FDA Delays Changes to Concerta Label Until 2006

BY DEBORAH LEVENSON

Contributing Writer

ROCKVILLE, MD. — A Food and Drug Administration panel has decided to postpone changes to safety warnings in the label for Concerta, a stimulant widely prescribed for attention-deficit hyperactivity

The FDA's Pediatric Advisory Committee recommended that agency officials delay any possible changes until data on adverse events associated with methylphenidates (including Ritalin, Methylin, and Metadate) have been analyzed. Some committee members said they were unsure whether certain events were caused by the drugs or by underlying conditions. That review probably won't be complete until early 2006, according to Anne Trontell, M.D., deputy director of the FDA's Office of Drug Safety.

The FDA's legally required 1-year postexclusivity data review for Concerta examined reports of adverse psychiatric and cardiovascular events, including hallucinations, suicidal ideation, psychotic behavior, hypertension, and arrhythmias.

Although FDA officials and members of the Pediatric Advisory Committee agreed that these reports don't give new or unusual information, most also noted that existing warnings about possible adverse events could be better presented on the Concerta label, which now includes information in seven different places.

The FDA wants to update safety information on the labels on Concerta and other methylphenidates because prescribing practices have changed drastically since the first such drug (Ritalin) entered the market in 1955, said Paul J. Andreason, M.D., of the FDA's division of neuropharmacological drug products.

Calling methylphenidates "remarkably effective," Richard Gorman, M.D., representative for the American Academy of Pediatrics, noted the public perception that methylphenidates are dangerous.

"Nothing I've heard today about these medications makes me particularly concerned," said pediatric epidemiologist Thomas B. Newman, M.D., of the Uni-

Existing warnings about possible adverse events could be better presented on the stimulant's label, which now includes information in seven places.

versity of California, Francisco.

Most committee members also urged FDA officials to let physicians and the public know why the FDA is postponing changes to safety information on the Concerta label and that the

is continuing FDA monitor methylphenidate problems.

Michael E. Fant, M.D., a neonatologist and biochemist at the University of Texas, Houston, also suggested studying the drug's effects on younger children.

Dianne Murphy, M.D., director of the FDA's Office of Pediatric Therapeutics, added that exclusivity trials showed differences in metabolism and clearance among 3- to 8-year-olds, and added that exclusivity studies did not involve younger children.

FDA committee members also considered information from a small study of Ritalin that suggests it is associated with chromosome aberrations in peripheral blood lymphocytes, an independent risk factor for cancer.

That study by Randa A. El-Zein, M.D., and colleagues, published earlier this year in Cancer Letters, was the topic of an onsite evaluation by officials at the FDA and other federal agencies. They determined the study had methodology flaws, including a lack of placebo controls and unusual data presentation, said David Jacobson-Kram, Ph.D., of the FDA's Office of New

He added that researchers don't know how the drug can damage DNA.

The Texas researchers are seeking funding to perform a larger study, while the FDA, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, and Duke University, Durham, N.C., are collaborating to replicate the study by Dr. El-Zein and colleagues, according to Dr. Jacobson-Kram.

"If these data are reproducible, it would be very concerning," he said, adding that studies similar to Dr. El-Zein's won't yield results for at least a year.

KEPPRA® (levetiracetam)
250 mg, 500 mg and 750 mg tablets and 100 mg/mL oral solution
Brief summary (for full prescribing information, consult package insert)

INDICATIONS AND USAGE: Keppra® (levetiracetam) is indicated as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial onset seizures in adults and children 4 years of age and older with epilepsy.

CONTRAINDICATIONS: This product should not be administered to patients who have previously exhibited hypersensitivity to levetriacetam or any of the inactive ingredients in Keppra® tablets or oral solution.

seizures in adults and children 4 years of age and older with epilepsy.

CONTRAINDICATIONS: This product should not be administered to patients who have previously exhibited hypersensitivity to levetiracetam or any of the inactive ingredients in Keppra* tablets or oral solution.

WARNINGS: Neuropsychiatric Adverse Events: Adults In adults, Keppra* use is associated with the occurrence of central nervous system adverse events that can be classified into the following categories: 1) sommolence and fatigue, 2) coordination difficulties, and 3) behavioral abnormalities. In controlled trials of adult patients with epilepsy, 14.8% of Keppra*-treated patients reported sommolence, compared to 8.4% of placebo patients. There was no card dose response up to 3000 mg/day. In a study where there was no titration, about 45% of patients reveiving 4000 mg/day reported sommolence. The sommolence considered serious in 0.3% of the treated patients, compared to 0.7% of placebo patients. In 1.4% of treated patients and in 0.9% of placebo patients the dose was reduced, while 0.3% of the treated patients are hospitalized due to sommolence. In controlled trials of adult patients with epilepsy, 1.47% of treated patients reported asthenia, compared to 9.1% of placebo patients. Treatment was discontinued in 0.8% of treated patients are ported asthenia, compared to 9.5% of placebo patients. Treatment was discontinued in 0.8% of treated patients are compared to 0.5% of placebo patients. In 0.5% of treated patients are ported asthenia, compared to 9.6% of placebo patients. A total of 0.4% of patients in controlled trials discontinued Keppra* treatent due to ataxa, compared to 10% of placebo patients. A total of 0.4% of patients in controlled trials discontinued Keppra* treated patients are consumed to 1.02% of placebo patients. A total of 0.4% of patients were hospitalized due to worsening of pre-existing ataxia. Somnolence, asthenia and coordination difficulties, occurred most frequently within the first 4 weeks of treatment. In controlled

PREGAUTIONS: Hematologic Ahomomalities: Adults Minor, but statistically significant, decreases compared to placebo in total mean RBC count (0.03 x 10 /mm²), mean hemoglobin (0.09 g/d1), and mean hematocrit (0.38%), were seen in Keppra*-treated patients in controlled trials. A total of 3.2% of treated and 1.8% of placebo patients had at least one possibly significant (≤1.0 x 10 1/L) decreased WBC, and 2.4% of treated and 1.4% of placebo patients had at least one possibly significant (≤1.0 x 10 1/L) decreased neutrophil count. The the treated patients with a low neutrophil count, all but one rose towards or to baseline with continued treatment. No patient was discontinued secondary to low neutrophil count, all but one rose towards or to baseline with continued treatment. No patient was discontinued secondary to low neutrophil count, all but one rose towards or to baseline with continued treatment. No patient was discontinued secondary to low neutrophil count, all but one rose towards or to baseline with continued treatment in the Repora*-treated group were −0.4 x 10 /L neutrophil count. Patients (statistically significant), in the well-controlled trial, more Keppra*-treated patients had a possibly clinically significant abnormally low WBC value (3.0% Keppra*-treated versus 0%) patients, compared to a decrease of 4% in placebo patients (statistically significant) in the well-controlled trials in adult and pediatric patients; lesser LFT abnormalities were similar in drug and placebo-treated patients in controlled trials in adult and pediatric patients; lesser LFT abnormalities were similar in drug and placebo-treated patients in controlled trials in adult and pediatric patients; lesser LFT abnormalities were similar in drug and placebo-treated patients in controlled trials in adult and pediatric patients; lesser LFT abnormalities were similar in drug and placebo-treated patients in controlled trials in the six (4.0%) as prescribed. Placebos of the pla PRECAUTIONS: Hematologic Abnormalities: Adults Minor, but statistically significant, decreases compared to placebo in total mean RBC count (0.03 x 10*/mm²), mean hemoglobin (0.09 g/dL), and mean hematocrit (0.38%), were seen in Keppra®-treated patients in controlled trials. A total of 3.2% of treated and 1.8% of placebo patients had at least one possibly

clearance of ucb L.057 in the presence of probenecid decreased 60%, probably related to competitive inhibition of tubular secretion of ucb L.057. The effect of Keppra® on probenecid was not studied. **Pregnancy: Pregnancy Category C**: In animal studies, leveliracetam produced evidence of developmental toxicity at doses similar to or greater than human therapeutic doses. Administration to female rats throughout pregnancy and lactation was associated with increased incidences of minor fetal skeletal abnormalities and retarded offspring growth pre- and/or postnatally at doses. \$250 mg/kg/day (approximately equivalent to the maximum recommended human dose of 3000 mg [MRHD] on a mg/m² basis) and with increased pup mortality and offspring behavioral alterations at a dose of 1800 mg/kg/day (6 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). There developmental no effect dose was 70 mg/kg/day (0.2 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). There was no overt maternal toxicity at the doses used in this study. Treatment of pregnant rabbits during the period of organogenesis resulted in increased embryofetal mortality and increased incidences of minor fetal skeletal abnormalities at doses \$2600 mg/kg/day (approximately 4 times MRHD on a mg/m² basis) and in decreased fetal weights and increased incidences of fetal malformations at a dose of 1800 mg/kg/day (12 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). The developmental no effect dose was 200 mg/kg/day (12 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). The developmental no effect dose was 200 mg/kg/day (12 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). The developmental no effect dose was 200 mg/kg/day (12 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). The developmental or maternal effects at doses of up to 1800 mg/kg/day (6 times the MRHD) on a mg/m² basis). The developmental or maternal effects at doses of up to 1800 mg/kg/day (6 times the MRHD) on a mg/m² basis). The developmental or maternal effects at doses of up to 1800 mg/kg/day (6 times the MRHD) on a mg/m² basis). There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Kepp clearance of ucb L057 in the presence of probenecid decreased 60%, probably related to competitive inhibition of tubula kidney, and the risk of adverse reactions to this drug may be greater in patients with impaired renal function. Because elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function, care should be taken in dose selection, and it may be useful to monitor renal function. Use in Patients With Impaired Renal Function: Clearance of levetiracetam is decreased in patients with renal impairment and is correlated with creatinine clearance. Caution should be taken in dosing patients with moderate and severe renal impairment and in patients undergoing hemodialysis. The dosage should be reduced in patients to the control of the patients with moderate and severe renal impairment and in patients undergoing hemodialysis. The dosage should be reduced in

patients with impaired renal function receiving Keppra® and supplemental doses should be given to patients after dialysis (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, Adult Patients with Impaired Renal Function in package insert). olarysis (see CLINICAL PHAKMACUCUSY and DUSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, Adult Patients With Impaired Renal Function in package insert).

ADVERSE REACTIONS: In well-controlled clinical studies in adults, the most frequently reported adverse events associated with the use of Keppra* in combination with other AEDs, not seen at an equivalent frequency among placebo-treated patients, were somnolence, ascidental injury, hostility, nervoursess, and asthenia. Table 1 lists treatment-emergent adverse events that occurred in at least 1% of adult epilepsy patients treated with keppra* participating in placebo-controlled studies and were numerically more common than in patients treated with placebo. Table 2 lists treatment-emergent adverse events that occurred in at least 2% of pediatric epilepsy patients treated with placebo. Table 2 lists treatment-emergent adverse events that occurred in at least 2% of pediatric epilepsy patients (ages 4-16 years) treated with Keppra* participating in placebo-controlled study and were numerically more common than in pediatric patients treated with placebo. In these studies, either Keppra* or placebo was added to concurrent AED therapy. Adverse events were usually mild to moderate in intensity. The prescriber should be aware that these figures, obtained when Keppra* was added to concurrent AED therapy, cannot be used to predict the frequency of adverse experiences in the course of usual medical practice where patient characteristics and other factors may differ from those prevailing during clinical studies is cestimate the relative contribution of drug and non-drug factors to the adverse event incidences in the population studied. Table 1, Incidence (%) Of Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events in Placebo-Controlled, Add-On Studies, In one basis to estimate the relative contribution of drug and non-drug factors to the adverse event incidences in the population studied. <u>Jable 1:</u> Incidence (%) Of Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events In Placebo-Controlled, Add-On Studies, Add-Un Studies, Add-Un Studies, Add-Un Studies, Add-Un Studies, Add-Un Studies, Adults By Body System (Adverse Events Cocurred in At Least 1% Of Kepppra*-(na-439): Body System/Adverse Event. Body as a Whole: Asthenia (15% vs 9%); Headache (14% vs 13%); Infection (13% vs 8%); Pain (7% vs 6%). Digestive System: Anorexia (3% vs 2%). Nervous System: Amnesia (2% vs 1%); Anxiety (2% vs 1%); Ataxia (3% vs 19%) Depression (4% vs 2%); Dizziness (9% vs 4%); Emotional Lability (2% vs 1%); Anxiety (2% vs 1%); Nervousness (4% vs 2%); Pararphigits (6% vs 4%); Rhinitis (4% vs 3%); Sirusitis (2% vs 1%). Special Senses: Diplopia (2% vs 1%); Pharyngitis (6% vs 4%); Rhinitis (4% vs 3%); Sirusitis (2% vs 1%). Special Senses: Diplopia (2% vs 1%); Other events reported by 1% or more of adult patients treated with Keppra* but as or more frequent in the placebo group were the following: addominal pain, accidental injury, amblyogia, arthralgia, back pain, bronchits, chest pain, confusion, constipation, convulsion, diarrhea, drug level increased, dyspepsia, ecchymosis, fever, flu syndrome, fungal infection, gastroenteritis, gingivitis, grand mal convulsion, insomnia, nausea, ottis media, rash, thinking abnormal, tremor, urinast infection, vomiting and weight qain. Eable 2: Incidence (%) Of Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events In A Placebothe following: abdominal pain, accidental injury, amblyopia, arthralgia, back pain, bronchitis, chest pain, confusion, constipation, convulsion, diarrhea, drug level increased, dyspepsia, ecchymosis, fever, flu syndrome, fungal infection, gastroenteritis, gingivitis, grand mal convulsion, insomnia, nausea, ditis media, rash, thinking abnormal, tremor, urinary tract infection, vomiting and weight gain. Table 2: Incidence (%) Of Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events In A Placebo-Controlled, Add-On Study in Pediatric Patients Ages 4-16 Years By Body System (Adverse Events Docurred in At Least 2% Of Keppra*-Treated Patients And Occurred More Frequently Than Placebo-Treated Patients). Keppra* (N=101) vs Placebo (N=97): Body System/Adverse Event: Body as a Whole: Accidental Injury (17% vs 10%); Asthenia (9% vs 3%); Plas Syndromen (3% vs 2%); Face Edema (2% vs 15%). Neck Pain (2% vs 15%); Asthenia (9% vs 3%); Plas System: Vomiting (15% vs 13%); Anorexia (13% vs 8%); Diarrhea (8% vs 7%); Gastroenteritis (4% vs 2%); Constipation (3% vs 11%). Hemic and Lymphatic System: Ecctymosis (4% vs 11%). Metabolic and Nutritional: Dehydration (2% vs 15%). Nervous System: Somnolence (23% vs 11%); Hostility (12% vs 6%); Nervousness (10% vs 2%); Personality Disorder (8% vs 7%); Dizziness (7% vs 2%); Emotional Lability (6% vs 4%); Alpation (6% vs 1%); Depression (3% vs 13%); Alpation (6% vs 16%); Relexes Increased (2% vs 14%); Corrusional (2% vs 05%). Respiratory System: Rhinitis (13% vs 8%); Amblyopia (2% vs 05%); Ereforeased (2% vs 14%); Corrusional (2% vs 05%). Special Senses: Conjunctivitis (3% vs 2%); Amblyopia (2% vs 05%). Fersonality Disorder (8% vs 17%). Officion (2% vs 05%). Special Senses: Conjunctivitis (2% vs 05%). Amblyopia (2% vs 05%). Fersonality Disorder (4% vs 05%); Urina Ahnormality (2% vs 15%). Special Senses: Conjunctivitis (2% vs 05%). Special Senses: Conjunctivitis (2% vs 05%). Amblyopia (2% vs 05%). Fersonality Disorder (4% vs 05%); Urina Ahnormality (2% vs 15%). Special Senses: Conjunctivitis (2% vs 15%). Amblyopia

© 2005, UCB Pharma, Inc., Smyrna, GA 30080