# Atherothrombosis Linked to Major CV Events

BY BRUCE JANCIN

Denver Bureau

ATLANTA — Stable outpatients with atherothrombosis who receive contemporary therapy have a "staggeringly high" one in eight risk of a major adverse cardiovascular event within 1 year, Dr. P. Gabriel Steg reported at the annual meeting of the American College of Cardiology.

A key finding of his initial report from the 68,000-patient Reduction of Atherothrombosis for Continued Health (REACH) registry was that this event risk increased in stepwise fashion with the number of symptomatic vascular beds.

"The overlap between symptomatic vascular beds is what really drives the event rates and takes them very high," observed Dr. Steg, professor of cardiology at the University of Paris and director of the coronary care unit at Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital.

The clinical implication for physicians is

that they need to avoid interpreting atherothrombosis solely from the perspective of their particular specialty, be it cardiology, neurology, or vascular medicine. "We have to stop viewing our patients with atherothrombosis as being affected with one disease. Instead, we have to view the disease as a global disease affecting all vascular beds, and we have to seek, identify, and treat the other vascular locations," he stressed.

REACH is an ongoing real-world reg-

Takeda

istry of patients in 44 countries, including 28,000 patients from North America and 18,000 from Europe. It was designed to characterize the burden of atherothrombosis in stable outpatients who were managed mainly in primary care settings. Indeed, 70% of the participants were recruited by family physicians and internists, who were encouraged to enroll patients consecutively. There is a paucity of data on such patients-most large studies of atherothrombosis have been confined to patients during or shortly after hospitalization for MI or stroke.

Participants in REACH had to have a history of symptomatic coronary artery, cerebrovascular, or peripheral vascular disease, or at least three risk factors. Twothirds had symptomatic disease in one arterial bed, 16% had polyvascular disease, and 18% had risk factors alone.

'We have to stop viewing our patients with atherothrombosis as being affected with one disease.' This is 'a global disease affecting all vascular beds.'

Dr. Steg characterized the medical management REACH participants as "pretty good," with three-quarters on a statin, half on a β-blocker, half on an ACE inhibitor, onequarter on an angiotensin receptor blocker, and three-quar-

ters on antiplatelet therapy, mainly aspirin

The 1-year composite rate of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI or stroke, or hospitalization for an ischemic event was 5% in patients with multiple risk factors only, and two- to threefold greater in patients with symptomatic disease in one vascular bed. One in four patients with symptomatic polyvascular disease experienced the composite end point in the first year.

Restricting the analysis to "hard" cardiovascular events—death, MI, or stroke the 1-year incidence was 1.5% in patients with risk factors only, 3.4% in those with symptomatic disease in either the coronary, cerebral, or peripheral arteries, 5.7% in patients with symptomatic disease in two vascular beds, and 7.1% in those with documented disease in three arterial beds.

Five percent of patients with documented coronary artery disease underwent coronary revascularization within 1 year. In patients with cerebrovascular disease, 1.1% had carotid stenting or surgery. And more than 11% of patients with baseline documented peripheral arterial disease required peripheral angioplasty, stenting, vascular surgery, or amputation of a lower limb. "The 1-year 1.3% amputation rate came as a surprise," Dr. Steg said.

The event rates varied markedly by geography, with Eastern Europeans and Middle Easterners consistently having the

REACH, sponsored by Sanofi-Aventis and Bristol-Myers Squibb, will continue for 4 years of follow-up and will include intervention phases.  $\bar{Dr}$ . Steg is a consultant to the sponsors.

## **O**Rozerem.

Brief Summary of Prescribing Information 05-1114

### R0ZEREM™

INDICATIONS AND USAGE
ROZEREM is indicated for the treatment of insomnia characterized by diffi-culty with sleep onset.

CONTRAINDICATIONS
ROZZERM is contraindicated in patients with a hypersensitivity to ramelteon or any components of the ROZZEREM formulation.

RUZENEW SOUR PROJECT OF THE ROZENEW TOTAL STATES AND THE PROSENCE AS THE PROSE

CAUTIONS: Urug Interactions).

A variety of cognitive and behavior changes have been reported to occur in association with the use of hypnotics. In primarily depressed patients, worsening of depression, including suicidal ideation, has been reported in association with the use of hypnotics.

Patients should avoid engaging in hazardous activities that require concentra-tion (such as operating a motor vehicle or heavy machinery) after taking ROZEREM.

After taking ROZEREM, patients should confine their activities to those neces sary to prepare for bed.

sary to prepare for bed.

PRECAUTIONS
General

ROZETEM has not been studied in subjects with severe sleep apnea or severe COPD and is not recommended for use in those populations.

Patients should be advised to exercise caution if they consume alcohol in combination with ROZETEM.

combination with ROZEREM.

\*\*Use in Adolescents and Children\*\*
ROZEREM has been associated with an effect on reproductive hormones in adults, e.g. decreased testosterone levels and increased prolactin levels. It is not known what effect chronic or even chronic intermittent use of ROZEREM may have on the reproductive axis in developing humans (see \*Pediatric Use)\*\* Information for Patients\*\*
Patients should be advised to take ROZEREM within 30 minutes prior to going to be da and should confine their activities to those necessary to prepare for bed.

for bed.

Patients should be advised to avoid engaging in hazardous activities (such as operating a motor vehicle or heavy machinery) after taking ROZEREM.

Patients should be advised that they should not take ROZEREM with or immediately after a high fat meal.

Patients should be advised to consult their health care provider if they experience worsening of insomnia or any new behavioral signs or symptoms of concern.

concern.
Patients should consult their health care provider if they experience one of
the following: cessation of menses or galactorrhea in females, decreased
libido, or problems with fertility.
Laboratory Tests
No standard monitoring is required.

The devels should be considered as appropriate.

\*\*Purg Interactions\*\*

ROZEREM has a highly variable inter-subject pharmacokinetic profile (approximately 100% coefficient of variation in C<sub>max</sub> and AUC). As noted above, CYP1A2 is the major isozyme involved in the metabolism of ROZEREM; the CYP2C subfamily and CYP3A4 isozymes are also involved to a minor degree.

\*\*Effects of Other Drugs on ROZEREM Metabolism\*\*

Fluvoxamine (strong CYP1A2 inhibitor). When fluvoxamine 100 mg twice daily was administered for 3 days prior to single-dose co-administration of the control of

Effects of Other Drugs on ROZEREM Metabolism Fluvoxamine (strong CYP1A2 inhibitor): When fluvoxamine (strong CYP1A2 inhibitor): When fluvoxamine 100 mg twice daily was administered for 3 days prior to single-dose co-administration of ROZEREM administered for 3 days prior to single-dose co-administration of ROZEREM administered alone. ROZEREM should not be used in combination with fluvoxamine (See WARNINGS). Other less potent CYP1A2 inhibitors have not been adequately studied. ROZEREM should be administrated with caution to patients taking less strong CYP1A2 inhibitors. Rilampin (strong CYP enzyme inducer): Administration of rifampin 600 mg once daily for 11 days resulted in a mean decrease of approximately 80% (40% to 90%) in total exposure to ramelteen and metabolise M-II, (both AUC<sub>sult</sub> and C<sub>max</sub>) after a single 32 mg dose of ROZEREM. Efficacy may be reduced when ROZEREM is used in combination with strong CYP enzyme inducers such as rifampin.

\*\*Retoconazole (strong CYP3A4 inhibitor): The AUC<sub>sult</sub> and C<sub>max</sub> of ramelteon increased by approximately 84% and 36%, respectively, when a single 16 mg dose of ROZEREM was administered on the fourth day of ketoconazole 200 mg twice daily administrateriol with caution in subjects taking strong CYP3A4 inhibitors such as ketoconazole.

\*\*RUZEREM should be administered with caution in subjects taking strong CYP3A4 inhibitors such as ketoconazole.

\*\*RUZEREM should be administered with caution in subjects taking strong CYP3A4 inhibitors such as ketoconazole.

\*\*RUZEREM should be administered with caution in subjects taking strong CYP2C9 inhibitors such as fluconazole.

\*\*RUZEREM should be administered with caution in subjects taking strong CYP2C9 inhibitors such as fluconazole.

\*\*Interaction studies of concomitant administration of ROZEREM with flucoxeting (CYP2C9 inhibitor appearable).

\*\*RUZEREM should be administered with caution in subjects taking strong CYP2C9 inhibitors such as fluconazole.

Interaction studies of concomitant administration of ROZEREM with fluoxe tine (CYP2D6 inhibitor), omeprazole (CYP1A2 inducer/CYP2C19 inhibitor), theophylline (CYP1A2 substrate), and dextromethorphan (CYP2D6 substration of the continual meaningful changes in either peak or total expo-sures to ramelteon or the M-II metabolite.

Sures to Janeticulo II on Invital Intercology and Concentration of Other Drugs Concomitant administration of ROZEREM with omeprazole (CYP2C19 substrate), etcomethorophan (CYP2D6 substrate), indiazolam (CYP3A4 substrate), theophylline (CYP1A2 substrate), dipoxin (p-glycoprotein substrate), and overafran (CYP2C8 [SICYP1A2 (R] substrate) and warfarin (CYP2C8 [SICYP1A2 (R] substrate) and variant (CYP2C8 [SICYP1A2 (R] substrate) did not produce clinically meaningful changes in peak and total exposures to these drugs.

Effect of Alcohol on Rozerem Alcohol: With single-dose, daytime co-administration of ROZEREM 32 mg and alcohol (0.6 g/kg), there were no clinically meaningful or statistically sig-

Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, and Impairment of Fertility
Carcinogenesis
In a two-year carcinogenicity study, B6C3F, mice were administered ramelteor
at doses of 0, 30, 100, 300, or 1000 mg/kg/day by oral gavage. Male mice
exhibited a dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatic tumors at dose
levels ≥ 100 mg/kg/day including hepatic adenoma, hepatic carcinoma, and
hepatoblastoma. Female mice developed a dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatic adenomas at dose levels ≥ 300 mg/kg/day and hepatic
carcinoma at the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. The no-effect level for hepatic
tumors in male mice was 30 mg/kg/day (103-times and 3-times the therapeu
tic exposure to ramelteon and the active metabolite M-II, respectively, at the
maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) based on an area-under-thecurve (IAUC) comparison). The no-effect level for hepatic tumors in female
mice was 100 mg/kg/day (827-times and 12-times the therapeutic exposure
to ramelteon and M-II, respectively, at the MRHD based on AUC).
In a two-year carcinogenicity study conducted in the Sprague-Dawley rat,
male and female rats were administered ramelteon at doses of 0, 15, 60,
250 or 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. Female rats exhibited a dose-related
increase in the incidence of hepatic adenoma and benign Leydig cell tumors
of the testis at dose levels ≥ 250 mg/kg/day dose level. Female rats witholited a dose-related increase in
the incidence of hepatic adenoma at dose levels ≥ 60 mg/kg/day and hepatic
carcinoma at the
1000 mg/kg/day dose level. Female rats exhibited a dose-related increase in
the the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. Female rats witholited a dose-related increase in
the the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. Female rats was 50 mg/kg/day and
hepatic carcinoma at the
1000 mg/kg/day dose level. Female rats was 50 mg/kg/day
fully and the patic tumors in related increase in the incidence of hepatic adenoma at dose levels ≥ 60 mg/kg/day
fully carcinoma at the following kg/day dose level. Female rats was 15 mg/kg/day (47-times and 16-times the
the

bread peutic exposure to rathereteria and wint, respectively, at the winnib based on ALC). The development of hepatic tumors in rodents following chronic treatment with non-genotoxic compounds may be secondary to microsomal enzyme induction, a mechanism for tumor generation not thought to occur in humans. Leydig cell tumor development following treatment with non-genotoxic compounds in rodents has been linked to reductions in circulating testosterone levels with compensatory increases in lutelinizing hormone release, which is a known proliferative stimulus to Leydig cells in the rat testis. Rat Leydig cells are more sensitive to the stimulatory effects of lutelinizing hormone enhan human Leydig cells. In mechanistic studies conducted in the rat, daily ramelteno administration at 250 and 1000 mg/kg/day for 4 weeks was associated with a reduction in plasma testosterone levels. In the same study, lutelinizing hormone levels were elevated over a 24 hour period after the last ramelteon treatment; however, the durability of this lutelinizing hormone finding and its support for the proposed mechanistic explanation was not clearly established.

the genotoxic potential of the M-II metabolite was also assessed in these studies.

Impairment of Fertility
Ramelteon was administered to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats in an initial fertility and early embryonic development study at dose levels of 6,80, or 600 mg/kg/day. No effects on male or female mating or fertility were observed with a ramelteon dose up to 600 mg/kg/day (786-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Irregular estrus cycles, reduction in the number of miplants, and reduction in the number of in live mbryos were noted with dosing females at ≥ 60 mg/kg/day (79-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). A reduction in the number of otip entry of the male rate of the male of the male rate of the mg/mg/day to the same study duration, females demonstrated irregular estrus cycles with doses. 260 mg/kg/day, but no effects were seen on implantation or embryo viability. The no-effect dose for fertility endpoints was 20 mg/kg/day in females (26-times the MRHD) on a mg/m² basis) when considering all studies.

Pregnancy: Pregnancy Category C Ramelteon has been shown to be a developmental teratogen in the rat when given in doses 197 times higher than the maximum recommended human dose [MRHD] on a mg/m² basis. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Ramelteon should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit light six to the fetus.

The effects of ramelteon on embryo-fatal development were assessed in both the rat and rate of the maximum recommended hum

studies in pregnant women. Hameteon should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the flexi. The effects of ramelteon on embryo-fetal development were assessed in both the rat and rabbit. Pregnant rats were administered ramelteon by oral gavage at doses of 0. 10. 40, 150, or 600 mg/kg/day during gestation days 6-17, which is the period of organogenesis in this species. Evidence of maternal toxicity and fetal teratogenicity was observed at doses greater than or equal to 150 mg/kg/day. Maternal toxicity was chiefly characterized by decreased obdy weight and, at 600 mg/kg/day, ataxia and decreased spontaneous movement. At maternally toxic doses (150 mg/kg/day at exit and decreased spontaneous movement. At maternally toxic doses (150 mg/kg/day) reductor, the fetuses demonstrated visceral matformations consisting of diaphragmatic hernia and minor anatomical variations of the skeleton (irregularly shaped scapula). At 600 mg/kg/day, reductions in fetal body weights and malformations including cysts on the external genitalia were additionally observed. The no-effect level for teratogenicity in this study was 40 mg/kg/day (1.892-times and 45-times higher than the therapeutic exposure to ramelteon and the active metabolite M-II, respectively, at the MHPID based on an area-under-the-curve (AUC) comparison). Pregnant rabbits were administered ramelteon by oral gavage at doses of 0.12, 60, or 300 mg/kg/day (1.892-times of fetal effects or teratogenicity was associated with any dose level. The no-effect level for teratogenicity was associated with any dose level. The no-effect level for teratogenicity was, therefore, 300 mg/kg/day (11.862-times and 99-times

higher than the therapeutic exposure to ramelteon and M-II, respectively, at the MRHD based on AUC). 
The effects of ramelteon on pre- and post-natal development in the rat were studied by administration of ramelteon to the pregnant rat by oral gavage at doses of 0, 30, 100, or 300 mg/kg/day from day 6 of pestation through parturition to postnatal (lacation) day 21, at which time offspring were weaned. Maternal toxicity was noted at doses of 100 mg/kg/day or greater and consisted of reduced body weight gain and increased autrenal gland weight. Reduced body weight during the post-weaning period was also noticed in the offspring of the groups given 100 mg/kg/day and higher. Offspring in the 300 mg/kg/day group demonstrated physical and developmental delays including delayed cruption of the lower incisors, a delayed acquisition of the righting reflex, and an alteration of emotional response. These delays are often observed in the presence of reduced offspring body weight but may its libe indicative of developmental delay. An apparent decrease in the viability of offspring in the 300 mg/kg/day group also showed evidence of diaphragmatic hernia, a finding observed in the metry of-fetal development study previously described. There were no effects on the reproductive capacity of offspring and the resulting progeny were not different from those of vehicle-treated offspring. The no-effect level for pre- and postnatal development in this study was 30 mg/kg/day (30-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Labor and Delivery

Pediatric Use Safety and effectiveness of ROZEREM in pediatric patients have not been established. Further study is needed prior to determining that this product may be used safely in pre-pubescent and pubescent patients.

may be used sately in pre-publishent and publishent may be definated by Geriatric Use. A total of 654 subjects in double-blind, placebo-controlled, efficacy trials who received ROZEREM were at least 65 years of age, of these, 199 were 75 years of age or older. No overall differences in safety or efficacy were observed between elderly and younger adult subjects. ADVERSE REACTIONS Overview

including 346 exposed for 6 months or longer, and 473 subjects for one year.

Adverse Reactions Resulting in Discontinuation of Treatment Five percent of the 3594 individual subjects exposed to ROZEREM in clinical studies discontinued treatment owing to an adverse event, compared with 2% of the 1370 subjects receiving placebo. The most frequent adverse events leading to discontinuation in subjects receiving ROZEREM were somnolence (0.8%), dizziness (0.5%), nausea (0.3%), fatigue (0.3%), headache (0.3%), and insommia (0.3%).

ROZEREM Most Commonly Observed Adverse Events in Phase 1-3 trials The incidence of adverse events during the Phase 1 through 3 trials (% placebo, n=1370°, rameleon [8 mg], n=1250) were: headache NOS (7%, 7%), somnolence (3%, 5%), fatigue (2%, 4%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrimes NOS (2%, 2%), mypaiga (1%, 2%), depression (1%, 2%), disconsist (1%, 2%), affirma (0.1%), somnolence (3%, 5%), diarrimes (3%, 5%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrimes (3%, 5%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrimes (3%, 5%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrimes (3%, 5%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrimes (3%, 5%), and (3%, 5%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrimes (3%, 5%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrimes (3%, 5%), and and 3% of the NOS (3%, 5%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrimes (3%, 5%), and and 3% of the NOS (3%), and 3% of the NOS (3%),

Information.

Animal Data. Ramelteon did not produce any signals from animal behavioral studies indicating that the drup produces revending effects. Monkeys did not self-administer ramelteon and the drug did not induce a conditioned place preference in rats. There was no generalization between ramelteon and midazolam. Ramelteon did not affect rotorod performance, an indicator of disruption of motor function, and it did not potentiate the ability of diazepam to interfere with rotorod performance.

Discontinuation of ramelteon in animals or in humans after chronic administration did not produce withdrawal signs. Ramelteon does not appear to produce physical dependence.

OVERDOSAGE

produce physical dependence.

OVERDOSAGE
Signs and Symptoms
No cases of ROZEREM overdose have been reported during clinical develop-

ment. ROZEREM was administered in single doses up to 160 mg in an abuse liabil-ity trial. No safety or tolerability concerns were seen.

ity trial. No safety or tolerability concerns were seen.

Recommended Treatment

General symptomatic and supportive measures should be used, along with immediate gastric lavage where appropriate. Intravenous fluids should be administered as needed. As in all cases of drug overdose, respiration, pulse, blood pressure, and other appropriate vital signs should be monitored, and general supportive measures employed.

Hemodialysis does not effectively reduce exposure to ROZEREM. Therefore, the use of dialysis in the treatment of overdosage is not appropriate.

Poison Control Center

As with the management of all overdosage, the possibility of multiple drug ingestion should be considered. The physician may contact a poison control center for current information on the management of overdosage.

Manufactured by: Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited 540-8645 Osaka, JAPAN

Takeda Heron-Kilruddery, County Wickiuw, Marketed by: Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. 475 Half Day Road Lincolnshire, IL 60069

©2006 Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America, Inc.

SS02-0161-1