Dual Drug Improves Symptoms of Fibromyalgia

BY BRUCE JANCIN Denver Bureau

CHICAGO — Milnacipran, the first in a new class of dual norepinephrine and serotonin reuptake inhibitors, significantly improved the multiple core symptoms of fibromyalgia regardless of severity of baseline depressive symptoms in a doubleblind 888-patient clinical trial.

The tripartite composite study end point required concurrent 30% or greater improvement in pain scores in a daily diary, plus patient self-assessment as "much improved" or "very much improved" in global disease state, along with significantly improved physical functioning.

All three elements of the end point were achieved at 3 months by 33% of milnacipran-treated patients, regardless of whether assigned to 100 mg/day or 200 mg/day. This was significantly better than the 17% placebo response, Peter Werner, Ph.D., reported at the annual American Psychiatric Association's Institute on Psychiatric Services.

Improvements in pain scores became significant after just 1 week of treatment with milnacipran, added Dr. Werner of the Forest Research Institute, Jersey City, N.J.

The trial's sponsors, Forest Laboratories and Cypress Bioscience, have filed for Food and Drug Administration marketing approval for milnacipran with an indication for fibromyalgia. A decision is expected imminently, Dr. Werner said in an interview. "I'm reasonably optimistic. The efficacy data are good; the safety is

In 2007, pregabalin (Lyrica) became the first drug to receive an indication for fibromyalgia. It was joined last June by duloxetine (Cymbalta).

The original end point in the milnacipran trial had to be changed while the study was underway to conform to revised FDA guidelines for consideration of proposed fibromyalgia therapies. The physical function component of the revised composite end point required at least a 6-point improvement over baseline on the Short Form-36 Physical Component Summary Score.

Milnacipran is approved in Europe and Asia under the trade name Ixel for treatment of depression. One purpose of the current study was to demonstrate that the drug's benefit in fibromyalgia patients does not stem only from its antidepressant action.

To accomplish this, participants were stratified based on their baseline Beck Depression Inventory scores. Among those with a baseline BDI of 0-9, indicating no or minimal depressive symptoms, the proportion who rated themselves as globally much or very much improved after 3 months of treatment was 49% with milnacipran at 200 mg/day, 61% with milnacipran at 100 mg/day, and 33% with placebo.

Among the largest patient subgroup those with mild to moderate depressive symptoms at baseline as defined by a BDI of 10-18—the proportion rating themselves as globally much or very much improved was 61% with milnacipran at 200 mg/day, 52% with the same drug at 100 mg/day, and 42% with placebo.

And in those with moderate to severe depression as indicated by a BDI greater than 18, the improvement rates were 52%, 43%, and 38%, respectively. That's a smaller difference in response rate between patients on milnacipran at 100 mg/day and placebo than seen in less severely depressed or nondepressed patients, suggesting that those with more severe depressive symptoms may require

a higher dose of milnacipran to experience significant improvement in their fibromyalgia.

Most side effects were mild to moderate. The most common were nausea, reported by 40% on milnacipran at 200 mg/day, 33% on 100 mg/day, and 21% on placebo, followed by headache, which affected 18%, 16%, and 12%, respectively. Constipation was reported by 14% on milnacipran at 200 mg/day, 18% on 100 mg/day, and 3% on placebo.

Hypertension occurred in 4% of patients on milnacipran at 200 mg/day, 5% of those on 100 mg/day, and 2% of those on placebo. An increase in heart rate was noted in 7% on high-dose milnacipran and in 5% on low-dose milnacipran, compared with 2% of controls.

Study discontinuation because of adverse events occurred in 19.6% of patients assigned to milnacipran at 100 mg/day, 27.2% of those on 200 mg/day, and 10.8% of those on placebo.



Indications and usage

Levemir® is indicated for once- or twicedaily subcutaneous administration for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus or adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who require basal (long-acting) insulin for the control of hyperglycemia.

Important safety information

Levemir® is contraindicated in natients hypersensitive to insulin detemir or one of its excipients.

Hypoglycemia is the most common adverse effect of all insulin therapies, including Levemir®. As with other insulins, the timing of hypoglycemic events may differ among various insulin preparations. Glucose insulin preparations. Glucose monitoring is recommended for all patients with diabetes. Levemir® is not to be used in insulin infusion pumps. Any change of insulin dose should be made cautiously and only under medical supervision. Concomitant oral antidiabetes treatment may require adjustment.

Inadequate dosing or discontinuation of treatment may lead to hyperglycemia and, in patients with type 1 diabetes, diabetic ketoacidosis. Levemir® should not be diluted or mixed with any

other insulin preparations. Insulin may cause sodium retention and edema, particularly if previously poor metabolic control is improved by intensified insulin therapy. Dose and intensified insulin therapy. Dose and timing of administration may need to be adjusted to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia in patients being switched to Levemir® from other intermediate or long-acting insuli preparations. The dose of Levemir may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic impairment.

adverse events commonly other adverse events commonly associated with insulin therapy may include injection site reactions (on average, 3% to 4% of patients in clinical trials) such as lipodystrophy, redness, pain, itching, hives, swelling, and inflammation.

*Whether these observed differences represent true differences in the effects of Levemir®, NPH insulin, and insulin glargine is not known, since these trials were not blinded and the protocols diet and exercise instructions and monitoring) were not specifically directed at exploring hypotheses related to weight effects of the treatments compared. The clinical significance of the observed differences in weight has not been established.

start once-daily Levemir®

To access complimentary e-learning programs,

References: 1. Data on file. Novo Nordisk Inc, Princeton, NJ. 2. Meneghini LF, Rosenberg KH, Koenen C, References: 1. Data on file. Novo Nordisk Inc, Princeton, NJ. 2. Meneghini LF, Rosenberg KH, Koenen C, Meriläinen MJ, Lüddeke H-J. Insulin detemir improves glycaemic control with less hypoglycaemia and no weight gain in patients with type 2 diabetes who were insulin naive or treated with NPH or insulin glargine: clinical practice experience from a German subgroup of the PREDICTIVE Study. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9(3): 418-427. 3. Hermansen K, Davies M, Derezinski T, Ravn GM, Clauson P, Home P, for the Levemir Treat-to-Target Study Group. A 26-week, randomized, parallel, treat-to-target trial comparing insulin determir with NPH insulin as add-on therapy to oral glucose-lowering drugs in insulin-naive people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2006;29(6):1598-1274. 4. Klein O, Lynge J, Endahl L, Damholt B, Nosek L, Heiser T. Albrumir-bound basal insulin glargine in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2007;9(3):290-299. 5. Philis-Tsimikas A, Charpentier G, Clauson P, Rann GM, Roberts VL, Thorsteinsson B. Comparison of once-daily insulin determir with NPH insulin added to a regimen of oral antidiabetic drugs in poorly controlled type 2 diabetes. Cin Ther. 2006;28(10):1569-1581. 6. Danner, Endahl L, Haahnf L, et al. Lower within-subject variability in pharmacokinetic profiles of insulin determir in comparison to insulin glargine in children and adoleccents with type 1 diabetes. zuuo; 2x (1):1596-1581, 6. Danne I, Endahl L, Haahr H, et al. Lower within-subject variability in pharmacokinetic profiles of insulin detemir in comparison to insulin glargine in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Presented at: 43rd Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes; September 17-21, 2007; Amsterdam, Netherlands. Abstract 0189. 7. Heise F, Nosek L, Ronn BB, et al. Lower within-subject variability of insulin determir in comparison to NPH insulin and insulin glargine in people with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2004;53(6):1614-1620. 8. Data on file. NDA21-536.

Novo Nordisk Inc, Princeton, NJ.



Please see brief summary of Prescribing Information on adjacent page.

© 2008 Novo Nordisk Inc. 133236-R2



insulin detemir (rDNA origin) injection