

# Cancer Follow-Up Could Shift to Primary Care

BY PATRICE WENDLING  
Chicago Bureau

CHICAGO — Primary care physicians are willing to assume a greater role in providing comprehensive care to adult cancer survivors, new data suggest.

Of 330 community-based primary care physicians surveyed in Canada, 40% said they would be willing to assume exclusive care of patients immediately or within 1 year after completion of active treatment for breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer. One-third of physicians in the cross-sectional survey said they would do so for lymphoma patients.

Physicians located farther from cancer specialists were willing to accept earlier exclusive care of breast-, prostate-, and colorectal-cancer survivors, but not lymphoma survivors. For all four cancer sites, physicians already providing care were

**Primary care physicians were confident in their abilities, with two-thirds reporting they have the skills necessary to provide routine follow-up care.**

significantly more likely to provide earlier exclusive care, according to results presented in a poster at the annual meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.

The majority of physicians (69%) worked in a group practice,

with 42% practicing in cities, 21% in suburbs, and 37% in rural areas or small towns. The average time to the closest cancer center was 58 minutes (median 30 minutes).

Follow-up care was defined as “well” routine cancer follow-up, and care after active treatment including surgery, chemotherapy, or radiation was complete and presumably curative.

Some Canadian oncology programs are starting to move toward discharging patients who are expected to do well or who are long-time survivors, lead investigator Dr. Lisa Del Giudice noted in an interview.

Shifting care back to primary care physicians would make more efficient use of specialist care resources. However, more information was needed about the attitudes of primary care physicians and their willingness to provide exclusive care. There are national and cancer organization guidelines regarding when to perform specific tests, but those guidelines don't address who should provide follow-up care, said Dr. Del Giudice of the University of Toronto and the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre.

Primary care physicians reported that the most useful tool in assuming patient care would be a standardized letter from oncologists that addresses the individual patient's needs. This was followed by printed guidelines, expedited re-referral to specialists, and telephone or mail advice from the specialist. More medical or support staff and pamphlets ranked at the bottom of the list.

Most respondents selected share care as their preferred model of routine care, and two-thirds of physicians reported they should be involved at an earlier stage in follow-up.

Primary care physicians were confident in their abilities, with two-thirds reporting they have the skills necessary to provide routine follow-up care. Just 37% agreed that specialists were more efficient at detecting occurrences than primary care physicians. More than half (55%) of re-

spondents reported that specialist clinics were overcrowded.

A majority (80%) of physicians felt they were more appropriate providers than specialists for addressing psychosocial support issues, Dr. Del Giudice and associates reported.

Although having primary care physicians provide follow-up cancer care could be cost effective, there are obstacles. Among respondents, 72% felt patients expect cancer follow-up from specialists,

and only 23% believed that patients would rather go to their primary care physician for that care. And 40% believed patients would not be adequately assured with follow-up from their primary care physician.

A randomized trial is planned to evaluate patient acceptance, and a second trial will examine administrative data to determine current practices and trends in follow-up cancer care in Canada, Dr. Del Giudice said. ■



**Discover Levemir®:**  
a long-acting basal insulin  
with a light touch

Levemir: for your patients who need a safe and effective way to improve A1C control

With proven reductions in A1C and FPG levels over time, Levemir can help your patients get to goal with up to 24 hours of glycemic control. Patients with diabetes can experience a consistent blood glucose response from injection to injection. Less weight gain was observed with Levemir in 12 of 12 clinical trials.\* And Levemir is available in the Levemir® FlexPen®. FlexPen® is the world's #1 selling prefilled insulin pen.† So start your patients with diabetes on Levemir, and help them experience the light side of basal insulin.

Levemir is indicated for once- or twice-daily subcutaneous administration for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus or adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who require basal (long-acting) insulin for the control of hyperglycemia.

**Important safety information**  
Levemir should not be diluted or mixed with any other insulin preparations.

Levemir is contraindicated in patients hypersensitive to insulin detemir or one of its excipients.

Hypoglycemia is the most common adverse effect of all insulin therapies, including Levemir. As with other insulins, the timing of hypoglycemic events may differ among various insulin preparations. Glucose monitoring is recommended for all patients with diabetes. Any change of insulin dose should be made cautiously

and only under medical supervision. Concomitant oral antidiabetes treatment may require adjustment.

Levemir is not to be used in insulin infusion pumps. Inadequate dosing or discontinuation of treatment may lead to hyperglycemia and, in patients with type 1 diabetes, diabetic ketoacidosis. Insulin may cause sodium retention and edema, particularly if previously poor metabolic control is improved by intensified insulin therapy. Dose and timing of administration may need to be adjusted to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia in patients being switched to Levemir from other intermediate or long-acting insulin preparations. The dose of Levemir may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic impairment.

Other adverse events commonly associated with insulin therapy may include injection site reactions (on average, 3% to 4% of patients in clinical trials) such as lipodystrophy, redness, pain, itching, hives, swelling, and inflammation.

\*Whether these observed differences represent true differences in the effects of Levemir and NPH insulin is not known, since these trials were not blinded and the protocols (eg, diet and exercise instructions and monitoring) were not specifically directed at exploring hypotheses related to weight effects of the treatments compared. The clinical significance of the observed differences in weight has not been established.

Reference: 1. IMS Health, IMS MIDAS [12 months ending September 2005]. Please see brief summary of Prescribing Information on adjacent page. FlexPen and Levemir are registered trademarks of Novo Nordisk A/S. © 2006 Novo Nordisk Inc. 131007 September 2006

Levemir®  
insulin detemir (rDNA origin) injection  
Lighter years ahead