

Effort Takes Aim at Gender Gap in Post-MI Mortality

BY BRUCE JANCIN

Denver Bureau

ORLANDO — Implementation of an acute MI guideline program in 33 Michigan hospitals resulted in significantly reduced 1-year mortality in both men and women, although the improvement was notably smaller among women, Kim A. Eagle, M.D., said at the annual meeting of the American College of Cardiology.

The gender gap in improved survival appeared to stem chiefly from the less frequent utilization of the ACC's Guidelines Applied in Practice (GAP) program's most effective tool—the discharge contract—in female MI patients, added Dr. Eagle, clinical director of the cardiovascular center at University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

The GAP program is designed to increase rates of evidence-based, guidelinerecommended treatments by creating clinical care tools and systems of care that promote their routine use. Among these tools are standard orders; critical pathways; chart stickers and other reminders; pocket guidelines; patient education handouts; the patient discharge contract; and provider performance feedback.

Dr. Eagle reported on 2,857 acute MI Medicare patients at 33 Michigan hospitals. Roughly half of the study patients were hospitalized in the first 4 months following GAP implementation at each participating hospital. The other half comprised a randomly drawn sample of MI patients in the year prior to GAP implementation.

Utilization rates of the high-priority early and late therapies increased following introduction of GAP, as did short- and longterm survival. But the improvements in survival were larger in men. (See graph.)

Of note, the GAP intervention that had the biggest influence on 30-day and 1-year mortality in a multivariate analysis was the use of the discharge contract, which was utilized in 34% of men but in only 28% of women. Use of the discharge tool was independently associated with a 54% relative risk reduction in 1-year mortality in women and a 38% reduction in men.

The discharge contract provides an opportunity for the patient and caregivers to go over the key evidence-based medications the patient ought to be on at discharge, barring contraindications—namely, aspirin, a β-blocker, ACE inhibitor, and lipid-lowering agent—as well as lifestyle goals and the follow-up plan.

The most likely explanation for the reduced utilization of discharge contracts among women is that something about their greater mean age—they averaged about 6 years older than men with MI made it more difficult to implement the contract. This issue requires further study, according to Dr. Eagle.

The GAP demonstration project was funded chiefly by a grant from Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan.

John S. Rumsfeld, M.D., who chaired a session on quality improvement at the ACC meeting, said the impressive Michigan outcomes should provide the impetus for GAP or similar programs to be implemented nationwide.

Everybody believes in guidelines, but we know they have not been applied in practice. It's more difficult than it sounds," said Dr. Rumsfeld, of the division of cardiology at the University of Colorado, Denver. "We're all busy clinicians. We can't just be told to do more. We have to find ways to change systems of care to improve quality."

Lunesta

BRIEF SUMMARY

INDICATIONS AND USAGE
LUNESTA is indicated for the treatment of insomnia. In controlled outpatient and sleep laboratory studies. LUNESTA administered at bedtime decreased sleep latency and improved sleep maintenance.

WARNINGS
Because sleep disturbances may be the presenting manifestation of a physical and/or psychiatric disorder, symptomatic treatment of insomnia should be initiated only after a careful evaluation of the patient. The failure of insomnia to remit after 7 to 10 days of treatment may indicate the presence of a primary psychiatric and/or medical litness that should be evaluated. Worsening of insomnia or the emergence of new thinking or behavior abnormalities may be the consequence of an unrecognized psychiatric or physical disorder. Such findings have emerged during the course of treatment with sedative/hypontic drugs, including LUNESTA. Because some of the important adverse effects of LUNESTA appear to be dose-related, it is important to use the lowest possible effective dose, sepecially in the clearly (see DosAGE AND ADMINIS-TRATION in the Full Prescribing Information).

TRATION in the Full Prescribing Information).

A variety of abnormal thinking and behavior changes have been reported to occur in association with the use of sedative/hypnotics. Some of these changes may be characterized by decreased inhibition (e.g., aggressiveness and extroversion that seem out of charactery, similar to fetces produced by alcohol and other CNS depressamts. Other reported behavioral changes have included bizarre behavior, agritation, hallucinations, and depersonalization. Amnesia and other neuropsychiatric symptoms may occur unpredictably. In primarily depressed patients, worsening of depression, including suicidal thinking, has been reported in association with the use of sedativerhypnotics.

It can rarely be determined with certainty whether a particular instance of the abnormal behaviors listed above are drug-induced, spontaneous in origin, or a result of an underlying psychiatric or physical disorder. Nonetheless, the emergence of any new behavioral sign or symptom of concern requires careful and immediate evaluation.

unuenymp psychiatric or physical disorder. Nonetheless, the emergence of any new behavioral sign or symptom of concern requires careful and immediate evaluation. Following rapid dose decrease or abruft discontinuation of the use of sedative/hyp-notics, there have been reports of signs and symptoms similar to those associated with withdrawal from other CNS-depressant drugs (see DRUG ABUSE AND DEPPENDENCE). LUNESTA, like other hypnotics, has CNS-depressant effects. Because of the rapid onset of action, LUNESTA should not be ingested immediately prior to going to be or after the patient has gone to bed and has experienced difficulty falling asleep. Patients receiving LUNESTA should be cautioned against engaging in hazardous occupations requiring complete mental alemenses or motor coordination (e.g., operating machinery or driving a motor vehicle) after ingesting the drug, and be cautioned about potential impairment of the performance of such activities on the day following ingestion of LUNESTA. LUNESTA, like other hypnotics, may produce additive CNS-depressant effects when coadministered with other psychotropic medications, anticonvulsants, antihistamines, channel, and other drugs that themselves produce CNS depression. LUNESTA should not be taken with alcohol. Dose adjustment may be necessary when LUNESTA is a diministered with other CNS-depressant agents, because of the potentially additive effects.

PRECAUTIONS
General
Timing Ol Drua Administration: LIMESTA ebould be have to the contractions.

Timing 01 Drug Administration: LUNESTA should be taken immediately before bedtime Taking a sedative/hypnotic while still up and about may result in short-term memory impairment, hallucinations, impaired coordination, dizziness, and lightheadedness.

impairment, hallucinations, impaired coordination, dizziness, and lightheadedness. Use In The Elderly And/Or Debilitated Patients: Impaired motor and/or cognitive performance after repeated exposure or unusual sensitivity to sedative/hypnotic drugs is a concern in the treatment of elderly and/or debilitated patients. The recom-mended starting dose of LUNESTA for these patients is 1 mg (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION in the Full Prescribing Information). Use In Patients With Concomitant Illess: Clinical experience with eszopiclone in patients with concomitant illness is limited. Eszopiclone should be used with caution in patients with diseases or conditions that could affect metabolism or hemodynamic responses.

responses.

A study in healthy volunteers did not reveal respiratory-depressant effects at doses 2.5-fold higher (7 mg) than the recommended dose of eszopicione. Caution is advised, however, if LUNESTA is prescribed to patients with compromised respiratory function. The dose of LUNESTA should be reduced to 1 mg in patients with severe hepatic impairment, because systemic exposure is doubled in such subjects. No dose adjustment appears necessary for subjects with mild or moderate hepatic impairment. No dose adjustment appears necessary for subjects with any degree of renal impairment. No tose adjustment appears necessary in subjects with any degree of renal impairment, since less than 10% of eszopicione is excreted unchanged in the urine.

since less than 10% of escopiolone is secreted unchanged in the urine. The dose of LUNESTA should be reduced in patients who are administered potent inhibitors of CYP2A4, such as ketoconazole, while taking LUNESTA. Downward dose adjustment is also recommended when LUNESTA is administered with agents having known CNS-depressant effects.

Use In Patients With Depression: Seditivity protect depression. Suicidal tendencies may be present in such patients, and protective measures may be required. Intentional overdose is more common in this group of patients: therefore, the esta amount of drug that is feasible should be prescribed for the patient at any one time. Information For Patients: Patient information is printed in the complete prescribing information.

Laboratory Tests: There are no specific laboratory tests recommended.

Ethanol: An additive effect on psychomotor performance was seen with coadministra-tion of eszopiclone and ethanol 0.70 g/kg for up to 4 hours after ethanol administration. Paroxetine: Coadministration of single dosses of eszopiclone 3 mg and paroxetine 20 mg daily for 7 days produced no pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic interaction.

2 mg did not have clinically relevant effects on the pharmacodynamics or pharmacokinetics of etither drug.

**Olanzapine: Coadministration of eszopiclone 3 mg and olanzapine 10 mg produced a
decrease in DSST scores. The interaction was pharmacodynamic; there was no alteration in the pharmacokinetics of either drug.

**Drugs That Inhibit CYP3A4 (Retoconazole): CYP3A4 is a major metabolic pathway for
elimination of eszopiclone. The AUC of eszopiclone was increased 2.2-fold by coadministration of ketoconazole, a potent inhibitor of CYP3A4, 400, mg daily for 5 days.

**Crean du Ly were increased 1.4-fold and 1.3-fold, respectively. One fisting inhibitors

of CYP3A4 (e.g., traconazole, clarithromycin, nefazodone, trolleandomycin, ritonavir,
nelineavir) would be expected to be behave similar effect would be expected to be behave similar effect would be expected with eszopiclone.

**Drugs Highly Bound To Plasma Protein: Eszopiclone is not highly bound to plasma
proteins: (52-59% bound); therefore, the disposition of eszopiclone is not expected

to be esnistive to alterations in protein binding. Administration of eszopiclone 3 mg

to a patient taking another drug that is highly protein-bound would not expected

to cause an afteration in the free concentration of either drug.

Drugs Wilth Alarom Therapeapottic Index

**Dipoxin: A single dose of eszopiclone 3 mg did not affect the pharmacokinetics of descriptions of the pharmacokinetics of the protein the pharmacokinetics of the pharmacokinetics of the pharmacokinetics of the part of the pharmacokinetics of the pharmacokinetics of the pharmacokinetics of the part of the pharmacokinetics of the part of the pharmacokinetics of the pharmacokinetics of the pharmacokinetics of the part of the part of the pharmacokinetics of the part of the part of the pharmacokinetics of the part of the part of the part of the pharmacokinetics of the part of the part of the pa

Drugs With A Narrow Therapeutic Index Dipports. A single dose of escopicione 3 mg did not affect the pharmacokinetics of digoxin measured at steady state following dosing of 0.5 mg twice daily for one day and 0.25 mg daily for the next 6 days.

Wardarin: Escopicione 3 mg administered daily for 5 days did not affect the pharmacokinetics of (R)- or (S)-warfarin, nor were there any changes in the pharmacodynamic profile (prothrombin time) following a single 25-mg oral dose of warfarin.

Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility
Carcinogenesis: In a carcinogenicity study in Sprague-Dawley rats in which escopicione was given by oral gavage, no increases in tumors were seen; plasma levels (AUC) of escopicione at the highest dose used in this study (16 mg/kg/day) are estimated to be 80 (lemates) and 20 (males) times those in humans receiving the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD). However, in a carcinogenicity study in

Sprague-Dawley rats in which racemic zopicione was given in the diet, and in which plasma levels of escopicione were reached that were greater than those reached in the above study of escopicione, an increase in mammary pland adenocarcinomas in females and an increase in thyroid gland follicular cell adenomas and carcinomas in females were seen at the highest dose of 100 mg/kg/day. Plasma levels of escopicione at this dose are estimated to be 150 (females) and 70 (males) times those in humans receiving the MRHD. The mechanism for the increase in mammary adenocarcinomas is unknown. The increase in thyroid tumors is thought to be due to increased levels of TSH secondary to increased metabolism of circulating thyroid hormones, a mechanism that is not considered to be relevant to humans.

In a carcinogenicity study in BECSF1 mice in which racemic zopicione was given in the diet, an increase in planmary carcinomas and carcinomas plus adenomas in females and an increase in skin fibromas and sarcomas in males were seen at the highest dose of 100 mg/kg/day. Plasma levels of escopicione at this dose are estimated to be 8 (females) and 20 (males) times those in humans receiving the MRHD. The skin tumors were due to skin isosins induced by aggressive behavior, a mechanism that is not relevant to humans. A carcinogenicity study was also performed in which CD-1 mice were given escopicione at doses up to 100 mg/kg/day by oral gavages though this study did not reach a maximum tolerated dose, and was thus inadequate for overall assessment of carcinogenic potential, no increases in either pulmonary or skin tumors were seen at doses producing plasma levels of escopicione ad did not increase tumors in a p53 transgenic mouse bioassay at oral desease unto 200 mg/kg/day.

ropicione did not increase tumors in a p53 transgenic mouse bioassay at oral ses up to 300 mg/kg/day.

doses up to 300 mg/kg/day.

Mutagenesis: Escopicione was positive in the mouse lymphoma chromosomal aberration assay and produced an equivocal response in the Chinese hamster ovary cell chromosomal aberration assay. It was not mutagenic or clastogenic in the bacterial Arnes gene mutation assay, in an unscheduled DNA synthesis assay, or in an invivo mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay.

(S)-N-desmethyl zopicione, a metabolite of escopicione, was positive in the Chinese hamster ovary cell and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration assays. It was negative in the bacterial Arnes mutation assay, in an in vitro xP-positabeling DNA adduct assay, and in an in vivo mouse bone marrow chromosomal aberration and micronucleus assay.

micronucleus assay.

Impairment Of Fertifity: Eszopicione was given by oral gavage to male rats at doses up to 45 mgk/gday from 4 weeks premating through mating and to female rats at doses up to 180 mg/kg/day from 2 weeks premating through day 7 of pregnancy. An additional study was performed in which only females were treated, up to 180 mg/kg/day. Eszopicione decreased fertility, probably because of effects in both males and females, with no females becoming pregnant when both males and females were treated with the highest dose; the no-effect dose in both sexes was 5 mg/kg (16 timas the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Other effects included increased preimplantation loss (no-effect dose 25 mg/kg), and decreases in sperm number and molitily and increases in morphologically abnormal sperm (no-effect dose 5 mg/kg).

phologically abnormal sperm (no-effect dose 5 mg/kg).
Pregnancy Pregnancy Pregnancy Pregnancy Pregnancy Category C: Eszopicione administered by oral gavage to pregnant rats and rabbits during the period of organogenesis showed no evidence of teatrogenicity up to the highest looses tested (250 and 16 mg/kg/dg in rats and rabbits, respectively; these doses are 800 and 100 times, respectively, the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) on a mg/m² basis). In the rat, slight reductions in fetal begin and evidence of developmental delay were seen at maternally toxic doses of 125 and 100 mg/kg/dg, but not at 62.5 mg/kg/dgy (200 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Eszopicione was also administered by oral gavage to pregnant rats throughout the pregnancy and lactation periods at doses of up to 180 mg/kg/dgy, Increased post-implantation loss, decreased postnatal pup weights and survival, and increased postimises the MRHD on a mg/m² basis. These doses did not produce significant maternal toxicity. Eszopicione had no effects on other behavioral measures or reproductive function in the offsprince.

function in the offspring.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of eszopictone in pregnant women.

Eszopictone should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Labor And Delvery: LUNESTA has no established use in labor and delivery.

Nursing Mothers: It is not known whether LUNESTA is excreted in human milk Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when LUNESTA is administered to a nursing woman.

Pediatric Use: Safety and effectiveness of eszopiclone in children below the age of 18 have not been established.

Trave not been established.

Gentaritic Use, A Itotal of 287 subjects in double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled clinical trials who received eszopicione were 65 to 86 years of age. The overall pattern of adverse events for elderly subjects (median age = 71 years) in 2-week studies with nighttime dosing of 2 mg eszopicione was not different from that seen in younger adults. LUNESTA2 mg exhibited significant reduction in sleep latency and improvement in sleep martenance in the elderly population.

improvement in sleep maintenance in the elderly population.

ADVERSE REACTIONS.

The premarketing development program for LUNESTA included eszopicione exposures in patients and/or normal subjects from two different groups of studies; approximately 400 normal subjects in clinical pharmacology/pharmacoliventic studies, and approximately 1550 patients in placebo-controlled clinical effectiveness studies, corresponding to approximately 263 patient-exposure years. The conditions and duration of treatment with LUNESTA varied greatly and included (in overlapping categories) open-label and double-blind phases of studies, inpatients and outpatients, and short-term and longer-term exposure. Adverse reactions were assessed by collecting adverse events, results of physical examinations, vital signs, weights, laboratory analyses, and ECGs.

sassessed by cellecting adverse events, results of physical examinations, vital signs, weights, laboratory analyses, and ECos, and Adverse events during exposure were obtained primarily by general inquiry and recorded by clinical investigators using terminology of their own choosing. Consequently, it is not possible to provide a meaningful estimate of the proportion of individuals experiencing adverse events without first grouping similar types of events into a smaller number of standardized event categories. In the tabulations that follow, COSTART terminology has been used to classify reported adverse events that follow, COSTART terminology has been used to classify reported adverse event for the type listed. An event was considered treatment-emergent if it occurred for the first time or worsened while the patient was receiving therapy following baseline evaluation.

Adverse Findings Observed in Placebo-Controlled Trials

Adverse Events Resutting in Discontinuation of Treatment. In placebo-controlled, parallel-group clinical trials in the elderly, 3.8% of 208 patients who received placebo, 2.3% of 215 patients who received placebo, 2.3% of 215 patients who received and adverse event and utuals. In opatients in the 3 mg arm discontinued because of an adverse event. In the long-term 6-month study in adult insomnia patients, 7.2% of 195 patients who received posebo and 12.8% of 593 patients who received patients. The follow-centred at a received at an incidence of ≥2% in Controlled Trials.

Adverse Events Observed at an Incidence of ≥2% in Controlled Trials. The follow-press of the property of the patients and present the property of the patients and patients. The follow-press of the pression of the pressure of th

resulted in discontinuation occurred at a rate of greater than 2%.

Adverse Events Observed at an Incidence of 22% in Controlled Trials. The following lists the incidence (%) placebo, 2 mg, 3 mg, respectively) of treatment-emergent adverse events from a Phase 3 placebo-controlled study of LUNESTA at doses of 2 of 3 mg in non-eldedry adults. Treatment duration in this trial was 44 days. Data are limited to adverse events that occurred in 2% or more of patients treated with LUNESTA 2 mg (n=104) or 3 mg (n=104) or 3 mg in on-state patients (n=99).

Body as a whole; headache (13%, 21%, 17%), viral infection (16%, 3%, 3%), objective system; dry morth (3%, 5%, 7%), dspepsia (4%, 4%, 5%), naisea (4%, 5%, 4%), vomiting (1%, 3%, 0%). Reprovise system; anxiety (0%, 3%, 15%, 16%), depression (0%, 4%, 4%), dizziness (4%, 5%, 7%), hallscinations (0%, 1%, 3%), depression (0%, 4%, 4%), increased (3%, 5%, 7%), hallscinations (0%, 1%, 4%), 6%). Respiratory system; infection (3%, 5%, 10%), Skin and appendagus; rash (1%, 3%, 4%). Special senses; unpleasant taste (3%, 17%, 34%). Limaential system; of the missing dysmenorrhea (0%, 3%, 0%), grecomastia (0%, 3%, 3%). Gender-specific adverse event in females

'Events for which the LUNESTA incidence was equal to or less than placebo are not listed, but included the following: abnormal dreams, accidental injury, back pain, diarrhea, flu syndrome, myalgia, pain, pharyngitis, and rhinitis. Advarse events that suggest a dose-response relationship in adults include viral infection, dry mouth, dizzness, hallucinations, infection, rash, and unpleasant taste, with this relationship clearest for unpleasant taste. With this relationship clearest for unpleasant taste, with this relationship clearest for moleoned Phase 3 placebo-controlled studies of LUNESTA at doses of 1 or 2 mg in elderly adults (agues 65-68). Treatment duration in these trials was 14 days. Data are limited to events that occurred in 2% or more of patients treated with LUNESTA in mg (n=72) or 2 mg (n=215) in which the incidence in patients treated with LUNESTA was greater than the incidence in placebo-treated ordered.

patients!

Rolly as a whole; accidental injury (1%, 0%, 3%), headache (14%, 15%, 13%), pain (2%, 4%, 5%). Dinestive system diarrhea (2%, 4%, 2%) dry mouth (2%, 3%, 7%), dyspensia (2%, 6%, 2%), hervous system; abnormal dream (9%, 3%, 4%), drybusis (2%, 1%, 6%), nervousness (1%, 0%, 2%), neuralgia (0%, 3%, 0%), Skin and appendiages; pruritus; (1%, 4%, 1%), Special senses; unpleasant taste (0%, 8%, 12%), Iroqualit system; unrolly rate (1fection (0%, 3%, 0%)).

listed, but included the following: abdominal pain, asthenia, nausea, rash, and sommolence.

Adverse events that suggest a dose-response relationship in elderly adults include pain, dry mouth, and unpleasant taste, with this relationship again clearest for unpleasant taste. These figures cannot be used to predict the incidence of adverse events in the course of usual medical practice because patient characteristics and other factors may differ from those that prevailed in the clinical trials. Similarly, the cited frequencies cannot be compared with figures obtained from other clinical investigations involving different treatments, uses, and investigators.

The cited figures, however, do provide the prescribing physician with some basis for estimating the relative contributions of drug and non-drug factors to the adverse event incidence rate in the population studied.

Other Events Observed During The Premarketing Evaluation Of LUNESTA.

Following is a list of modified COSTART terms that reflect treatment-emergent adverse events as defined in the introduction to the ADVERSE REACTIONS section and reported by approximately 1550 subjects treated with LUNESTA at doses in the range of 1 to 3.5 mg/day during Phase 2 and 3 clinical trials throughout the United States and Canada. All reported events are included except those already instelled elsewhere in labeling, minor events common in the general population, and events unlikely to be drug-related. Although the events reported occurred during treatment with LUNESTA, they were not necessarily caused by it.

Events are listed in order of decreasing frequency according to the following definitions: frequent adverse events are those that occurred in fewer than 17.000 patients; rare adverse events are those that occurred in fewer than 17.000 patients, rare adverse events are those that occurred in fewer than 17.000 patients, rare adverse events are those that occurred in fewer than 17.000 patients, rare gender.

occurred in fewer than 17.000 patients. Gender-specific events are categorized based on their incidence for the appropriate gender.

Frequent: chest pain, migraine, peripheral edema.

Infrequent: acne, agitation, allergic reaction, alopecia, amenorana, anemia, anorexia, apathy, arbritis, asthma, atasia, breast engorgement, breast enlargement, breast neoplasm, breast pain, bronchitis, burstis, cellulitis, cholelithiasis, conjunctivitis, contact dermatitis, csytistis, or yees, or yesin, dyspene, dyspura, accerna, ear pain, emotional lability, epistaxis, face edema, fornal elactation, fever, halitosis, hoat stroke, hematuria, herria, licicup, hostility, hypercholesteremia, hypertension, hypertonia, hypesthesia, incoordination, increased appetite, insomnia, joint disorder (mainly swelling, stiffness, and pain), kidney calculus, kidney pain, lanyrigitis, leg cramps, lymphadenopathy, malaise, mastilis, melena, memory impairment, menorrhagolametrorrhagia, mouth ulceration, myasthenia, neck rigidity, neurosis, nystagmus, discoloration, sweating, birking abnormal (mainly difficulty occentrating), histis, timitus, bvitching, ulcerative stomatitis, urinary frequency, urinary incontinence, uricaria, uterine hemorrhage, vaginal hemorrhage, vaginitis, vertigo, veestibudar disorder, weight gain, weight lossis, dehydration, dysphagia, erythema multiforme, emphoria, furniculosis, gastristis, gout, hepatitis, hepatomegaly, herpes zoster, hirsutism, hyperacusis, hyperesthesia, hypertipemia, hypokalemia, hypokinesia, distribus, large application proposity, policy policy proposity, sectioular ash, mydrafias; myopathy, neuritis, neuropathy, oliquira, photophobia, ptosis, pyelonephritis, rectal hemorrhage, stomach ulcer, stomatitis, suport, hromobophebitis, longue edema, tremor, urethritis, vesiculobullous rash.

Sussiculobulous rash.

DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE
Controlled Substance Class: LUNESTA is a Schedule IV controlled substance under the Controlled Substances Act. Other substances under the substances and the nonbearcodiazepine hypotolics zalephon and zolpidem. While eszopidone is a hypnotic agent with a chemical structure unrelated to benzodiazepines, it shares some of the pharmacologic properties of the benzodiazepines.

eszopiclone is a hypnotic agent with a chemical structure unrelated to benzodiazepines, is shares some of the pharmacologic properties of the benzodiazepines.

Abusa. Dependence, and Tolerance

Abusa. Dependence: In a study of abuse liability conducted in individuals with known histories of benzodiazepine abuse, eszopiclone at doses of 6 and 12 mg produced euphoric effects similar to those of diazepam 20 mg. In this study, at doses 2-fold or greater than the maximum recommended doses, a dose-related increase in reports of amnesia and hallucinations was observed for both LUNESTA and diazepam. The clinical trial experience with LUNESTA revealed no evidence of a serious withdrawal syndrome. Nevertheless, the following advarse events included in DSM-IV criteria for uncomplicated scatchievelynoprior windrawal were reported during clinical trials following placebo substitution occurring within 48 hours following the last LUNESTA treatment: anxiety, abnormal direams, nausea, and upset stormach. These reported advarse events occurred at an incidence of 2% or less. Use of benzodiazepines and similar agents may lead to physical and psychological dependence. The risk of abuse and dependence increases with the dose and duration of treatment and concomitant use of other psychoactive drugs. The risk is also greater for patients who have a history of alcohol or ding abuse or history of psychiatric disorders. These patients should be under careful surveillance when receiving LUNESTA or any other hypnotic.

Tolerance: Some loss of efficacy to the hypnotic effect of benzodiazepines and benzo diazepine-like agents may develop after repeated use of these drugs for a few weeks ouzepine-like agents may develop after repeated use of inese drugs for a few weeks. No development of folerance to any parameter of sleep measurement was observed over six months. Tolerance to the efficacy of LUNESTA3 mg was assessed by 4-week objective and 6-week subjective measurements of time to sleep onset and sleep main-tenance for LUNESTA in a placebo-controlled 44-day study, and by subjective assess-ments of time to sleep onset and WASO in a placebo-controlled study for 6 months.

OVERDOSAGE
There is limited premarketing clinical experience with the effects of an overdosage of LUNESTA. In clinical trials with eszopiclone, one case of overdose with up to 36 mg of eszopiclone was reported in which the subject fully recovered. Individuals have fully recovered from reacemic opicione overdoses up to 340 mg (56 times the maximum recommended dose of eszopiclone).

Invalverieur from Tacermic Zopicione overdoses up to 340 mg (56 times the maximum recommended dose of eszopicione).

Signs And Symptoms: Signs and symptoms of overdose effects of CNS depressants can be expected to present as oxaggerations of the pharmacological effects noted in preclinical testing, Impairment of consciousness ranging from somnolence to coma has been described. Rare individual instances of fatal outcomes following overdose with racernic expolence have been reported in European postamarketing reports, most often associated with overdose with other CNS-depressant agents.

Recommended Teathment: General symptomatic and supportive measures should be used along with immediate gastric lavage where appropriate. Interveous fluids should be administered as needed. Flumazeni Imay be useful. As in all cases of drug overdose, respiration, pulse, blood pressure, and other appropriate signs should be monitored and general supportive measures employed. Hypotension and ONS depression should be monitored and treated by appropriate medical intervention. The value of dialysis in the treatment of overdosage has not been determined.

Poison Control Center: As with the management of all overdosage, the possibility of multiple drugi ingestion should be considered. The physician may wish to consider contacting a poison control center for up-to-date information on the management of hypnotic drug product overdosage.

SEPRACOR

© 2005 SEPRACOR INC., MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752