

# Generics Key to Avoiding Part D Doughnut Hole

BY TIMOTHY F. KIRN  
Sacramento Bureau

SEATTLE — With generic prescribing, a little can go a long way. In fact, by using generics 10% of the time, the Medicare Part D program could reduce drug spending by as much as \$2.3 billion, according to an analysis presented at the annual research meeting of Academy Health.

That could be important because the analysis also showed that about 22% of

Medicare beneficiaries who used to receive a \$600 subsidy for prescription drugs under the previous Medicare program will no longer qualify for a subsidy and 16%-23% will probably end up in what is called the “doughnut hole” of Medicare Part D, where they will have no drug coverage, said M. Christopher Roebuck, an economist with CareMark, Hunt Valley, Md., a leading pharmacy-benefits management company.

To conduct the analysis, Mr. Roebuck

and colleagues used data from 37,425 individuals enrolled in Medicare drug discount card programs for at least 6 months, and who had filled at least one prescription. The researchers then assumed those same usage patterns, with some increase in usage when out-of-pocket costs go down, and applied a 3.5% annual rate for inflation.

“We think one of the strong points of our research is that it is based on actual claims data,” he said.

The enrollees filled a mean of 19 prescriptions per year, 10 of which were for generic medications and 9 for brand name. The mean total cost for their prescriptions was \$849, of which they paid a mean \$538 out of pocket.

Depending on the assumption used to estimate how the new coverage might increase use, the analysis suggests that out-of-pocket costs could increase for these beneficiaries by \$38 to \$187 annually. Those who are low income and currently qualify for the \$600 subsidy could face an increase in out-of-pocket costs in the range of \$58 to \$86 annually, provided they still qualified for the subsidy.

**Sixteen to twenty-three percent of beneficiaries will probably end up in what is called the ‘doughnut hole,’ where they will have no drug coverage.**

Those increased costs could mean that some would choose to forgo some prescriptions, decisions that could have health consequences.

On the other hand, if the generic prescription rate were increased by 10%, it would save the beneficiaries a mean amount in the range of \$41 to \$55 in out-of-pocket costs and would decrease the amount spent by Medicare on each beneficiary by \$62 to \$71.

Extrapolating that to 33 million beneficiaries, Medicare could reduce its spending by \$2 billion to \$2.3 billion annually, Mr. Roebuck said.

The 10% increase in the use of generics would also reduce the number of these beneficiaries who would get into the doughnut hole—where Medicare Part D stops coverage until the patient has spent \$2,850 on drugs—by 1%-2%. ■

Before the research is published...

Before the drug is approved...

Before the guideline is issued...

You read it first in



Ob.Gyn. News

— We Write Medicine's First Draft —

www.obgynnews.com



## INDEX OF ADVERTISERS

<b>Berlex, Inc.</b>	
Yaz	3
Mirena	22-24
<b>Cepheid Corporation</b>	
Xpert GBS	27
<b>Discovery Health</b>	
Corporate	7
<b>Duramed Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</b> (a subsidiary of Barr Pharmaceuticals)	
ParaGard	11-14
Mircette	16a-16b
Enjuvia	20a-20d
<b>FFF Enterprises Inc.</b>	
MyFluVaccine	19
<b>Genzyme Diagnostics</b>	
OSOM BVBlue	6
<b>Martek Biosciences Corporation</b>	
Corporate	15
<b>Merck &amp; Co., Inc.</b>	
Gardasil	8a-8d
<b>Sanofi Pasteur Inc.</b>	
ADACEL	36a-36b
<b>Sepracor Inc.</b>	
Lunesta	4a-4b
<b>Wyeth Consumer Healthcare</b>	
Caltrate	24a-24b
<b>Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc.</b>	
PREMARIN Vaginal Cream	39-40