## IOM Backs Medicare Shift to Pay for Performance

BY JANE ANDERSON

Contributing Writer

he U.S. Department of Health and Human Services should gradually replace Medicare's current payment system with a pay-for-performance system that would reward physicians and other providers for efficiency along with patient-centered, quality care, according to a report from the Institute of Medicine.

Pay-for-performance plans do not yet

have an established track record of improving care, so IOM's report, "Rewarding Provider Performance: Aligning Incentives in Medicare," urges a phased-in program that will evaluate pay-for-performance initiatives as they are implemented.

Pay for performance will help transform the Medicare payment system into one that rewards both higher value and better outcomes, Robert Reischauer, Ph.D., president of the Washington-based Urban Institute, said at a press briefing

sponsored by IOM. Dr. Reischauer served on the committee that wrote the report.

"The committee does not feel that pay for performance is the magic bullet," he said. "Pay for performance should be considered one of several key elements needed to restructure the current payment system."

Any changes in Medicare's payment system would need Congressional approval.

The panel's report urged lawmakers to adopt an initial system that would reduce

base Medicare payments across the board and use the money to fund rewards for strong performance. At the same time, Medicare officials would evaluate the program to make certain it is having the desired effects.

The proportion of Medicare payment withheld would be small at first, and providers would be compensated both for excellent work and for improving performance in areas that encompass care quality, efficiency, and "patient centeredness."

"We are recommending a performancebased system in which both excellence is rewarded and significant improvement is rewarded," Dr. Reischauer said. "Everyone can play and everyone can get back the money that was withheld initially from them."

Many large health care providers and organizations could participate in a Medicare pay-for-performance system and should be required to do so as soon as it is launched, the IOM report said. But par-



Most physicians would welcome a new, pay-forperformancebased system.

DR. WILENSKY

ticipation by small physician practices should be voluntary for the first 3 years.

Gail Wilensky, Ph.D., a senior fellow at Project HOPE and a member of the IOM panel, said most physicians would welcome a new, pay-for-performance—based system. "Many physicians have complained that, when participating in Medicare, they are penalized if they provide care that's more prevention-oriented," said Dr. Wilensky, noting that a pay-for-performance—based system would reward those physicians. "This is in many ways a response to some of that criticism by physicians."

Panel member Dr. Robert Galvin, director of global health care for General Electric Co., agreed. "There is a substantial percentage of physicians who like these programs [and] who like the idea of working in teams and having their performance rewarded," he said. "There is already a culture shift going on among a good group of physicians."

Public reporting of quality results also would serve as a strong motivator for physicians and other providers to improve their results, Dr. Wilensky said.

The panel did not specify how much Medicare base payments should be decreased to create a pool of funds for bonus payments, but said the percentage should be sufficient to create rewards large enough to motivate provider participation.

Members acknowledged that Medicare physician fees already are scheduled to decline over the next few years and said that Congress may need to add some new money to physician payments to make sure that the reward pool is sufficient.

BRIEF SUMMARY of Prescribing Information—Before prescribing, please consult complete Prescribing Information.

Increased Mortality in Elderly Patients with Demedia-Related Psychosis Elderly patients with demedia-related psychosis treated with adjustical antipsychotic drugs are at an increased risk of death compared to placebo. Analyses of seventeen placebo-controlled trials (modal duration of 10 weeks) in these patients revealed a risk of death in the drug-treated patients of between 1.5 to 1.7 times that seen in placebo-treated patients. Over the course of a pigical 10 week controlled trial, the rate of death in drug-treated patients was about 4.5%, compared to a rate of about 2.6% in the placebog propu. Although the causes of death were varied, most of the deaths appeared to be either clivascular (eg., heart failure, sudden death) or infectious (eg., pneumonia) in nature. SEROUUEL (quetianine) is not approved for the treatment of patients with Dementia-Related Psychosis.

MINICATIONS AND USAGE. Bipodar Mania: SEROQUEL is indicated for the treatment of acute manic episodes associated with bipodar I disorder, as either emontherapy or adjunct therapy to lithium or divalgnose. The efficacy of SEROQUEL in acute bipodar mania was established in two 12-week monotherapy trials and one 3-week adjunct therapy to lithium or a service of the service of the

or any of its ingredients.

WARNINUSE. Increased Mortality in Elderly Patients with Dementia-Related Psychosis: Elderly patients with demential-related psychosis tresseld with alytical antilipsycholic drugs are at an increased risk of feath compare to placebs. SEROULE (queltajenie) is not approved for the treatment of patients with demential-related psy choicis (see Board Warning), Heuroleptic Malignand Syndrome (MNS). A potentially bital symptom complex some interest of patients with demential-related psy choicis (see Board Warning), Heuroleptic Malignand Syndrome (MNS). A potentially bital symptom complex some interest of the patients with demential-related psy choicis (see Board Warning), Heuroleptic Malignand Syndrome (MNS). A potentially bital symptom complex some interest of the patients are included the patients of the patients of the patients of the patients

deziness. Extryacatia and, in some palients, syncope, especially during the initial doce-litration partor, probably reflecting 8s, -ardinergic antagonist proprieties. Syncope was reported in 1% (202557) of the palients treated with SEROULE. Compared with 0% (1967) on placebo and about 0.4% (1927) on active control critical synchrolity. A provided in 14% (1927) of the palients treated with SEROULE. Compared with 0% (1967) on placebo and about 0.4% (1927) on active control critical synchrolity. A provided infarritor or conduction abnormalities, cerebrovascular disease an conditions with would presigness patients to hypothesion (dehyration), hypothesian and treatment with antihypertensive medications). The risk of orthostatic hypothesion (dehyration), hypothesian and hypothesian dehyration or section of the synchrolity of the particular dehyration or the terrol section of the synchrolity of the particular dehyration or the terrol section of the synchrolity of the particular dehyration or the section of the synchrolity of the particular dehyration or the section of the synchrolity of the particular dehyration or the section of the synchrolity of the particular dehyration or the section of the synchrolity of the sy

period in the deferty. The mean plasma clearance of SEROOUEL was reduced by 30% to 50% in deferty patients. If the deferty is the deferty patients is the compared to younger patients.

FIRSE REACTIONS: The information below is derived from a clinical trial database for SEROOUEL consisting of mission of 3000 patients. This database includes 405 patients exposed to 5EROOUEL for the treatment of acute bipolar on its immortance of the cases of SEROOUEL for the treatment of sich school portion. Of these approximately 3000 splets, approximately in (2000 in schoolprenia and 405 in acute bipolar mains) were patients who partic-ipated in multiple dose effects set is ask, and their experience corresponded to approximately 14/3 patient-iyears. Return to the 18/10 period of the patients who partic-ipated in multiple dose effects set is a second of the second of the patients who partic-ipated in multiple dose effects resist is and with energenization of the patients who partic-ipated in multiple dose effects in the compared of the patients of the pati

erapy. In costs for eleusperiamenturopenia nuclue pre-existing flow write cell count and instayr of drug and backgrain-instrupenia. Other adverse events reported since married infruducium, which were temporally and to SERDOLEL therapy, but not necessarily causally related, include the following: agranulosytesis, anaphyta-proparatema, related projects, anaphyta-proparatema, related projects, anaphyta-proparatema, and the projects and the proparatema and the projects and sevens soon Syndrome (S.S.).

R & ABUSE AND DEPERIORICE: Controlled Substance Class: SEROOUEL is not a controlled substance. Physical Psychologic dependence: SEROOUEL is not a controlled substance. Physical Psychologic dependence: SEROOUEL is not been systematically subdied, in animals or humans, for its potential or abuse, between one physical dependence with the chinical trial developed for a buse, between one physical dependence on the psychologic dependence: SEROOUEL is not a controlled substance. Physical Psychologic dependence: SEROOUEL is not been systematically studied, in animals or humans, for its potential of substance and the psychologic dependence: SEROOUEL is not possible to predict on the bass of this limited expectations were not systematical and its not possible to predict on the bass of this limited expectations, these observations were not systematic and its into possible to predict on the bass of this limited expectations, the evaluated carefully for a history of drug abuse, and such patients should be observed dosely for simissor or abuse of SEROOUEL, and evelopement of blearines, chrosses in discovered dosely for a limited psychologic affects, i.e., drug-seeking behavior, and the clinical structure of the control of the drug is known pharticipated discovered properties and the structure of the drug is known pharticipated in the control of the drug is known pharticipated in the properties of the structure of the drug discovered properties and vertical participated and vertical caster caster and patients with a locative organi

ta 2004, 2005 nv. 12/05 Astra7eneca Pharmaceui

AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP Wilmington, Delaware 19850-5437

The full report is available at www.iom.edu.