Echo Screening Proposed as CRT Eligibility Test

BY BRUCE JANCIN

Denver Bureau

VANCOUVER, B.C. — Baseline echocardiographic evidence of mechanical ventricular dyssynchrony is a powerful predictor of the long-term clinical benefit of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with severe heart failure, Maria Vittoria Pitzalis, M.D., said at a meeting sponsored by the International Academy of Cardiology.

Indeed, echocardiographic ventricular dyssynchrony is such a strong predictor that it ought to replace ECG evidence of prolonged QRS duration as a major screening criterion for cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) patient eligibility, added Dr. Pitzalis, who did her groundbreaking work in this field while at the University of Bari (Italy).

In the past few years, CRT has emerged as a major therapeutic advance for patients with severe heart failure despite optimal medical management. Studies have shown CRT results in reverse left ventricular remodeling as reflected in increased left ventricular ejection fraction, improved exercise tolerance and New York Heart Association functional class, enhanced quality of life, fewer hospitalizations, and, most recently, in the Cardiac Resynchronization in Heart Failure (CARE-HF) trial, a 36% reduction in all-cause mortality.

However, about one-quarter of treated patients do not benefit from CRT. There is great interest in developing ways to identify them in advance so as to spare them the expense of the device therapy as well as the risks associated with the attimes technically challenging transvenous

A prolonged QRS interval has been required for participation in all major CRT trials and is routinely used as a screening criterion for CRT eligibility in clinical practice. A long QRS is an ECG marker for ventricular dyssynchrony. But there is increasing dissatisfaction with its use as a screening tool in light of clear evidence that some patients with a normal QRS duration have echocardiographic evidence of mechanical ventricular dyssynchrony while others with a long QRS do not.

Dr. Pitzalis and her Italian coworkers have developed an echocardiographic method of assessing patients for ventricular dyssynchrony using a standard two-dimensional Doppler short-axis view at the papillary muscle level. It is obtained by calculating the shortest interval between the greatest posterior displacement of the sep-



'[In] patients with a long baseline delay, you're correcting the delay with CRT and ... modifying prognosis.'

DR. PITZALIS

tum and the maximum displacement of the left posterior ventricular wall. They call it the septal-to-posterior wall motion delay (SPWMD). It's simple, reproducible, widely available, and doesn't require specialized techniques and equipment, unlike tissue Doppler imaging, an alternative echocardiographic means of assessment for ventricular dyssynchrony.

The cardiologist presented a prospective study of 60 patients, with severe heart failure and left bundle branch block, who underwent CRT. All had baseline QRSs greater than 130 milliseconds and underwent baseline measurement of SPWMD.

During a median 14-month follow-up, 4 patients died of heart failure and 12 others were hospitalized for worsening heart failure. In a multivariate analysis, only baseline SPWMD was significantly associated with subsequent heart failure progression or improvement. A long septal-to-posterior wall motion delay (at least 130 milliseconds) was present in 79% of patients who experienced clinical improvement as defined by an increase in left ventricular ejection fraction along with at least a one-class improvement in New York Heart Association functional class. Only 9% of patients with an SPWMD of less than 130 milliseconds experienced such improvement. Change in QRS duration in response to therapy was unrelated to these outcomes.

"If you think about this result, it's not illogical, because in those patients with a long baseline delay, you're correcting the delay with CRT and therefore you are modifying prognosis. If a delay doesn't exist at baseline, you're not improving anything," she said.

References: 1. Data on file. Pfizer Inc., New York, NY. 2. IMS Health Inc; May 2004.

LIPITOR® (Atorvastatin Calcium) Tablets
Brief Summary of Prescribing Information
CONTRAINDICATIONS: Active liver disease or unexplained persistent elevations of serum transaminases.
Hypersensitivity to any component of this medication. Pregnancy and Lactation — Atherosclerosis is a
chronic process and discontinuation of lipid-lowering drugs during pregnancy should have little impact on
the outcome of long-term therapy of primary hypercholesterolemia. Cholesterol and other products of
cholesterol biosynthesis are essential components for fetal development (including synthesis of steroids and
cell membranes). Since HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors decrease cholesterol synthesis of other biological by a ctive substances derived from cholesterol, they may cause fetal harm when
administered to pregnant women. Therefore, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors are contraindicated during
pregnancy and in nursing mothers. AIGNEASTATIN SHOULD BE ADMINISTREED TO WOMEN OF
CHILDBEARING AGE ONLY WHEN SUCH PATIENTS ARE HIGHLY UNILKELY TO CONCEIVE AND HAVE BEEN
INFORMED OF THE POTENTIAL HAZAROS. If the patient becomes pregnant while taking this drug, therapy
should be discontinued and the patient apprised of the potential hazard to the fetus.

WARNINGS: Liver Dysfunction — HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, like some other lipid-lowering therapies,
have been associated with biochemical abnormalities of liver function. Persistent elevations (>3 times the
upper limit of normal (UIN) occurring on 2 or more occasions) in serum transaminases occurred in 0.7% of
patients who received atorvastatin in clinical trials. The incidence of these abnormalities was 0.2%, 0.2%,
0.5%, and 2.3% for 10, 20, 40, and 80 mg, respectively. One patient in clinical trials developed jaundice.
Increases in liver function tests (LFT) in other patients were not associated with jaundice or other clinical
signs or symptoms. Upon dose reduction, drug interruption, or discontinuation, transaminase levels returned
to or near pretreatment levels without sequelae.

performed prof to and at I. veees to incoving own the intension of the trapp and any develored to provide the control of the c

· ·					
		Placebo-Contro			
BODY SYSTEM	Placebo	Atorvastatin	Atorvastatin	Atorvastatin	Atorvastatin
Adverse Event		10 mg	20 mg	40 mg	80 mg
	N = 270	N = 863	N = 36	N = 79	N = 94
BODY AS A WHOLE					
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1.1
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1
SKIN AND APPENDAGES					
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYS	TEM				
Arthra l gia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Myalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0

see full prescribing information for additional information about LIPITOR.

Parke-Davis

All rights reserved.

Rev. 5, August 2004

© 2004 Pfizer Inc.

Pizer U.S. Pharmaceuticals