CLINICAL CAPSULES

Predicting Crohn's Disease

Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies may be a marker in predicting future development of Crohn's disease but do not appear to be a marker of genetic susceptibility for the disease, according to two studies.

In 38 serum samples taken from 32 soldiers before they were diagnosed with Crohn's disease, Eran Israeli, M.D., of Hebrew University, Jerusalem, and colleagues reported that 10 tested positive for anti-S. cerevisiae antibodies (ASCA) vs. none of 95 control patients. The proportion of patients testing positive increased from 15%

more than 60 months before diagnosis, to 37% within 36 months before diagnosis, to 55% after diagnosis. ASCA were present

before and after diagnosis in 6 of the 11 patients who had samples after diagnosis. The geometric mean concentrations of ASCA also increased significantly as the time of diagnosis approached. The investigators suggested that it is too early to recommend monitoring of asymptomatic patients who are incidentally discovered to be ASCA positive (Gut 2005;54:1232-6).

A separate study of 98 mono- and dizygotic twin pairs conducted by Jonas Halfvarson, M.D., of Örebro University Hospital (Sweden) and his associates found that ASCA were found in only 1 of 20 healthy twin siblings in monozygotic twin pairs that were discordant for Crohn's disease, compared with 7 of 27 healthy twin siblings in discordant dizygotic pairs. Titers of ASCA were not similar within discordant mono- or dizygotic twin pairs with Crohn's disease, and no independent factors were associated with ASCA titers and zygosity in a multivariate analysis. The findings suggest that "ASCA in healthy family members is a marker of shared environment," the researchers said (Gut 2005;54:1237-43).

Namenda memantine HCI

Brief Summary of Prescribing Information.

For complete details, please see full Prescribing Information for Namenda.

INDICATIONS AND USAGE Namenda (memantine hydrochloride) is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe dementia of the Alzheimer's type.

CONTRAINDICATIONS Namenda (memantine hydrochloride) is contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity to memantine hydrochloride or to any excipients Namenda (memantine hyd known hypersensitivity to used in the formulation.

PRECAUTIONS Information for Patients and Caregivers: Caregivers should be instructed in the recommended administration (twice per day for doses above 5 mg) and dose escalation (minimum interval of one week between dose increases).

Seizures: Namenda has not been systematically evaluated in patients with a seizure disorder. In clinical trials of Namenda, seizures occurred in 0.2% of patients treated with Namenda and 0.5% of patients treated with placebo. Neurological Conditions Seizures: Namenda has I

Genitourinary Conditions Conditions that raise urine pH may decrease the urinary elimination of memantine resulting in increased plasma levels of memantine.

ial Populat

atic Impairment nenda undergoes partial hepatic metabolism, with about 48% of inistered dose excreted in urine as unchanged drug or as the sum of ent drug and the N-glucuronide conjugate (74%). The pharmacokinetics memantine in patients with hepatic impairment have not been istigated, but would be expected to be only modestly affected.

No dosage adjustment is needed in patients with mild or moderate renal impairment. A dosage reduction is recommended in patients with severe med long-interact renal impairn

Drug-Drug Interactions N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonists: The combined use of Namenda with other NMDA antagonists (amantadine, ketamine, and dextromethorphan) has not been systematically evaluated and such use should be approached with caution

snoud be approached with caution. Effects of Namenda on substrates of microsomal enzymes: In vitro studies conducted with marker substrates of CVP450 enzymes (CVP1A2, -2A6, -2C9, -2C9 are expected

Effects of inhibitors and/or substrates of microsomal enzymes on Namenda Memantine is predominantly renally eliminated, and drugs that are substrates and/or inhibitors of the CYP450 system are not expected to

substrates and/or inhibitors or the orresponse and example, alter the metabolism of memantine. Acetylcholinesterase (ACHE) inhibitors: Coadministration of Namenda with the AChE inhibitor donepezil HCI did not affect the pharmacokinetics of either compound. In a 24-week controlled clinical study in patients with moderate to severe Alzheimer's disease, the adverse event profile observed with a combination of memantine and donepezil was similar to that of donepezil alone.

Drugs eliminated via renal mechanisms: Because ted in part by tubular secretion, coadministration of drugs that i eliminated in part by tubular secretion, coadministration of drugs that use the same renal cationic system, including hydrochorothiazide (HCT2), triamterene (TA), metformin, cimetidine, ranitidine, quinidine, and nicotine, could potentially result in altered plasma levels of both agents. However, coadministration of Namenda and HCT2TA did not affect the bioavailability of either memantine or TA, and the bioavailability of HCT2 decreased by 20%. In addition, coadministration of memantine with the antihyperglycemic drug Glucovance[®] (glyburide and metformin HCI) did not affect the pharmacokinetics of memantine, metformin and glyburide. Furthermore, memantine did not modify the serum glucose lowering effect of Glucovance®

of Glucovance[®]. Drugs that make the urine alkaline: The clearance of memantine was reduced by about 80% under alkaline urine conditions at pH 8. Therefore, alterations of urine pH towards the alkaline condition may lead to an accumulation of the drug with a possible increase in adverse effects. Urine pH is altered by diet, drugs (e.g. carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, sodium bicarbonate) and clinical state of the patient (e.g. renal tubular acidosis or severe infections of the urinary tract). Hence, memantine should be used with caution under these conditions.

with caution under these conditions. **Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis and Impairment of Fertility** There was no evidence of carcinogenicity in a 113-week oral study in mice at doses up to 40 mg/kg/day (10 times the maximum recommended human dose [MRHD] on a mg/m² basis). There was also no evidence of carcinogenicity in rats orally dosed at up to 40 mg/kg/day for 71 weeks followed by 20 mg/kg/day (20 and 10 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis, respectively) through 128 weeks. Memantine produced no evidence of genotoxic potential when evaluated in the *in vitro S. typhimurium or E. coli* reverse mutation assay an *in vitro* chromosome damage in rats, and the *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay for chromosome damage in rats, and the *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay share v79 cells.

Connect named via Cens. No impairment of fertility or reproductive performance was seen in rats administered up to 18 mg/kg/day (9 times the MRHD on a mg/m² basis) orally from 14 days prior to mating through gestation and lactation in females, or for 60 days prior to mating in males.

Pregnancy Pregnancy Category B: Mem ntine given orally to pregnant rats and p rabbits during the period of organogenesis was not teratogenic up to the highest doses tested (18 mg/kg/day in rats and 30 mg/kg/day in rabbits, which are 9 and 30 times, respectively, the maximum recommended human dose [MRHD] on a mg/m² basis). Slight maternal toxicity, decreased pup weights and an increased incidence of non-ossified cervical vertebrae were seen at an oral dose of 18 mg/kg/day in a study in which rats were given oral memantine beginning pre-mating and continuing through the postpartum period. Slight maternal toxicity and decreased pup weights were also seen at this dose in a study in which rats were treated from day 15 of gestation through the postpartum period. The no-effect dose for these effects was 6 mg/kg, which is 3 times the MBHD on a em/or bacis is 3 times the MRHO on a movine to the second was of migray, which is 3 times the MRHO on a movine moving moving and the second second

Nursing Mothers It is not known whether memantine is excreted in human breast milk Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when memantine is administered to a nursing mother. Pediatric Use

Pediatric Use There are no adequate and well-controlled trials documenting the safety and efficacy of memantine in any illness occurring in children.

ADVERSE REACTIONS with Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia

With Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. Adverse Events Leading to Discontinuation: In placebo-controlled trials in which dementia patients received doses of Namenda up to 20 mg/day, the likelihood of discontinuation because of an adverse event was the same in the Namenda group as in the placebo group. No individual adverse event was associated with the discontinuation of treatment in 1% or more of Namenda-treated patients and at a rate greater than placebo Adverse Events Reported in Controlled Trials: The reported adverse events in Namenda (memantine hydrochloride) trials reflect experience gained under closely monitored conditions in a highly selected patient population. In actual practice or in other clinical trials, these frequency extinents must necessary the conditions of use reserving behavior of the conditions of the conditions of use reserving behavior of the set of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the set of the conditions of the conditions of the set of the conditions of the conditions of the set of the conditions of the set of population. In actual practice or in other cuinical trians, unsee nequency estimates may not apply, as the conditions of use, reporting behavior and the types of patients treated may differ. Table 1 lists treatment-emergent signs and symptoms that were reported in at least 2% of patients in placebo-controlled dementia trials and for which the rate of occurrence was greater for patients treated with Namenda than for those treated with placebo. No adverse event occurred at a frequency of at least 5% and twice the placeho rate

Table 1: Adverse Events Reported in Controlled Clinical Trials in at Least 2% of Patients Receiving Namenda and at a Higher Frequency than Placebo-treated Patients. Rody Syst Placebo

Adverse Event	(N = 922)	(N = 940)
	%	%
Body as a Whole		
Fatigue	1	2
Pain	1	3
Cardiovascular System		
Hypertension	2	4
Central and Peripheral Nervous System		
Dizziness	5	7
Headache	3	6
Gastrointestinal System		
Constipation	3	5
Vomiting	2	3
Musculoskeletal System		
Back pain	2	3
Psychiatric Disorders		
Confusion	5	6
Somnolence	2	3
Hallucination	2	3
Respiratory System		
Coughing	3	4
Dyspnea	1	2

Other adverse events occurring with an incidence of at least 2% i Namenda-treated patients but at a greater or equal rate on placebo wer agitation, fall, inflicted injury, urinary incontinence, diarrhea, bronchiti insomnia, urinary tract infection, influenza-like symptoms, abnormal gai al gait depression, upper respiratory tract infection, anxiety, peripheral ed nausea, anorexia, and arthralgia,

The overall profile of adverse events and the incidence rates for individual adverse events in the subpopulation of patients with moderate to severe Alzheimer's disease were not different from the profile and incidence rates described above for the overall dementia population

Vital Sign Changes: Namenda and placebo groups were compared with respect to (1) mean change from baseline in vital signs (pulse, systolic of pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and weight and (2) the incidence patients meeting criteria for potentially clinically significant changes m baseline in these variables. There were no clinically important blood press changes in vital signs in patients treated with Namenda. A comparison of supine and standing vital sign measures for Namenda and placebo in elderly normal subjects indicated that Namenda treatment is not associated with orthostatic changes.

with orthostatic changes. Laboratory Changes: Namenda and placebo groups were compared with respect to (1) mean change from baseline in various serum chemistry, hematology, and urinalysis variables and (2) the incidence of patients meeting criteria for potentially clinically significant changes from baseline in these variables. These analyses revealed no clinically important changes in the service of the incidence of the patient of in laboratory test parameters associated with Namenda treatment.

ECG Changes: Namenda and placebo groups were compared with respect to (1) mean change from baseline in various ECG parameters and (2) the incidence of patients meeting criteria for potentially clinically (c) are indicated or patients including orienta in publically clinically significant changes from baseline in these variables. These analyses revealed no clinically important changes in ECG parameters associated with Namenda treatment.

Other Adverse Events Observed During Clinical Trials

Amenda has been administered to approximately 1350 patients with dementia, of whom more than 1200 received the maximum recommended dose of 20 mg/day. Patients received Namenda treatment for periods of up to 884 days, with 862 patients receiving at least 24 weeks of treatment and 387 patients receiving 48 weeks or more of treatment.

Treatment emergent signs and symptoms that occurred during 8 controlled clinical trials and 4 open-label trials were recorded as adverse events by the clinical investigators using terminology of their own choosing. To provide an overall estimate of the proportion of individuals having similar types of events, the events were grouped into a smaller number of standardized categories using WHO terminology, and event frequencies were calculated across all studies.

across all studies. All adverse events occurring in at least two patients are included, except for those already listed in Table 1, WHO terms too general to be informative, minor symptoms or events unlikely to be drug-caused, e.g., because they are common in the study population. Events are classified by body system and listed using the following definitions: frequent adverse events -those occurring in 1/100 patients; infrequent adverse events -those occurring in 1/100 to 1/1000 patients. These adverse events are not necessarily related to Namenda treatment and in most cases were observed, of a cymiler foreuren in headerb totedet, existent existences. observed at a similar frequency in placebo-treated patients in the controlled studies

Body as a Whole: Frequent: syncope. Infrequent: hypothermia, allergie

Cardiovascular System: Frequent: cardiac failure. Infrequent: angina pectoris, bradycardia, myocardial infarction, thrombophlebitis, atrial fibrillation, hypotension, cardiac arrest, postural hypotension, pulmonary embolism, pulmonary edema.

Central and Peripheral Nervous System: Frequent: transient ischemic attack, cerebrovascular accident, vertigo, ataxia, hypokinesia. Infrequent paresthesia, convulsions, extrapyramidal disorder, hypertonia, tremor aphasia, hypoesthesia, abnormal coordination, hemiplegia, hyperkinesia involuntary muscle contractions, stupor, cerebral hemorrhage, neuralgia ptosis, neuropathy

Gastrointestinal System: Infrequent: gastroenteritis, diverticulitis orrhage, melena, esophageal ulceration nastrointestinal her

Hemic and Lymphatic Disorders: Frequent: anemia. Infrequent: leukopenia Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders: Frequent: anemia. Infrequent: I Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders: Frequent: increases ohosphatase, decreased weight. Infrequent: dehydration, hype aggravated diabetes mellitus.

aggravated onabetes mellitus. Psychiatric Disorders: Frequent: aggressive reaction. Infrequent: delusion, personality disorder, emotional tability, nervousness, sleep disorder, libido increased, psychosis, annesia, apathy, paranoid reaction, thinking abnormal, crying abnormal, appetite increased, paroniria, delirium, depersonalization, neurosis, suicide attempt. Respiratory System: Frequent: pneumonia. Infreq

ion, pruritus

Skin and Appendages: Frequent: rash. Infrequent: skin ulceration, pri cellulitis, eczema, dermatitis, erythematous rash, alopecia, urticaria Special Senses: Frequent: cataract, conjunctivitis. Infrequent: macula lutea degeneration, decreased visual acuity, decreased hearing, tinnitus, blepharitis, blurred vision, corneal opacity, glaucoma, conjunctival hemorrhage, eye pain, retinal hemorrhage, xerophthalmia, diplopia, abnormal lacrimation, myopia, retinal detachment. Urinary System: Frequent: frequent micturition. Infrequent: dysuria, hematuria, urinary retention.

Events Reported Subsequent to the Marketing of Namenda, both US

Events Reported subsequent to the memantine treatment has been found, and Ex-US Although no causal relationship to memantine treatment has been found, the following adverse events have been reported to be temporally associated with memantine treatment and are not described elsewhere in labeling: atrioventricular block, bone fracture, carpal tunnel syndrome, cerebral infarction, chest pain, claudication, colitis, dyskinesia, dysphagia, gastritis, gastroesophageal reflux, grand mal convulsions, intracranial hemorrhage, hepatic failure, hyperlipidemia, hypoglycemia, ileus, imnotence, malaise, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, acute pancreatitis, Convertional and the syndrome in the impotence, malaise, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, argogrotma, mada, aspiration pneumonia, acute renal failure, prolonged QT interval, restlessness, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, sudden death, supraventricular tachycardia, tachycardia, tardive dyskinesia, and thrombocytopenia.

ANIMAL TOXICOLOGY ed neuronal lesions (vacuolation and necrosis) in the multipolar and pyramidal cells in cortical layers III and IV of the pos cingulate and retrosplenial neocortices in rats, similar to those which are known to occur in rodents administered other NMDA receptor antagonists Lesions were seen after a single dose of memantine. In a study in which receptor anagoniss. Lesions were seen after a single dose of memantine. In a study in which rats were given daily oral doses of memantine for 14 days, the no-effect dose for neuronal necrosis was 6 times the maximum recommended human dose on a mg/m² basis. The potential for induction of central neuronal vacuolation and necrosis by NMDA receptor antagonists in humans is unknown.

DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE Controlled Substance Class: Memantine HCl is not a controlled substance Physical and Psychological Dependence: Memantine Hol a contained bubbance moderate affinity uncompetitive NMDA antagonist that did not produce any evidence of drug-seeking behavior or withdrawal symptoms upon discontinuation in 2,504 patients who participated in clinical trials at therapeutic doses. Post marketing data, outside the U.S., retrospectively collected, has provided no evidence of drug abuse or dependence. OVERDOSAGE

strategies for the management of overdose are continually evolving, it is advisable to contact a poison control center to determine the evolving, it is advisable to contact a poison control center to determine the latest recommendations for the management of an overdose of any drug. As in any cases of overdose, general supportive measures should be utilized, and treatment should be symptomatic. Elimination of memantine can be enhanced by acidification of urine. In a documented case of an overdosage with up to 400 mg of memantine, the patient experienced restlessness, psychosis, visual hallucinations, somnolence, stupor and loss of consciousness. The patient recovered without permanent sequelae.

Forest Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Licensed from Merz Pharmaceuticals GmbH

Rev. 07/05

© 2005 Forest Laboratories, Inc

Lymphoma Risk in Treatment of IBD

Treatment of patients with inflammatory bowel disease with the immunomodulators azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine is associated with about a fourfold increased risk of lymphoma, reported A. Kandiel of the Cleveland Clinic and colleagues.

In a metaanalysis of six studies, 11 cases of lymphoma occurred in 3,891 patients with inflammatory bowel disease, compared with an expected number of 2.63 cases based on rates reported by the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results cancer registry; 9 of the cases were non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. By assuming a relative risk of lymphoma of 4, the number of patients needed to be treated with azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine to cause one additional lymphoma per year ranged from 4,357 persons aged 20-29 years to 355 persons aged 70-79 years. "A conservative interpretation of our data is that IBD patients who receive immunomodulator medications are at higher risk of lymphoma than the general population, and that this increased risk could be due to the medication, disease activity, or both," the investigators wrote (Gut 2005;54:1121-5).

Women Prefer Female Endoscopists

Nearly half of women prefer a female endoscopist for colorectal cancer screening, reported Stacy B. Menees, M.D., and her associates at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

A questionnaire filled out by 202 of 212 women while waiting for their primary care physician (PCP) showed that 43% preferred a woman endoscopist: 69% of these women had a female PCP. Among women with a female preference, 87% were willing to wait more than 30 extra days for a woman and 14% would be willing to pay more for a woman. Overall, 5% of the respondents would refuse to undergo a colonoscopy unless guaranteed a woman. In a multivariate logistic regression analysis, having a female PCP and being currently employed were independent predictors of preferring a female endoscopist. Having previously undergone a colonoscopy was associated with a 61% lower likelihood of preferring a woman (Gastrointest, Endosc, 2005:62:219-23).

Comparisons of Hemorrhoid Removal

Removal of symptomatic third- and fourth-degree hemorrhoids by either hemorroidopexy with the Proximate PPH stapler or hemorrhoidectomy with Liga-Sure bipolar diathermy achieves similar levels of postoperative pain, operative ease, and time until return to normal activities, according to a double-blind randomized study.

Matthias Kraemer, M.D., of the St. Barbara Clinic in Hamm-Heessen, Germany, and his colleagues reported results from a total of 50 patients randomized equally to either therapy. Neither technique had any differences in the duration of operation, immediate complications, or the surgeon's immediate postoperative assessment of the result and ease of the procedure. At weeks 3 and 6 of follow-up, patients did not have any difference in pain scores, satisfaction, level of personal activity, rate of hospitalization, or the time to and mode of their first defecation (Dis. Colon Rectum 2005;48:1517-22).