## Missed Ischemia Linked to Poorer MI Care, Survival

BY THERESE DROSTE

Contributing Writer

WASHINGTON — Myocardial infarction patients who did not have documented ischemic symptoms upon hospital admission received lower quality care, were prescribed fewer established therapies, and had significantly higher risk-adjusted in-hospital mortality than patients with documented ischemic symptoms, Erik Schelbert, M.D., reported at a meeting that was sponsored by the American Heart Association.

Patients without ischemic symptoms received significantly less treatment with aspirin or β-blockers, and underwent less reperfusion therapy. These patients who lacked symptoms of ischemia were also more likely to be female, nonwhite, and older than the symptomatic patients.

Curiously, these trends continued until discharge," said Dr. Schelbert of the University of Iowa, Iowa City.

He presented data from the Prospective Registry Evaluating Outcomes After Myocardial Infarction: Events and Recovery (PREMIER) study, which enrolled 3,960 MI patients in 19 centers during January 2003 to June 2004.

Dr. Schelbert and his coinvestigators reviewed the charts of 3,825 patients, comparing Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services performance measures and inhospital death statistics to determine whether ischemic symptoms were docu-

2.2 4.2 4.2 2.5 1.1 1.7 1.4

mented. Trauma patients and those with acute gastrointestinal bleeding, stroke, or hip fracture were excluded.

A subgroup of 2,480 patients was interviewed within 2 days of admission to get their point of view of what brought them to the hospital.

Although data from other studies have shown that women, minorities, and older patients often don't show traditional symptoms for MI, this is ostensibly the first study that included patient interviews in order to link symptoms with outcomes.

Overall, 6.2% of the 3,825 patients had no ischemic symptoms documented in their charts upon admission, but of those who were interviewed, 72% had at least one symptom that would be considered ischemic according to current American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines.

The undocumented symptoms includ-

**Patients without** ischemic symptoms received less aspirin,  $\beta$ -blockers, and reperfusion therapy and were more likely to be female, nonwhite, and older.

ed shortness of breath (50%), chest (40%), and nausea (31%).

Although troponin assays confirmed myocardial damage in all the patients, the disparities in care persisted through charge.

"Because the

lack of documented symptoms of MI and the following lesser-quality care were linked, we inferred that patients' symptoms were not recognized. Clearly, most patients actually did have symptoms, as the interviews then showed," said Dr.

It's possible that these patients had comorbidities that made a diagnosis of MI more difficult, he added.

Of those asymptomatic patients eligible during hospital admission, 85% received aspirin vs. 96% of those with symptoms, 64% received  $\beta$ -blockers within 24 hours vs. 85% of those with symptoms, and 18% received reperfusion therapy vs. 71% of patients with symptoms, all significant

At discharge, those without ischemic symptoms were less likely to receive aspirin (86% vs. 94%), β-blockers (80% vs. 89%), or ACE inhibitors (58% vs. 69%).

Asymptomatic patients also were less likely to receive statin therapy for secondary MI prevention at LDL-cholesterol thresholds of 100mg/dL (70% vs. 87%) or 70 mg/dL (61% vs. 84%).

Unadjusted in-hospital mortality rates were also higher in patients without ischemic symptoms (15% vs. 3%).

There is evidence of a significant breakdown in communication, and patient symptoms are being missed. The cause of this needs further investigation," Dr. Schelbert said.

The study was funded by grants from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and CVT Therapeutics.

Treatment of osteoporosis
Postmenopausal women
In two identically designed, three-year, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicenter studies (United States and Multinational; n=994), discontinuation of therapy due to any clinical adverse experience occurred in 4.1% of 196 patients treated with FOSAMAX\* (alandronate sodium) 10 mg/day and 6.0% of 397 patients treated with placebo. In the Fracture Intervention Trial (n=6459), discontinuation of therapy due to any clinical adverse experience occurred in 9.1% of 3236 patients treated with FOSAMAX 5 mg/day for 2 years and 10 mg/day for either one or two additional years and 10.1% of 3223 patients treated with placebo. Discontinuations due to upper gastrointestinal adverse experiences were: FOSAMAX, 3.2%; placebo, 2.7%. In these study populations, 49-54% had a history of gastrointestinal disorders at baseline and 54-89% used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or aspirin at some time during the studies. Adverse experiences from these studies considered by the investigators as possibly, probably, or definitely drug related in B1% of patients treated with either FOSAMAX or placebo are presented in the following table.

Osteoporosis Treatment Studies in Postmenopausal Women
Adverse Experiences Considered Possibly, Probably, or Definitely Drug Related by the Investigators and Reported

	ır	i≥1% of Patients			
	United States/Mult	inational Studies	Fracture Interv	ention Trial	
	F0SAMAX*	Placebo	FOSAMAX**	Placebo	
	%	%	%	%	
	(n=196)	(n=397)	(n=3236)	(n=3223)	
Gastrointestinal					
abdominal pain	6.6	4.8	1.5	1.5	
nausea	3.6	4.0	1.1	1.5	
dyspepsia	3.6	3.5	1.1	1.2	
constipation	3.1	1.8	0.0	0.2	
diarrhea	3.1	1.8	0.6	0.3	
flatulence	2.6	0.5	0.2	0.3	
acid regurgitation	2.0	4.3	1.1	0.9	
esophageal ulcer	1.5	0.0	0.1	0.1	
vomiting	1.0	1.5	0.2	0.3	
dysphagia	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
abdominal distention	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	
gastritis	0.5	1.3	0.6	0.7	
Musculoskeletal					
musculoskeletal (bone,					
muscle or joint) pain	4.1	2.5	0.4	0.3	
muscle cramp	0.0	1.0	0.2	0.1	
Nervous System/Psychiatric					
headache	2.6	1.5	0.2	0.2	
dizziness	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.1	
Special Senses					
taste perversion	0.5	1.0	0.1	0.0	

Rarely, rash and erythema have occurred.

One patient treated with FOSAMAX (10 mg/day), who had a history of peptic ulcer disease and gastrectomy and who was taking concomitant aspirin developed an anastomotic ulcer with mild hemorrhage, which was considered drug related. Aspirin and FOSAMAX were discontinued and the

The adverse experience profile was similar for the 401 patients treated with either 5 or 20 mg doses of FOSAMAX in the United States and Multinational studies. The adverse experience profile for the 290 patients who received continued treatment with either 5 or 10 mg doses of FOSAMAX in the two-year extension of these studies (treatment years 4 and 5) was similar to that observed during the three-year placebo-controlled period. During the extension period, of the 151 patients treated with FOSAMAX in discontinued therapy due to any clinical adverse experience was similar to that during the first three years of the study.

In a one-year, double-blind, multicenter study, the overall safety and tolerability profiles of once weekly FOSAMAX 70 mg and FOSAMAX 10 mg daily were similar. The adverse experiences considered by the investigators as possibly, probably, or definitely drug related in B1% of patients in either treatment group are presented in the following table.

	Treatment Studies in Postmenopausa		
	Considered Possibly, Probably, or Defin		
by the In	vestigators and Reported in ≥1% of Pat		
	Once Weekly FOSAMAX	FOSAMAX	
	70 mg	10 mg/day	
	%	%	
	(n=519)	(n=370)	
Gastrointestinal			
abdominal pain	3.7	3.0	
dyspepsia	2.7	2.2	
acid regurgitation	1.9	2.4	
nausea	1.9	2.4	
abdominal distention	1.0	1.4	
constipation	0.8	1.6	
flatulence	0.4	1.6	
gastritis	0.2	1.1	
gastric ulcer	0.0	1.1	
Musculoskeletal			
musculoskeletal (bone, muscle,	2.9	3.2	
joint) pain			
muscle cramp	0.2	1.1	

Men
In two placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicenter studies in men (a two-year study of FOSAMAX 10 mg/day and a one-year study of once weekly FOSAMAX 70 mg) the rates of discontinuation of therapy due to any clinical adverse experience were 2.7% for FOSAMAX 10 mg/day vs. 10.5% for placebo, and 6.4% for once weekly FOSAMAX 70 mg vs. 8.6% for placebo. The adverse experiences considered by the investigators as possibly, probably, or definitely drug related in B2% of patients treated with either FOSAMAX or placebo are presented in the following table.

Osteoporosis Studies in Men
Adverse Experiences Considered Possibly, Probably, or
Definitely Drug Related by the Investigators and
Reported in >2% of Patients

	Reported	in ≥2% of Pat			
	Two-year	r Study	One-year	r Study	
	FOSAMAX 10 mg/day % (n=146)	Placebo % (n=95)	Once Weekly FOSAMAX 70 mg % (n=109)	Placebo % (n=58)	
Gastrointestinal acid regurgitation	4.1	3.2	0.0	0.0	
flatulence gastroesophageal reflux disease	4.1 0.7	1.1 3.2	0.0 2.8	0.0 0.0	
dyspepsia diarrhea	3.4 1.4	0.0 1.1	2.8 2.8	1.7 0.0	
abdominal pain	2.1	1.1	0.9	3.4	
nausea	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Concomitant use with estrogen/hormone replacement therapy In two studies (of one and two years' duration) of postmenopausal osteoporotic women (total: n=853), the safety and tolerability profile of combined treatment with FOSAMAX 10 mg once daily and estrogen  $\pm$  progestin (n=354) was consistent with those of the individual treatments.

Treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis
In two, one-year, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicenter studies in patients receiving
glucocorticoid treatment, the overall safety and tolerability profiles of FOSAMAX 5 and 10 mg/day were
generally similar to that of placebo. The adverse experiences considered by the investigators as possibly,
probably, or definitely drug related in B1% of patients treated with either FOSAMAX 5 or 10 mg/day or
placebo are presented in the following table.

One-Year Studies in Glucocorticoid-Treated Patients Adverse Experiences Considered Possibly, Probably, or Definitely Drug Related by the Investigators and Reported in ≥1% of Patients

Other studies with FOSAMAX® (alendronate sodium)
Prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women
The safety of FOSAMAX 5 mg/day in postmenopausal women 40-60 years of age has been evaluated
in three double-blind, placebo-controlled studies involving over 1,400 patients randomized to receive
FOSAMAX for either two or three years. In these studies the overall safety profiles of FOSAMAX 5 mg/day

FUSAMMX for either two or three years. In these studies the overall safety profiles of HOSAMMX 5 mg/day and placebo were similar. Discontinuation of therapy due to any clinical adverse experience occurred in 7.5% of 642 patients treated with FOSAMAX 5 mg/day and 5.7% of 648 patients treated with placebo. In a one-year, double-blind, multicenter study, the overall safety and tolerability profiles of once weekly FOSAMAX 35 mg and FOSAMAX 5 mg daily were similar. The adverse experiences from these studies considered by the investigators as possibly, probably, or definitely drug related in B1% of patients treated with either once weekly FOSAMAX 35 mg, FOSAMAX 5 mg/day or placebo are presented in the following table.

Osteoporosis Prevention Studies in Postmenopausal Wom Adverse Experiences Considered Possibly, Probably, or Definitely Drug Related by the Investigators and Reported in 21% of Patients

0.5

1.9 1.7 1.4 1.4 1.1 0.9 0.2

	10 mg/day	5 mg/day	%	
	% (n=157)	% (n=161)	% (n=159)	
Gastrointestinal				
abdominal pain	3.2	1.9	0.0	
acid regurgitation	2.5	1.9	1.3	
constipation	1.3	0.6	0.0	
melena	1.3	0.0	0.0	
nausea	0.6	1.2	0.6	
diarrhea	0.0	0.0	1.3	
Nervous System/Psychiatric				
headache	0.6	0.0	1.3	

The overall safety and tolerability profile in the glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis population that continued therapy for the second year of the studies (FOSAMAX: n=147) was consistent with that observed in the first year.

Paget's disease of bone

In clinical studies (osteoporosis and Paget's disease), adverse experiences reported in 175 patients taking FOSAMAX 40 mg/day for 3-12 months were similar to those in postmenopausal women treated with FOSAMAX 10 mg/day. However, there was an apparent increased incidence of upper gastrointestinal adverse experiences in patients taking FOSAMAX 40 mg/day (17.7% FOSAMAX vs. 10.2% placebo). One case of esophagitis and two cases of gastritis resulted in discontinuation of treatment

treatment.

Additionally, musculoskeletal (bone, muscle or joint) pain, which has been described in patients with Paget's disease treated with other bisphosphonates, was considered by the investigators as possibly, probably, or definitely drug related in approximately 6% of patients treated with FOSAMAX 40 mg/day versus approximately 1% of patients treated with placebo, but rarely resulted in discontinuation of therapy. Discontinuation of therapy due to any clinical adverse experience occurred in 6.4% of patients with Paget's disease treated with FOSAMAX 40 mg/day and 2.4% of patients treated with placebo.

6.4% of patients with Paget's disease treated with FOSAMAX 40 mg/day and 2.4% of patients treated with placebo. Laboratory Test Findings
In double-blind, multicenter, controlled studies, asymptomatic, mild, and transient decreases in serum calcium and phosphate were observed in approximately 18% and 10%, respectively, of patients taking FOSAMAX versus approximately 12% and 3% of those taking placebo. However, the incidences of decreases in serum calcium to 4.80 mg/dL (2.0 mM) and serum phosphate to A2.0 mg/dL (0.65 mM) were similar in both treatment groups.
FOSAMAX PLUS D\*\* (alendronate sodium/cholecalciterol)
In a fifteen week double-blind, multinational study in osteoporotic postmenopausal women (n=682) and men (n=35), the safety profile of FOSAMAX PLUS D was similar to that of FOSAMAX once weekly 70 mg.

Post-Marketing Experience
The following adverse reactions have been reported in post-marketing use with alendronate:
Body as a Whole: hypersensitivity reactions including urticaria and rarely angioedema. Transient symptoms of myalgia, malaise and rarely, fever have been reported with alendronate, typically in association with initiation of treatment. Rarely, symptomatic hypocalcemia has occurred, generally in association with predisposing conditions.

Gastrointestinal: esophagitis, esophageal erosions, esophageal ulcers, rarely esophageal stricture or perforation, and oropharyngeal ulceration. Gastric or duodenal ulcers, some severe and with complications have also been reported (see WARNINGS, PRECAUTIONS, Information for Patients, and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION).

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION).

Localized osteonecrosis of the jaw, generally associated with tooth extraction and/or local infection, often with delayed healing, has been reported rarely (see PRECAUTIONS, Dentah).

Musculoskeletal: bone, joint, and/or muscle pain, occasionally severe, and rarely incapacitating (see PRECAUTIONS, Musculoskeletal Pain).

Skin: rash (occasionally with photosensitivity), pruritus, rarely severe skin reactions, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis.

Special Senses: rarely uveitis, scleritis or episcleritis.

For more detailed information, please read the Prescribing Info FOSAMAX PLUS D is a trademark of Merck & Co., Inc. FOSAMAX is a registered trademark of Merck & Co., Inc.



© 2005 Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ 08889, USA. All rights reserved

<sup>\*10</sup> mg/day for three years
\*\*5 mg/day for 2 years and 10 mg/day for either 1 or 2 additional years