidity, neurosis, nystagmus, offitis extorna, otitis media, paresthesia, photosensitrivity, reflexes decreased, skin discoloration, sweating, thinking ahormari (mainly difficulty concentrating), thirst, tinnitus, twitching, ulcerative stomatitis, urinary frequency, urinary incontinence, uritarai, uterine hemorrhage, vaginal hemorrhage, vaginitis, vertigo, vestibuar disorder, weight gain, weight loss.

usuroer, weight gain, weight loss.

Rare: abnormal gait, arthrosis, colitis, dehydration, dysphagia, erythema multiforme, euphoria, furunculosis, gastritis, gout, hepatitis, hepatomegaly, herpes zoster, hirsutism, hyperacusis, hyperatises, hyperipennia, hypotalemia, hypotinesia, iritis, liver damage, maculopapular rash, mydriasis, myopathy, neuritis, neuropathy, oliguria, photophobia, ptosis, pyelonephritis, rectal hemorrhage, stomach ulcer, stomatitis, stupor, thormbophiebitis, tongue edema, tremor, urethritis, vesiculobullous rash.

vesiculobullous rash.

DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE
Controlled Substance Class: LUNESTA is a Schedule IV controlled substance under the Controlled Substances Act. Other substances under the same classification are benzodiazepines and the nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics zaleplon and zolpidem. While eszopiclone is a hypnotic agent with a chemical structure unrelated to benzodiazepines, it shares some of the pharmacologic properties of the benzodiazepines.

eszopiolone is a hypnotic agent with a chemical structure unrelated to benzodiazepines. Abuse, Dependence, and Tolerance

Abuse, Dependence, and Sterance

Abuse and Dependence, in a study of abuse liability conducted in individuals with known histories of benzodiazepine abuse, eszopiolone at doses of 6 and 12 mg produced euphoric effects similar to those of diazepam 20 mg. In this study, at doses 2-fold or greater than the maximum recommended doses, a dose-related increase in reports of amnesia and hallucinations was observed for both LUNESTA and diazepam. The clinical trial experience with LUNESTA revealed no evidence of a serious withdrawal syndrome. Nevertheless, the following adverse events included in DSM-11 criteria for uncomplicated scalarive/hypnotic withdrawal vere reported during clinical trials following placebo substitution occurring within 48 hours following the tase reported adverse events occurred at an incidence of 2% or less. Use of enzodiazepines and similar agents may lead to physical and psychological dependence. The risk of abuse and dependence increases with the dose and duration of treatment amount of the production of the

No development of tolerance to any parameter of sleep measurement was observed over six months. Tolerance to the efficacy of LUNESTS 3ng was assessed by 4-week objective and 6-week subjective measurements of time to sleep oriset and sleep maintenance for LUNESTS in a placebo-controlled 44-day study, and by subjective assessments of time to sleep onset and WASO in a placebo-controlled study for 6 months.

OVERDOSAGE
There is limited permarketing clinical experience with the effects of an overdosage of LINESTA. In clinical trials with eszopiclone, one case of overdose with up to 36 mg of eszopiclone was reported in which the subject fully recovered. Individuals have fully recovered from racemic zepiclone overdoses up to 34 mg (36 times the maximum recommended dose of eszopiclone). Signs And Symptoms: Signs and symptoms of overdose effects of CNS depressants can be expected to present as exaggerations of the pharmacological effects noted in preclinical testing. Impairment of consciousness ranging from somnotence to coma has been described. Rare individual instances of fatal outcomes following overdose with racemic zopiclone have been reported in European postmarketing reports, most often associated with overdose with other CNS-depressant agents.

often associated with overdose with other CNS-depressant agents. Recommended Treatment: General symptomatic and supportive measures should be used along with immediate gastric lavage where appropriate. Intravenous Illuids should be administered as needed, Flumazenil may be useful. As in all cases of drug overdose, respiration, pulse, blood pressure, and other appropriate signs should be monitored and general supportive measures employed. Hypotension and CNS depression should be monitored and treated by appropriate medical intervention. The value of tialysis in the treatment of overdosage has not been determined. Poison Control Center. As with the management of all overdosage, the possibility of multiple drug ingestion should be considered. The physician may wish to consider contacting a poison control center for up-to-date information on the management of hypnotic drug product overdosage.

SEPRACOR

© 2005 SEPRACOR INC., MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752