Adverse Event F=% (N=512) 14.6 4.5 3.3 1.6

Palpitations

1.4

1.3

1.6

0.9

Nomnolence

1.3

1.6

0.8

0.9

Nomnolence

1.3

1.6

0.8

0.8

0.9

Nomnolence

1.3

1.6

0.8

0.8

0.8

0.9

Nomnolence

1.8

Nomnolence

1

atoryastatin					
Body System/ Adverse Event	Placebo N=270	10 mg N=863	20 mg N=36	40 mg N=79	80 mg N=94
BODY AS A WHOLE					
Infection	10.0	10.3	2.8	10.1	7.4
Headache	7.0	5.4	16.7	2.5	6.4
Accidental Injury	3.7	4.2	0.0	1.3	3.2
Flu Syndrome	1.9	2.2	0.0	2.5	3.2
Abdominal Pain	0.7	2.8	0.0	3.8	2.1
Back Pain	3.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	1,1
Allergic Reaction	2.6	0.9	2.8	1.3	0.0
Asthenia	1.9	2.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
Constipation	1.8	2.1	0.0	2.5	1.1
Diarrhea	1.5	2.7	0.0	3.8	5.3
Dyspepsia	4.1	2.3	2.8	1.3	2.1
Flatulence	3.3	2.1	2.8	1.3	1.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	0.0				•••
Sinusitis	2.6	2.8	0.0	2.5	6.4
Pharyngitis	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3	2.1
SKIN AND APPENDAGES	1.0	0	0.0	1.0	
Rash	0.7	3.9	2.8	3.8	1.1
	0.7	3.3	2.0	3.0	1.1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	4.5	0.0	0.0	E 4	0.0
Arthralgia	1.5	2.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Mvalgia	1.1	3.2	5.6	1.3	0.0

Arthralgia

1.5

2.0

Myalgia

1.5

3.2

5.6

1.3

0.0

Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (ASCOT): In ASCOT involving 10,305 participants treated with atorvastatin 10 mg daily (n=5,168) or placebo (n=5,137), the safety and tolerability profile of the group treated with atorvastatin was comparable to that of the group treated with placebo during a median of 3.3 years of follow-up. The following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment, in patients treated with atorvastatin in clinical trials. The events in italics occurred in ≥2% of patients and the events in plain type occurred in ≥2% of patients. Body as a Whole: Chest pain, face edema, fever, neck rigidity, malaise, photosensitivity reaction, generalized edema. Digestive System: Nausea, gastroenteritis, liver function tests abnormal, colitis, vomiting, gastritis, dry mouth, rectal hemorrhage, esophagitis, eructation, glossitis, mouth ulceration, anorexia, increased appetite, stomatitis, biliary pain, chelitis, cholestatic jaundice. Respiratory System: Ponnchitis, rhinitis, pneumonia, dyspnea, asthma, epistaxis. Nervous System: Insomnia, dizziness, paresthesia, somnolence, ammesia, abnormal dreams, libido decreased, emotional lability, incoordination, peripheral neuropathy, torticollis, facial paralysis, hyperkinesia, depression, hypesthesia, hypertonia. Musculoskeletal System: Arbinitis, elapecia, dry skin, sweating, acne, urticaria, eczema, seborrhea, skin ulcer. Urogenital System: Urinary tract infection, urinary frequency, cystitis, hematuria, impotence, dysuria, kidney calculus, nocturia, epididymitis, fibrocystic breast, vaginal hemorrhage, albuminuria, breast enlargement, metrorrhagia, nephritis, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, urinary urgency, abnormal ejaculation, uterine hemorrhage. Special Senses: Ambyopia, tinnitus, dry eyes, refraction disorder, eye hemorrhage, deafma, hyperglycemia, creatine phosphokinase increased, gout, weight gain, hypoglycemia. Hemic and Lymphatic System: Ecchymosis, anemia, lymphadeno

safety and tolerability profile of atorvastatin 10 to 20 mg daily was generally similar to that of placebo (see PRECAUTIONS, Pediatric Use).

OVERDOSAGE: There is no information on overdosage with CADUET in humans. Information on Amlodipine: Single oral doses of amlodipine maleate equivalent to 40 mg amlodipine/kg and 100 mg amlodipine/kg in mice and rats, respectively, caused deaths. Single oral amlodipine maleate doses equivalent to 4 or more mg amlodipine/kg in dogs (11 or more times the maximum recommended clinical dose on a mg/m² basis) caused a marked peripheral vasodilation and hypotension. Overdosage might be expected to cause excessive peripheral vasodilation with marked hypotension and possibly a reflex tachycardia. In humans, experience with intentional overdosage of amlodipine is limited. Reports of intentional overdosage include a patient who ingested 250 mg and was asymptomatic and was not hospitalized, another (120 mg) was hospitalized, underwent gastric lavage and remained normotensive; the third (105 mg) was hospitalized and had hypotension (90/50 mmHg) which normalized following plasma expansion. A patient who took 70 mg amlodipine and an unknown quantity of benzodiazepine plasma concentration. A case of accidental drug overdose has been documented in a 19-month-old male who ingested 30 mg amlodipine (about 2 mg/kg). During the emergency room presentation, vital signs were stable with no evidence of hypotension, but a heart rate of 180 bpm. Ipecac was administered 3.5 hours after ingestion and on subsequent observation (overnight) no sequelae were noted. If massive overdose should occur, active cardiac and respiratory monitoring should be instituted. Frequent blood pressure measurements are essential. Should hypotension occur, cardiovascular support including elevation of the extremities and the judicious administration of fluids should be initiated. If hypotension remains unresponsive to these conservative measures, administration of vasopressors (such as phenylephrine) should be considered w

Manufactured by:
Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals
Dublin, Ireland

Distributed by:



Rev. 1 October 2004

© 2004 Pfizer Ireland Pharmaceuticals

LN233581 © 2005 Pfizer Inc. All rights reserved



Depressive Symptoms Overlooked in Elderly

'Just asking a

simple question

like "have you

lost interest in

usually like?" is

something that

doesn't take

much time but

can be important.'

things you

BY KATE JOHNSON

Montreal Bureau

ORLANDO — Depression in elderly patients is easily missed by primary care physicians, according to a new study.

'Sometimes family doctors don't have time to screen for depression, and patients

don't put it out on the table," said Dr. Irene Mangani, who presented her findings in a poster at the annual meeting of the Gerontological Society of

These people can be helped with a lot of interventions for depression, not just pharmacological interventions but also psychotherapy and exercise. And we miss these opportunities by not screening them for depression," said

Dr. Mangani, who is a geriatrician at the University of Florence, Italy.

Her investigation included data from the ICARe Dicomano Study, which enrolled two waves of community-dwelling individuals, aged 65 years and older. The first group was enrolled in 1995, the second in

A total of 656 participants (mean age 74 years) completed the 30-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), and their scores were compared with evaluations by primary care physicians who assessed the participants for depressive symptoms.

Using a GDS cutoff of 14 or higher to identify depression, the investigators found that the prevalence of depressive symptoms was 24% in the 1995 wave of participants and 31% in the 1999 wave. However, primary care physicians identi-

fied only a 14% prevalence in the first wave and 11% in the second wave.

"The GDS is not a diagnosis of depression. It is a screening tool that identifies depressive symptoms. But if someone has a GDS score higher than 14, they should be asked about other symptoms of depression because if they are depressed, this condition can be cured and can be dangerous if not taken care of," Dr. Mangani said in an interview.

She said that depression in this population has been linked with higher disability and mortality rates. For this reason, screening is worthwhile, even in the primary care setting where there is so little

"Just asking a simple question like 'have you lost interest in things you usually like?' is something that doesn't take much time but can be important. If they answer yes, you can ask more questions or even give them the GDS screen," she said. ■

Depressed Older Patients Respond To Biofeedback, Case Series Shows

Medical disorders and

bidirectionality in their

effects, so biofeedback to

control heart rate variability

mood state have a

can improve both.

ORLANDO — The use of biofeedback to control heart rate variability could be useful in the treatment of older patients with depression, just as it has been beneficial for patients with asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and various cancers.

"Heart rate variability is probably the best marker of health in general, such that if one has good heart rate variability, one is generally healthy. But should someone

have any health problems, they can learn to improve their heart rate variability and do better," said Leon Hyer, Ph.D., in a poster presentation at the annual meeting of the Gerontological Society of America.

In a pilot study of two depressed women aged 55 and 56 years, Dr. Hyer, a professor of psychiatry at the Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Piscataway, N.J., found that biofeedback training to improve heart rate variability (HRV) resulted in a significant decrease in depressive symptoms. "This has been shown in young, depressed patients but not in older patients," he said in an interview.

The two subjects had 10 biofeedback

sessions in which they were taught, using audio and video feedback from laptop computers, how to regulate their heart rates and breathe at their resonant frequency. They were encouraged to practice often.

At the end of the 10 sessions, both subjects showed a significant decrease in depressive symptoms. Their baseline scores on the Beck Depression Inventory II were 18 and 21, dropping to 0 for one patient by

the study's end. The other patient's score dropped from 21 to 3 by the end of the 4th session, but rose to 11 by the study's end. "Since both medical disorders and mood state have a bidirectionality in their effects, there is a signif-

icant advantage in applying a treatment that can improve both," Dr Hyer said.

Pharmacologic therapy for depression typically has a 60% response rate, but the response is not usually sustained long term. By contrast, biofeedback, combined with cognitive-behavioral training, has been shown to produce sustained responses in other health conditions, he said.

-Kate Johnson