

# Cardiac Rehab Measures Aim to Boost Referrals

BY ROBERT FINN  
San Francisco Bureau

Medical societies have jointly issued new performance measures for cardiac rehabilitation that are expected to increase the number of patients referred to rehab services. The measures also promote a safe exercise environment for those patients, but stop short of holding cardiac rehabilitation centers responsible for meeting treatment goals.

Published simultaneously in *Circulation* and the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, the performance measures were developed by the American College of Cardiology, the Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, and the American Heart Association.

"Research continues to show that cardiac rehabilitation services, although very effective and helpful for people with cardiac disease, are still being vastly underutilized," Dr. Randal J. Thomas said in an

interview. Dr. Thomas, director of the Cardiovascular Health Clinic at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minn., chaired the committee that wrote the new cardiac rehabilitation (CR) performance measures.

Despite the fact that CR after cardiac illness has been shown to reduce a patient's mortality risk by 20%-25%, and also to improve physical strength and endurance by 20%-50%, less than 30% of eligible patients participate. There are many reasons for this, but foremost among the cor-

rectable causes is that many patients are simply never referred to CR.

Dr. Thomas' committee developed two sets of performance measures. One set is intended to improve the referral of eligible patients to CR, and the other is aimed at improving the services offered by CR programs.

In the first set of measures, the committee specified that all hospitalized patients with eligible conditions should be referred to outpatient CR prior to discharge. In addition, outpatients with a qualifying diagnosis during the prior year should also be referred to CR if they have not yet participated.

The qualifying diagnoses are myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, percutaneous coronary artery intervention, cardiac valve surgery, cardiac transplantation, and chronic stable angina. Patients with chronic heart failure and peripheral arterial disease should be considered for CR.

In the second set of measures, the committee specified that all CR programs have a physician medical director, a well-trained emergency response team, and equipment and supplies for emergency resuscitation in the exercise area. All patients should receive individualized assessment of and education about their modifiable cardiovascular risk factors.

The committee chose not to hold CR programs responsible for attainment of treatment goals. Dr. Thomas said that while some committee members suggested that CR programs should demonstrate that their patients are achieving LDL-cholesterol levels below 100 mg/dL or 70 mg/dL (for example), ultimately the committee conceded that this was not entirely under the programs' control. Some CR programs do take charge of their patients' prescriptions, but more commonly it's the patients' personal physicians who choose their regimens.

Dr. Thomas acknowledged that existing CR programs could not accommodate the huge influx of new patients that would result if the performance measures were implemented universally.

"We need to work together to establish new models that will help to provide the care necessary for everybody who's not getting the care," he said. "For example, does everybody need to come into a cardiac rehabilitation center to receive rehabilitation and preventive care? The answer is no. There are a lot of publications showing the benefits of a system where patients would largely carry out their rehabilitation efforts at home or in a local health club, but still under the direction of a nurse and a physician."

Dr. Thomas said that the insurance industry will have an important role to play if the performance measures are to be implemented. "There is an expectation and a hope, anyway, that the insurance carriers will see the value of some of the novel approaches to rehab and start reimbursing for those models of care, which they're not doing generally now."

The full text of the cardiac rehabilitation performance measures is available at [www.acc.org/qualityandscience/clinical/pdfs/CardiacRehab\\_PM\\_sept20.pdf](http://www.acc.org/qualityandscience/clinical/pdfs/CardiacRehab_PM_sept20.pdf). ■

## ADVERSE REACTIONS

**Clinical Trials Experience.** The overall incidence of side effects reported in patients receiving sitagliptin and metformin was similar to that reported with patients receiving placebo and metformin.

In a 24-week placebo-controlled trial of sitagliptin 100 mg administered once daily added to a twice-daily metformin regimen, there were no adverse reactions reported regardless of investigator assessment of causality in  $\geq 5\%$  of patients and more commonly than in patients given placebo. Discontinuation of therapy due to clinical adverse reactions was similar to the placebo treatment group (sitagliptin and metformin, 1.9%; placebo and metformin, 2.5%).

The overall incidence of adverse reactions of hypoglycemia in patients treated with sitagliptin and metformin was similar to patients treated with placebo and metformin (100 mg sitagliptin and metformin, 1.3%; placebo and metformin, 2.1%). Adverse reactions of hypoglycemia were based on all reports of hypoglycemia; a concurrent glucose measurement was not required. The incidence of selected gastrointestinal adverse reactions in patients treated with sitagliptin and metformin was also similar to placebo and metformin: nausea (sitagliptin and metformin, 1.3%; placebo and metformin, 0.8%), vomiting (1.1%, 0.8%), abdominal pain (2.2%, 3.8%), and diarrhea (2.4%, 2.5%).

No clinically meaningful changes in vital signs or in ECG (including in QTc interval) were observed with the combination of sitagliptin and metformin.

The most common adverse experience in sitagliptin monotherapy reported regardless of investigator assessment of causality in  $\geq 5\%$  of patients and more commonly than in patients given placebo was nasopharyngitis.

The most common ( $>5\%$ ) established adverse reactions due to initiation of metformin therapy are diarrhea, nausea/vomiting, flatulence, abdominal discomfort, indigestion, asthenia, and headache.

### Laboratory Tests

**Sitagliptin.** The incidence of laboratory adverse reactions was similar in patients treated with sitagliptin and metformin (7.6%) compared to patients treated with placebo and metformin (8.7%). In most but not all studies, a small increase in white blood cell count (approximately 200 cells/microL difference in WBC vs placebo; mean baseline WBC approximately 6600 cells/microL) was observed due to a small increase in neutrophils. This change in laboratory parameters is not considered to be clinically relevant.

**Metformin hydrochloride.** In controlled clinical trials of metformin of 29 weeks duration, a decrease to subnormal levels of previously normal serum Vitamin B<sub>12</sub> levels, without clinical manifestations, was observed in approximately 7% of patients. Such decrease, possibly due to interference with B<sub>12</sub> absorption from the B<sub>12</sub>-intrinsic factor complex, is, however, very rarely associated with anemia and appears to be rapidly reversible with discontinuation of metformin or Vitamin B<sub>12</sub> supplementation [see *Warnings and Precautions*].

**Postmarketing Experience.** The following additional adverse reactions have been identified during postapproval use of sitagliptin, one of the components of JANUMET. Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is generally not possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure.

Hypersensitivity reactions, including anaphylaxis, angioedema, rash, and urticaria.

## DRUG INTERACTIONS

**Cationic Drugs.** Cationic drugs (e.g., amiloride, digoxin, morphine, procainamide, quinidine, quinine, ranitidine, triamterene, trimethoprim, or vancomycin) that are eliminated by renal tubular secretion theoretically have the potential for interaction with metformin by competing for common renal tubular transport systems. Such interaction between metformin and oral cimetidine has been observed in normal healthy volunteers in both single- and multiple-dose metformin-cimetidine drug interaction studies, with a 60% increase in peak metformin plasma and whole blood concentrations and a 40% increase in plasma and whole blood metformin AUC. There was no change in elimination half-life in the single-dose study. Metformin had no effect on cimetidine pharmacokinetics. Although such interactions remain theoretical (except for cimetidine), careful patient monitoring and dose adjustment of JANUMET and/or the interfering drug is recommended in patients who are taking cationic medications that are excreted via the proximal renal tubular secretory system.

**Digoxin.** There was a slight increase in the area under the curve (AUC, 11%) and mean peak drug concentration (C<sub>max</sub>, 18%) of digoxin with the coadministration of 100 mg sitagliptin for 10 days. These increases are not considered likely to be clinically meaningful. Digoxin, as a cationic drug, has the potential to compete with metformin for common renal tubular transport systems, thus affecting the serum concentrations of either digoxin, metformin or both. Patients receiving digoxin should be monitored appropriately. No dosage adjustment of digoxin or JANUMET is recommended.

**Glyburide.** In a single-dose interaction study in type 2 diabetes patients, coadministration of metformin and glyburide did not result in any changes in either metformin pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics. Decreases in glyburide AUC and C<sub>max</sub> were observed, but were highly variable. The single-dose nature of this study and the lack of correlation between glyburide blood levels and pharmacodynamic effects make the clinical significance of this interaction uncertain.

**Furosemide.** A single-dose, metformin-furosemide drug interaction study in healthy subjects demonstrated that pharmacokinetic parameters of both compounds were affected by coadministration. Furosemide increased the metformin plasma and blood C<sub>max</sub> by 22% and blood AUC by 15%, without any significant change in metformin renal clearance. When administered with metformin, the C<sub>max</sub> and AUC of furosemide were 31% and 12% smaller, respectively, than when administered alone, and the terminal half-life was decreased by 32%, without any significant change in furosemide renal clearance. No information is available about the interaction of metformin and furosemide when coadministered chronically.

**Nifedipine.** A single-dose, metformin-nifedipine drug interaction study in normal healthy

volunteers demonstrated that coadministration of nifedipine increased plasma metformin C<sub>max</sub> and AUC by 20% and 9%, respectively, and increased the amount excreted in the urine. T<sub>max</sub> and half-life were unaffected. Nifedipine appears to enhance the absorption of metformin. Metformin had minimal effects on nifedipine.

**The Use of Metformin with Other Drugs.** Certain drugs tend to produce hyperglycemia and may lead to loss of glycemic control. These drugs include the thiazides and other diuretics, corticosteroids, phenothiazines, thyroid products, estrogens, oral contraceptives, phenytoin, nicotinic acid, sympathomimetics, calcium channel blocking drugs, and isoniazid. When such drugs are administered to a patient receiving JANUMET the patient should be closely observed to maintain adequate glycemic control.

In healthy volunteers, the pharmacokinetics of metformin and propranolol, and metformin and ibuprofen were not affected when coadministered in single-dose interaction studies.

Metformin is negligibly bound to plasma proteins and is, therefore, less likely to interact with highly protein-bound drugs such as salicylates, sulfonamides, chloramphenicol, and probenecid, as compared to the sulfonyleureas, which are extensively bound to serum proteins.

## USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

### Pregnancy

#### Pregnancy Category B.

**JANUMET.** There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women with JANUMET or its individual components; therefore, the safety of JANUMET in pregnant women is not known. JANUMET should be used during pregnancy only if clearly needed.

Merck & Co., Inc. maintains a registry to monitor the pregnancy outcomes of women exposed to JANUMET while pregnant. Health care providers are encouraged to report any prenatal exposure to JANUMET by calling the Pregnancy Registry at (800) 986-8999.

No animal studies have been conducted with the combined products in JANUMET to evaluate effects on reproduction. The following data are based on findings in studies performed with sitagliptin or metformin individually.

**Sitagliptin.** Reproduction studies have been performed in rats and rabbits. Doses of sitagliptin up to 125 mg/kg (approximately 12 times the human exposure at the maximum recommended human dose) did not impair fertility or harm the fetus. There are, however, no adequate and well-controlled studies with sitagliptin in pregnant women.

Sitagliptin administered to pregnant female rats and rabbits from gestation day 6 to 20 (organogenesis) was not teratogenic at oral doses up to 250 mg/kg (rats) and 125 mg/kg (rabbits), or approximately 30 and 20 times human exposure at the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) of 100 mg/day based on AUC comparisons. Higher doses increased the incidence of rib malformations in offspring at 1000 mg/kg, or approximately 100 times human exposure at the MRHD.

Sitagliptin administered to female rats from gestation day 6 to lactation day 21 decreased body weight in male and female offspring at 1000 mg/kg. No functional or behavioral toxicity was observed in offspring of rats.

Placental transfer of sitagliptin administered to pregnant rats was approximately 45% at 2 hours and 80% at 24 hours postdose. Placental transfer of sitagliptin administered to pregnant rabbits was approximately 66% at 2 hours and 30% at 24 hours.

**Metformin hydrochloride.** Metformin was not teratogenic in rats and rabbits at doses up to 600 mg/kg/day. This represents an exposure of about 2 and 6 times the maximum recommended human daily dose of 2000 mg based on body surface area comparisons for rats and rabbits, respectively. Determination of fetal concentrations demonstrated a partial placental barrier to metformin.

**Nursing Mothers.** No studies in lactating animals have been conducted with the combined components of JANUMET. In studies performed with the individual components, both sitagliptin and metformin are secreted in the milk of lactating rats. It is not known whether sitagliptin is excreted in human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when JANUMET is administered to a nursing woman.

**Pediatric Use.** Safety and effectiveness of JANUMET in pediatric patients under 18 years have not been established.

**Geriatric Use.** **JANUMET.** Because sitagliptin and metformin are substantially excreted by the kidney and because aging can be associated with reduced renal function, JANUMET should be used with caution as age increases. Care should be taken in dose selection and should be based on careful and regular monitoring of renal function [see *Warnings and Precautions*].

**Sitagliptin.** Of the total number of subjects (N=3884) in Phase II and III clinical studies of sitagliptin, 725 patients were 65 years and over, while 61 patients were 75 years and over. No overall differences in safety or effectiveness were observed between subjects 65 years and over and younger subjects. While this and other reported clinical experience have not identified differences in responses between the elderly and younger patients, greater sensitivity of some older individuals cannot be ruled out.

**Metformin hydrochloride.** Controlled clinical studies of metformin did not include sufficient numbers of elderly patients to determine whether they respond differently from younger patients, although other reported clinical experience has not identified differences in responses between the elderly and young patients. Metformin should only be used in patients with normal renal function. The initial and maintenance dosing of metformin should be conservative in patients with advanced age, due to the potential for decreased renal function in this population. Any dose adjustment should be based on a careful assessment of renal function [see *Contraindications; Warnings and Precautions*].



JANUMET is a trademark of Merck & Co., Inc. Copyright ©2007 Merck & Co., Inc. Whitehouse Station, NJ 08889, USA All rights reserved. 20704475(1)(103)-JMT