# CLINICAL

## **Colorectal Ca in Asians With Diabetes**

Chinese Singaporeans with diabetes have a 50% greater risk of developing colorectal cancer than nondiabetic, matched controls, Dr. Adeline Seow and her colleagues have reported.

Because the mean body mass index among Asians is 3 kg/m<sup>2</sup> less than that of Americans, the findings suggest that hyperinsulinemia and not BMI in itself might drive the association between the two diseases, wrote Dr. Seow and her coinvestigators from the National University of Singapore (J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2006;98:135-8).

CAPSULES

The researchers examined the incidence of colorectal cancer from 1993 to 1998 in more than 63,000 ethnic Chinese persons in Singapore. All the subjects were cancerfree at baseline, and 9% had diabetes.

After an average follow-up period of 7 years, 636 subjects had developed colorectal cancer. After adjusting for age and gender, the incidence rates were 209/100,000 person-years among diabetics and 140/100,000 person-years among nondiabetics. Compared with nondiabetics, men with diabetes had a 50% higher risk of the cancers, and women

with diabetes had a 40% increased risk.

When all subjects were stratified by BMI, diabetics with a BMI of 20-24 had a 70% higher risk of developing the cancer, but there was no trend suggesting an increasing risk with higher BMIs. There was also a significantly increased risk of cancer among diabetics with higher calorie intake (relative risk 1.8) and lower activity levels (RR 1.5), compared with nondiabetics.

#### T Cells vs. Metastasis in Colorectal Ca

High levels of T cells within tumors correlate with the absence of early metastasis and with prolonged survival of pa-

analysis of resected tumors from 959 surgical patients indicates.

The presence of pathologic signs of early metastatic invasion-vascular emboli, lymphatic invasion, and perineural invasion (VELIPI)—was associated with adverse outcomes during a mean follow-up period of 44.5 months, reported Dr. Franck Pagès of the Cordeliers Biomedical Research Center, Paris, and associates (N. Engl. J. Med. 2005;353:2654-66).

The disease-free survival rate at 5 years was 32.4% in the 702 patients with VELIPI-negative tumors and 12.1% in the 257 patients with VELIPI-positive tumors. The median duration of disease-free survival was 26.9 months for patients with VELIPI-negative tumors and 3.3 months for patients with VELIPI-positive tumors.

VELIPI-positive tumors showed evidence of an impaired immune response as assessed by flow cytometry (39 tumors), low-density-array real-time polymerasechain-reaction assay (75 tumors), and tissue microarrays (415 tumors). For the flow-cytometric analyses, the researchers extracted cells by mechanical dispersion from 39 randomly selected samples. For the PCR assays, the 75 samples analyzed were those of sufficient quality and quantity among 100 randomly selected frozen tumor specimens from the 959-patient cohort. For the tissue microarray analyses, the 415 randomly selected samples were deemed representative of the entire cohort.

of patients in placebo-controlled clinical studies of rosuvastatin are shown in Table 1:

tients with colorectal cancer, a prospective

BRIEF SUMMARY: For full Prescribing Information, see package insert INDICATIONS AND USAGE CRESTOR is indicated: 1. as an adjunct to diet to reduce elevated total-C, LDL-C, ApoB, nonHDL-C, and TG levels and to increase HDL-G naptients with primary hypercholesterolemia (heterozygous tamilia) and mixed dyslipidemia (Fredrickson Type IIa and IIb); 2. as an adjunct to diet for the treatment patients with primary hypercholesterolemia (heterozyogus familial and nonfamilial) and mixed dyslipidemia (Fredrickson Type III); and fully 2. as an adjunct to diet for the treatment of patients with elevated serum T6 levels (Fredrickson Type IV); 3. to reduce LDL-C, total-C, and ApoB in patients with homozyogus familial hypercholesterolemia as an adjunct to other ligid-lovering treatments (e.g., LDL apheresis) or if such treatments are unavailable. CONTRAINDICATIONS CRESTOR is contraindicated in patients with a known hypersensitivity to any component of this product. Rosuwastatin is contraindicated in patients with active liver disease or with unexplained persistent elevations of serum transaminases (see WARNINGS, Liver Enzymes). Pregnancy and Lactotion Atherosclerosis is a chronic process and discontinuation of lipid-lowering drugs during pregnancy should have little impact on the outcome of long-term therapy of primary hypercholesterolemia. Cholesterol and other products of cholesterol biosynthesis are essential components for fetal development (including synthesis of steroids and cell membranes). Since HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors are contraindicated during pregnancy and in nursing mothers. ROSUVASTATIN SHOULD BE ADMINISTERED TO WOMEN OF CHILDBEARING AGE ONLY WHEN SUCH PATIENTS ARE HIGHLY UNLIKELY TO CONCEIVE AND HAVE BEEN INFORMED OF THE POTENTIAL HAZARDS. If the patient becomes pregnant while taking this drug, therapy should be discontinued immediately and the patient apprised of the potential hazard to the fetus. WARNINGS Liver Enzymes HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors are consecutive occasions) in serum transaminases in fixed dose studies was 0.4, 0, 0, and 0.1% in patients who received rosuvastatin 5, 10, 20, and 40 mg, respectively. In most cases, the elevations were transient and resolved or improved on continued therapy or after a brief interruption in therapy. There were two cases of jaundice, for which a relationship to rosuvastatin therapy could not be determined, which resolved after figured in these tion tests be performed before and at 12 weeks following both the mended that liver function tests be performed before and at 12 weeks following both the initiation of therapy and any elevation of dose, and periodically (e.g., semiannually) thereafter. Liver enzyme changes generally occur in the first 3 months of treatment with rosuvastatin. Patients who develop increased transaminase levels should be monitored until the abnormalities have resolved. Should an increase in ALT or AST of >3 times ULN persist, reduction of dose or withdrawal of rosuvastatin is recommended. Rosuvastatin should be used with caution in patients who consume substantial quantities of alcohol and/or have a history of liver disease (see CLINICAL PHARIMACOLOGY, Special Populations, Hepatic Insufficiency). Active liver disease or unexplained persistent transaminase elevations are contraindications to the use of rosuvastatin (see CONTRAINDICATIONS), Myopothy/Rhobdomyolysis Rare cases of rhabdomyolysis with acute renal tailure secondary to myoglobinuria have been reported with rosuvastatin and with other drugs in this class. Uncomplicated myalgia has been reported in rosuvastatin-treated patients (see ADVERSE REACTIONS). Creatine kinase (CK) elevations (>10 times upper limit of normal) occurred in 0.2% to 0.4% of patients taking rosuvastatin at doses up to 40 mg in clinical ADVERSE REACTIONS). Creatine kinase (CK) elevations (<10 times upper limit of normal) cocurred in 0.25 to 0.4% of patients taking rosuvastatin at doses up to 4.0 mg in clinical studies. Treatment-related myopathy, defined as muscle aches or muscle weakness in conjunction with increases in CK values > 10 times upper limit of normal, was reported in up to 0.1% of patients taking rosuvastatin doses of up to 4.0 mg in clinical studies. In clinical trials, the incidence of myopathy and rhabdomyolysis increased at doses of rosuvastatin above the recommended dosage range (5 to 4.0 mg). In opstmarketing experience, effects on skeletal muscle, e.g. uncomplicated myaligia, myopathy and, rarely, rhabdomyolysis have been reported in patients treated with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors including rosuvahave been reported in patients treated with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors including rosuva-statin. As with other HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, reports of rhabdomyolysis with rosuva-statin are rare, but higher at the highest marketed dose (40 mg). Factors that may predispose patients to myopathy with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors include advanced age (865 years), hypothyroidism, and renal insufficiency. Consequently: I. Rosuvastatin should be prescribed with caution in paients with predisposing factors for myopathy, such as, renal impairment (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION), advanced age, and inadequately treated hypothyroidism. 2. Patients should be advised to promptly report unexplained treated hypothyroidism. 2. Patients should be advised to promptly report unexplained muscle pain, tenderness, or veakness, particularly if accompanied by malaise or fever. Rosuvastatin therapy should be discontinued if markedly elevated CK levels occur or myopathy is diagnosed or suspected. 3. The 40 mg dose of rosuvastatin is reserved only for those patients who have not achieved their LDL-C goal utilizing the 20 mg dose of rosuvastatin once daily (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION). 4. The risk of myopathy during treatment with rosuvastatin may be increased with concurrent administration of other lipid-lowering therapies or cyclosporine, (see CLINICAL PHARNACOLOGY, Drug Interactions, PRECAUTIONS, Drug Interactions, and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION). The benefit of rither attacking in Itinial leaves to the compensation used for such as the contraction with fifther attacking in Itinial leaves to the combination used of such as the contraction with fifther attacking in Itinial leaves to the combination of the properties of the pro PRECAUTIONS, Drug Interactions, and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION). The benefit of urther alterations in lipid levels by the combined use of rosuvastatin with fibrates or niacin should be carefully weighed against the potential risks of this combination. Combination therapy with rosuvastatin and gemtifibrazil should generally be avoided. (See DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION and PRECAUTIONS, Drug Interactions). 5 The risk of myopathy during treatment with rosuvastatin may be increased in circumstances which increase rosuvastatin drug levels (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations, Race and Renal Insufficiency, and PRECAUTIONS, General). 6. Rosuvastatin therapy should also be temporarily withheld in any patient with an acute servines condition sunnective of movanity or predisonation to the development of Resurvastatin therapy should also be temporarily withheld in any patient with an acute, serious condition suggestive of myopathy or predisposing to the development of renal failure secondary to rhabdomyolysis (e.g., sepsis, hyoptension, dehydration, major surgery, trauma, severe metabolic, endocrine, and electrolyte disorders, or uncontrolled seizures). PRECAUTIONS General Before instituting therapy with rosuvastatin, an attempt should be made to control hypercholesterolemia with appropriate diet and exercise, weight reduction in obese patients, and treatment of underlying medical problems (see NIDICATIONS AIND USAGE). Administration of rosuvastatin are on gradients with severe renal impairment (CL<sub>pr</sub>-30 mL/min/1.73 m²) resulted in a 3-fold increase in plasma concentrations of rosuvastatin compared with healthy volunteers (see WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhabdomyolysis and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION). The result of a large pharmacokinetic study conducted in the US demonstrated an approximate 2-fold elevation in median exposure in Asian subjects (having either Filipino, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Vietnamese or Asian-Indian origin) compared with a Caucasian control group. This increase should be considered when making rosuvastatin dosing decisions for Asian patients. (See WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhabdomyolysis; CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations, Race, and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION). Information for Patients Patients should be advised to report promptly unexplained muscle pain, tender-Special Populations, Race, and DÖSAĞE AND ADMİNISTRATION.) Information for Potients Patients should be advised to report promptly unexplained muscle pain, tenderness, or weakness, particularly if accompanied by malaise or fever. When taking rossuvastatin with an aluminum and magnesium hydroxide combination antacid, the antacid should be taken at least 2 hours after rossuvastatin administration (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Drug Interactions). Loboratory Tests In the rossuvastatin clinical trial program, dipstick-positive proteinuria and microscopic hematuria were observed among rossuvastatin-treated patients, predominantly in patients dosed above the recommended dose range (i.e., 80 mg). However, this finding was more frequent in patients taking rossuvastatin 40 mg, when compared to lower doses of rossuvastatin or comparator statins, though it was penerally transient and was not associated with vorsening renal function. Although the clinical significance of this finding is unknown, a dose reduction should be considered for patients on rossuvastatin 40 mg therapy with unexplained persistent proteinuria during routine uninalysis testing. Drug Interactions Syclosporine: When rossuvastatin 10 mg was coadministered with cyclosporine in cardiac transplant patients, rossuvastatin 10 mg was coadministered with cyclosporine in cardiac transplant patients, rossuvastatin

10 mg was coadministered with cyclosporine in cardiac transplant patients, rosuvastatin mean  $C_{max}$  and mean AUC were increased 11-fold and 7-fold, respectively, compared with

healthy <sup>viol</sup>unteers. These increases are considered to be clinically significant and require special consideration in the dosing of rosuvastatin to patients taking concomitant

cyclosporine (see WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhabdomyolysis, and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION). Warfarin: Coadministration of rosuvastatin to patients on stable warfarin herapy resulted in clinically significant rises in INR (4-d, baseline 2-3). In patients taking coumarin anticoagulants and rosuvastatin concomitantly, INR should be determined before starting rosuvastatin and frequently enough during early therapy to ensure that no significant alteration of INR occurs. Once a stable INR time has been documented, INR can be monitored at the intervals usually recommended for patients on coumarin anticoagulants. If the dose of rosuvastatin is changed, the same procedure should be repeated. Rosuvastatin therapy has not been associated with bleeding or with changes in INR in patients not taking anticoagulants. Genfibrozil: Coadministration of a single rosuvastatin dose to healthy volunteers on genfibrozil (600 mg twice daily) resulted in a 2-2 and 1-9-fold, respectively, increase in mean Cmax and mean AUC of rosuvastatin (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRA-TION). Endocrine Function Although clinical studies have shown that rosuvastatin alone does not reduce basal plasma cortisol concentration or impair adrenal reserve, caution should be exercised if any HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor or other agent used to lower cholesterol levels is administered concomitantly with drugs that may decrease the levels or activity of endogenous steroid hormones such as ketoconazole, spironolactone, orine (see WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhabdomyolysis, and DOSAGE AND ADMINISlower cholesterol levels is administered concomitantly with drugs that may decrease the levels or activity of endogenous steroid hormones such as ketoconazole, spironolactone, and cimetidine. CNS Toxicity CNS vascular lesions, characterized by perivascular hemorrhages, edema, and mononuclear cell infiltration of perivascular spaces, have been observed in dogs treated with several other members of this drug class. A chemically similar drug in this class produced dose-dependent optic nerve degeneration (Wallerian degeneration of retinogeniculate fibers) in dogs, at a dose that produced plasma drug level about 30 times higher than the mean drug level in humans taking the highest recommended dose. Edema, hemorrhage, and partial necrosis in the interstituum of the choroid plexus was observed in a female dog sacrificed moribund at day 24 at 90 mg/kg/day by oral gavage (systemic excosures 100 times the human excosure at 40 mordsy hased on AUC commandation of the choroid plexus was observed in a female dog sacrificed moribund at day 24 at 90 mg/kg/day by oral gavage (systemic excosures 100 times the human excosure at 40 mg/kg/day by oral gavage (systemic excosures 100 times the human excosure at 40 mg/kg/day by oral gavage observed in a teniale object. The common the day of a 50 migrafype by or a gavage (systemic exposures 100 times the human exposure at 40 mg/day based on AUC compar isons). Corneal opacity was seen in dogs treated for 52 weeks at 6 mg/kg/day by ora gavage (systemic exposures 20 times the human exposure at 40 mg/day based on AUC comparisons). Cataracts were seen in dogs treated for 12 weeks by oral gavage a 30 mg/kg/day (systemic exposures 60 times the human exposure at 40 mg/day based on is). Retinal dysplasia and retinal loss were seen in doos treated for 4 week



by oral gavage at 90 mg/kg/day (systemic exposures 100 times the human exposure at 40 mg/day based on AUC). Doses A30 mg/kg/day (systemic exposures A60 times the human exposure at 40 mg/day based on AUC comparisons) following treatment up to one year, did not reveal retinal findings. Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility in a 104-week carcinogenicity study in rats at dose levels of 2, 20, 60, or 80 mg/kg/day by oral gavage, the incidence of uterine stromal polyps was significantly increased in femleat a 80 mg/kg/day at systemic exposure 20 times the human exposure at 40 mg/day based on AUC. Increased incidence of polyps was not seen at lower doses. In a 107-week carcinogenicity study in mice given 10, 60, 200 mg/kg/day by oral gavage, an increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma was observed a AUC. An increased at systemic sources 20 times increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma was observed and AUC. An increased at systemic sources 20 times increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma was observed and AUC. An increased at systemic sources 20 times increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma was observed and AUC. An increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma was observed and AUC. An increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma was observed and AUC. An increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma was observed and AUC. An increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma was observed and AUC. An increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma/carcinoma  increased incluence or neparocellular audionitarationiting was observed at 200 milygydup at stystemic pospusers 20 times human exposure at 40 mg/day based on AUC. An increased incidence of hepatocellular tumors was not seen at lower doses. Rosuvastatin was not mutagenic or clastogenic with or without metabolic activation in the Ames test with Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli, the mouse lymphoma assay, and the chromosomal aberration assay in Ohinese hamster lung cells. Rosuvastatin was negative in the in vivo mouse micronucleus test. In rat fertility studies with oral gavage doses of 5, 15, mosomal aberration assay in Chinese hamster lung cells. Rosuvastatin was negative in the 
in vivo mouse micronucleus test. In rat fertility studies with oral gavage doses of 5, 15, 
50 mg/kg/day, males were treated for 9 weeks prior to and throughout mating and females 
were treated 2 weeks prior to mating and throughout mating and females 
were treated 2 weeks prior to mating and throughout mating until gestation day 7. No 
adverse effect on fertility was observed at 50 mg/kg/day (systemic exposures up to 10 times 
bruman exposure at 40 mg/day based on AUC comparisons). In testicles of dogs treated 
with rosuvastatin at 30 mg/kg/day for one month, spermatidic glant cells were seen. 
Spermatidic glant cells were observed in monkeys after 6-month treatment at 30 mg/kg/day 
in addition to vacuolation of seminiferous tubular epithelium. Exposures in the dog were 20 
times and in the monkey 10 times human exposure at 40 mg/day based on body surface 
area comparisons. Similar findings have been seen with other drugs in this class. 
Pregnancy Pregnancy Category X See CONTRAINDICATIONS. Rosuvastatin may cause 
fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman. Rosuvastatin is contraindicated in 
women who are or may become pregnant. Safety in pregnant women has not bene established. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of rosuvastatin in pregnant 
women. Rosuvastatin crosses the placenta and is found in fetal tissue and amminotic fluid at 
3% and 20%, respectively, of the maternal plasma concentration following a single 
25 mg/kg oral gavage dose on gestation day 16 in rats. A higher fetal tissue distribution 
(25% maternal plasma concentration) was observed in rabbits after a single oral gavage 
dose of 1 mg/kg on gestation day 18. If this drug is administered to a woman with reproductive potential, the patient should be apprised of the potential hazard to a fetus. In female 
tast given oral gavage doses of 5, 15, 50 mg/kg/day rosuvastatin before mating and continting through day 7 postcoitus result teratogenic in rats at A25 mg/kg/day or in rabbits A3 mg/kg/day (systemic exposures equivalent to human exposure at 40 mg/day based on AUC or body surface comparison, respec arent to human exposure at 4 mingular passes of indice to most shate excited in human milk. Studies in lactating rats have demonstrated that rosuvastatin is secreted into breast milk at levels 3 times higher than that obtained in the plasma following oral gavage dosing. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk and because of the potential for serious adverse reactions in nursing infants from rosuvastatin, a decision should be made whether to discontinue nursing or administration of rosuvastatin taking into account the importance of the drug to the lactating woman. **Pediatric Use** The safety and effectiveness in pedi of the drug to the lactating woman. **Pedictric Use** The safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established. Treatment experience with rosuvastatin in a pediatric population is limited to 8 patients with homozygous FH. None of these patients was below 8 years of age. **Gerictric Use** Of the 10,275 patients in clinical studies with rosuvastatin, 3,159 (31%) were 65 years and older, and 698 (6.8%) were 75 years and older. The overall frequency of adverse events and types of adverse events were similar in patients above and below 65 years of age. (See WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhabdomyolysis.) The efficacy of rosuvastatin in the geriatric population (1865 years of age) was comparable to the efficacy of bear of adverse reactions have usually been mild and transient. In clinical studies of 10,275 patients, 3.7% were discontinued due to adverse experiences attributable to rosuvastatin. The most frequent adverse events thought to be related to rosuvastatin were myalgia, constipation, asthenia, abdominal pain, and nausea. **Clinical Adverse Experiences** Adverse experiences, regardless of causality assessment, reported in 82%

## discontinuations due to adverse events in these studies of up to 12 weeks duration occurred in 3% of patients on rosuvastatin and 5% on placebo. Table 1. Adverse Events in Placebo-Controlled Studies Pharyngitis Headache 9.0 5.5 3.4 3.4 2.8 2.7 2.6 2.3 7.6 5.0 2.9 3.1 3.1 1.3 Back pain Urinary tract infection Rhinitis 2.3 2.2 2.0 the following adverse events wer

Sinustits 2.0
In addition, the following adverse events were reported, regardless of causality assessment, in B1% of 10,275 patients treated with rosuvastatin in clinical studies. The events in Italias occurred in E2% of these patients. Body as a Whole: Abdominal pain, accidental injury, chest pain, infection, pain, pelvic pain, and neck pain. Earlyiny, chest pain, infection, pain, pelvic pain, and neck pain. Earlyiny, chest pain, infection, pain, pelvic pain, and neck pain. Earlying astritis. Endocrine: Diabetes mellitus. Hemie and Lymphatic System: Anemia and ecthymosis. Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders: Peripheral edema. Musculoskeletal System: Arthritis, arthralgia, and pathological Tracture. Nervous System: Dizziness, insommia, hypertonia, paresthesis, depression, anxiety, vertigo, and neuralgia. Respiratory System: Bronchitis, cough increased, dyspnea, pneumonia, and asthma. Skin and Appendages: Rash and pruritus. Laboratory Abnormalities: In the rosuvastatin clinical trial program, dipstick-positive proteinuria and microscopic hematuria were observed among rosuvastatin-treated patients, predominantly in patients dosed above the recommended dose range (i.e., 80 mg). However, this finding was more frequent in patients taking rosuvastatin 40 mg, when compared to lower doses of rosuvastatin or comparator statins, though it was generally transient and was not associated with worsening renal function. (See PRECAUTIONS, Laboratory Tests,) Other abnormal labrotory values reported were elevated creatinine phosphokinase, transaminases, hyperglycemia, glutamyl transpeptidase, alkaline phosphatase, bilirubin, and thyroid function abnormalities. Other adverse events reported less requently than 1% in the rosuvastation clinical study program, regardless of causality assessment, included arrhythmia, hepatitis, hypersensitivity reactions (i.e., face edema, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, vesiculobullous rash, urticaria, and anjoedema), kidnery falure, spropoe, myasthenia, myositis, pancreatitis, photosensitivity reacti reaction, myopathy, and mabdomyolysis. Postmarketing Experience in addition to the events reported above, as with other drugs in this class, the following event has been reported during post-marketing experience with CRESTOR, regardless of causality assessment very rare cases of jaundice. OVERDOSAGE There is no specific treatment in the event of overdose. In the event of overdose, the patient should be treated symptomatically and supportive measures instituted as required. Hemodialysis does not significantly enhance clearance of rosuvastatin. DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION The patient should be placed on a standard cholesterol-lowering diet before receiving CRESTOR and should continue on this diet during treatment. CRESTOR can be administered as a single dose at any time of day with or without four three-problesterolemia. and should continue on tims diet during treatment. CRESTOM can be administered a single dose at any time of day, with or without food. Hypercholesterolem (Heterozygous Familial and Nonfamilial) and Mixed Dyslipidem (Fredrickson Type III and IIIb) The dose range for CRESTOR is 5 to 40 mg or daily. Therapy with CRESTOR should be individualized according to goal of therapy aresponse. The usual recommended starting dose of CRESTOR is 10 mg once dal However, initiation of therapy with 5 mg once daily should be considered for patie requiring less aggressive LDL-C reductions, who have predisposing factors for myopat and an eaded befour for pacie inquisitions evide a petident before celeporation. As however, initiation of therapy with 5 mg once daily should be considered for patients requiring less aggresse LDL-C reductions, who have predisposing factors for myopathy, and as noted below for special populations such as patients taking cyclosporine, Asian patients, and patients with severe renal insufficiency (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Race, and Renal Insufficiency, and Drug Interactions. For patients with marked hyperchelesterlemia (LDL-C + 190 mydit) and aggressive ligit largets, a 20-mg starting does represented within 2 to 4 weeks and dosage adjusted accordingly. The 40-mg dose of CRESTOR is reserved only for those patients who have not achieved their LDL-C goal utilizing the 20 mg dose of CRESTOR once daily (see WARNINGS, Myopathy) Rhabdomyolysis). When initiating statin therapy or switching from another statin therapy, the appropriate CRESTOR starting dose should first be utilized, and only then titrated according to the patient's individualized goal of therapy. Homozygous Familich Hypercholesterolemic The recommended stating dose of CRESTOR is some daily in patients with homozygous FH. The maximum recommended daily dose is 40 mg. CRESTOR should be used in these patients as an adjunct to other lipid-lowering treatments (e.g., LDL aphresis) of if such treatments are unavailable. Response to therapy is 40 mg. CRESTOR should be used in these patients as an adjunct to other lipid-lowering treatments (e.g., LDL aphressis) or if such treatments are unavailable. Response to therapy should be estimated from pre-aphresis LDL-C levels. **Dosage in Asian Patients** Initiation of CRESTOR therapy with 5 mg once daily should be considered for Asian patients. The potential for increased systemic exposures relative to Caucasians is relevant when considering escalation of dose in cases where hypercholestroelmais not adequately controlled at doses of 5, 10, or 20 mg once daily. (See WARNINGS, Myopathy/ Rhabdomyolysis, CLINIOAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations, Race, and PRECAU-Rhabdomyolysis, CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations, Race, and PRECAUTIONS, General) Dosage in Pofients Taking Cyclosporine In patients taking cyclosporine, therapy should be limited to CRESTOR 5 mg once daily (see WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhabdomyolysis, and PRECAUTIONS, Drug Interactions). Concomitant Lipid-Lowering Therapy The effect of CRESTOR on LDL-C and total-C may be enhanced when used in combination with a bile acid binding resin. If CRESTOR is used in combination with gerniforcal, the dose of CRESTOR should be limited to 10 mg once daily (see WARNINGS, Myopathy/Rhabdomyolysis, and PRECAUTIONS, Drug Interactions). Dosage in Patients with Renal Insufficiency, For patients with severe renal impairment (CL<sub>cr</sub> <30 mL/min/1.73 m²) not on hemodialysis, dosing of CRESTOR should be started at 5 mg once daily and not to exceed 10 mg once daily (see PRECAUTIONS, General, and CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations, Renal Insufficiency).

Rx only

References: 1. Wolffenbuttel BHR, Franken AAM, Vincent HH, on behalf of the Dutch CORALL study group.

References: 1. Wolffenbuttel BHR, Franken AAM, Vincent HH, on behalf of the Dutch CORALL study group.

Clookalter-lowering effects of rosuwastatin compared with atorvastatin in patients with type 2 diabetes –

CORALL study. Jintern Med. 2005;257:331-539. 2. Julienna JW, Liem AH, Dunselman PHJM, ket al. on behalf of the RADARS study [poster]. Presented at 74th European Atheroscierosis Society Congress, April 7-20, 2004; Seville, Spain a. 3. Jones PH. Dudvison MH, Sinsin EA, et al. Comparison of the efficiency adalety of rosuwastatin versus atorvastatin, simvastatin, and pravastatin across doses (STELLAR Trial).

An J. Cardiol. 2003;315:2-16. 4. Prescribing Information for CRESTOR AstraZenea. Willimpion.

5. Shepherd J, Hunninghake DB, Stein EA, et al. Safety of rosuvastatin num J. Cardiol. 2004;94:882-888.

6. Rosuvastatin Information Web site. Rosuvastatin Clinical Information -Postmarketing Eperience, Safety Information. Available at. http://www.rosuvstatininformation.com. Accessed July 19, 2005. 7. Data on file, DA-CRS-OI.

CRESTOR is a registered trademark of the AstraZeneca group of companies

Please visit our Web site at www.crestor.com

Phase visit our Web site at www.crestor.com @AstraZenea 2005 Ucensed from SHIONOGI & CO., LTD., Osaka, Japan Manufactured for: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. Wilmington, DE 19850 By IPR Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

AstraZeneca 2

### Pancreatitis or Pancreatic Ca?

A serum assay that quantifies the MUC1 mucin-type glycoprotein is useful in differentiating between pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer, a study has shown.

The new assay is more sensitive and specific than the standard CA19-9 immunoassay, researchers at the Garden State Cancer Center in Belleville, N.J., reported. The CA19-9 antigen is an oligosaccharide present within the MUC1 mucintype glycoprotein David V. Gold, Ph.D., and his colleagues used an in vitro enzyme immunoassay with monoclonal PAM4 as the capture reagent and a polyclonal MUC1 antibody as the probe to evaluate sera from 43 healthy subjects, 53 pancreatic cancer patients, and 87 patients with pancreatitis (J. Clin. Oncol. 2006;24:1-7).

Using a cutoff of 10.2 units/mL, 77% of cancer patients, 5% of patients with pancreatitis, and none of the healthy subjects tested positive with the PAM4-based assay.

The study also included 81 patients with malignancies other than pancreatic cancer that express the MUC1 antigen. Colorectal cancer most often tested positive with the PAM4-based assay; 5 of 36 patients with colorectal cancer tested positive.

The sensitivity and specificity of the assay for pancreatic cancer were 77% and 95%, respectively. A direct comparison of the ability of the PAM4 and CA19-9 immunoassays to discriminate between cancer and pancreatitis resulted in a significant difference, "with the PAM4 immunoassay demonstrating superior sensitivity and specificity." This is because PAM4 "demonstrates a more restrictive reactivity for pancreatic cancer," Dr. Gold and his associates wrote.

The potential role of the PAM4-based immunoassay in detection of early pancreatic tumors remains to be determined.

-From staff reports