# Ceftriaxone Is No Match for Pediatric Mastoiditis

BY JANE SALODOF MACNEIL

Southwest Bureau

SAN FRANCISCO — Ceftriaxone by itself is not sufficient for acute or chronic pediatric mastoiditis, according to a group of emergency physicians who compared cases treated before and after adoption of the pneumococcal conjugate vaccine.

The proportion of Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates that were resistant to ceftriaxone increased from 7% in the prePCV era to 30% afterward, Dr. Dewesh Agrawal reported in a poster at the annual meeting of the Pediatric Academic

Although S. pneumoniae remained the most frequent cause of acute mastoiditis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa was found in five of seven chronic cases in which children had ear disease for more than 3 weeks before coming to the emergency department. In addition, P. aeruginosa was the second most common cause of acute mastoiditis.

"That's a really bad bug, and ceftriaxone isn't good enough for that," Dr. Agrawal, of the Children's National Medical Center in Washington, said in an interview with this newspaper.

The study compared 68 cases seen from January 1995 through December 2000 with 54 cases seen from January 2005 through April 2005. Patients ranged in age from 30 days to 18 years with a median age of 5 years. Over half (54%) were

(Takeda)

All told, 93 patients (76%) had acute mastoiditis, and 29 patients (24%) had chronic mastoiditis.

In all, 75 children (61%) went on to have surgery; among these, myringotomy tubes were placed in ears (57 children) and/or mastoidectomy was performed (56 children).

The investigators were able to determine the etiologic agents causing mastoiditis in 60 children (49%). The other pathogens that they identified in the study were Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus pyogenes, and Haemophilus influenzae (acute only).

Dr. Agrawal said the investigators were surprised to find that the proportion of mastoiditis cases caused by S. pneumoniae did not decrease in the PCV era. S. pneumoniae accounted for 21% (14 of 68) of the early cases and 19% (10 of 54) of the later cases.

He speculated that the study may have been done "too soon" in that many of the older children in the post–PCV-era cohort turned out not to have been given the

The investigators found that physicians were much more likely to choose empirical parenteral combination therapy with ceftriaxone when treating acute mastoiditis: It was used in 49% of acute cases vs. 10% of chronic cases.

Empirical parenteral combination therapy with ceftriaxone was used more often in the post-PCV era as well (57% of the later cases vs. 24% in the earlier cohort). Clindamycin use, either alone or in combination, also increased from 12% of the early cases to 22% of later cases.

Even so, Dr. Agrawal and his colleagues reported that, based on the etiologic findings and antibiotic sensitivities, only 43% of the first-choice antibiotics were appropriate in the vaccine era.

"In the post-PCV era, or in chronic mastoiditis, empirical antimicrobial therapy with ceftriaxone alone is not appropriate," they concluded.

With acute ear disease, you've got to add on other antibiotics," Dr. Agrawal said at the meeting, which was sponsored by the American Pediatric Society, Society for Pediatric Research, Ambulatory Pediatric Association, and American Academy of Pediatrics.

> **Ceftriaxone-Resistant** S. pneumoniae

More Common in PCV Era

7%

(n = 68)

30%



### ROZEREM™

ROZEREM is indicated for the treatment of insomnia characterized by diffi-culty with sleep onset.

CONTRAINDICATIONS
ROZEREM is contraindicated in patients with a hypersensitivity to ramelteon or any components of the ROZEREM formulation.

WARNINGS
Since sleep disturbances may be the presenting manifestation of a physical and/or psychiatric disorder, symptomatic treatment of insomnia should be initiated only after a careful evaluation of the patient. The failure of insomnia to remit after a reasonable period of treatment may indicate the presence of a primary psychiatric and/or medical illness that should be evaluated. Worsening of insomnia, or the emergence of new cognitive or behavioral abnormalities, may be the result of an unrecognized underlying psychiatric or physical disorder and requires further evaluation of the patient. As with other hypnotics, exacerbation of insomnia and emergence of cognitive and behavioral abnormalities were seen with ROZEREM during the clinical development program.

ROZEREM should not be used by paeants with severe neparac inpairment. ROZEREM should not be used in combination with fluvoxamine (see PRE-CAUTIONS: Drug Interactions). A variety of cognitive and behavior changes have been reported to occur in association with the use of hypnotics. In primarily depressed patients, worsening of depression, including suicidal ideation, has been reported in association with the use of hypnotics.

Patients should avoid engaging in hazardous activities that require concentra-tion (such as operating a motor vehicle or heavy machinery) after taking ROZEREM. After taking ROZEREM, patients should confine their activities to those necessary to prepare for bed.

PRECAUTIONS

Roberts on the studied in subjects with severe sleep apnea or severe COPD and is not recommended for use in those populations. Patients should be advised to exercise caution if they consume alcohol in combination with ROZEREM.

Combination with NUZEREW.

Wes in Adolescents and Children

ROZEREM has been associated with an effect on reproductive hormones in

adults, e.g. decreased testosterone levels and increased prolactin levels. It is

not known what effect chronic or even chronic intermittent use of ROZEREM

may have on the reproductive axis in developing humans (see Pediatric Use)

rmation for Patients
ents should be advised to take ROZEREM within 30 minutes prior to
g to bed and should confine their activities to those necessary to prepare

Tor Dec.

Patients should be advised to avoid engaging in hazardous activities (such as operating a motor vehicle or heavy machinery) after taking ROZEREM.

Patients should be advised that they should not take ROZEREM with or immediately after a high fat meal.

Patients should be advised to consult their health care provider if they experience worsening of insomnia or any new behavioral signs or symptoms of

concern.

Patients should consult their health care provider if they experience one of the following; cessation of menses or galactorrhea in females, decreased libido, or problems with fertility.

## Laboratory Tests No standard monitoring is required.

For patients presenting with unexplained amenorrhea, galactorrhea, decreased libido, or problems with fertility, assessment of prolactin levels and testosterone levels should be considered as appropriate.

Druj Interactions

ROZEREM has a highly variable inter-subject pharmacokinetic profile (approximately 100% coefficient of variation in C<sub>m</sub> and AUC). As noted above, CVP1A2 is the major isozyme involved in the metabolism of ROZEREM, the CVP2C subhamily and CVPSA4 isozymes are also involved

ROZEREM; the CYP2C subfamily and CYP3A4 isozymes are also involved to a minor degree.

Effects of Other Drugs on ROZEREM Metabolism 
Fluvoxamine (strong CYP1A2 inhibitor): When fluvoxamine 100 mg twice daily was administered for 3 days prior to single-dose co-administration of ROZEREM 16 mg and fluvoxamine, the AUG-<sub>bit</sub> for rameleon increased approximately 190-fold, and the O<sub>max</sub> increased approximately 70-fold, compared to ROZEREM administered alone. ROZEREM should not be used in combination with fluvoxamine (See WARNINGS). Other less potent CYP1A2 inhibitors have not been adequately studied. ROZEREM should be administered with caution to patients taking less strong CYP1A2 inhibitors. Rifampin (strong CYP enzyme inducer): Administration of rifampin 600 mg once daily for 11 days resulted in a mean decrease of approximately 80% (40% to 90%) in total exposure to ramelteon and metabolite M-II, (both AUG-<sub>bit</sub> and G<sub>rast</sub>) after a single 32 mg dose of ROZEREM. Efficacy may be reduced when ROZEREM is used in combination with strong CYP enzyme inducers such as rifampin.

inuucers such as ritampin. *Ketoconazole (strong CYP3A4 inhibitor)*: The AUC<sub>0-tet</sub> and C<sub>max</sub> of ramelteon increased by approximately 84% and 36%, respectively, when a single 16 mg dose of ROZEREM was administered on the fourth day of ketoconazole 200 mg twice daily administration, compared to administration of ROZEREM alone. Similar increases were seen in M-II pharmacokinetic variables. ROZEREM should be administration the administration of ROZEREM should be administration at the strong CYP3A4 inhibitors such as ketoconazole.

Fluconazole (strong CYP2C9 inhibitor). The total and peak systemic exposure (AUC<sub>9-H</sub> and C<sub>max</sub>) of ramelteon after a single 16 mg dose of ROZEREM was increased by approximately 150% when administered with fluconazole. Similar increases were also seen in M-II exposure. ROZEREM should be administered with caution in subjects taking strong CYP2C9 inhibitors such as fluconazole.

as fluconazole. Interaction studies of concomitant administration of ROZEREM with fluoxe-tine (CYP2D6 inhibitor), omeprazole (CYP1A2 inducer/CYP2C19 inhibitor), theophylline (CYP1A2 substrate), and dextromethorphan (CYP2D6 substrate) idi not produce clinically meaningful changes in either peak or total expo-sures to ramelteon or the M-II metabolite.

sures us rameneon or rie M-II metabolite.

Effects of ROZEREM on Metabolism of Other Drugs
Concomitant administration of ROZEREM with omeprazole (CYP2C19 substrate), dextromethorphan (CYP2D6 substrate), midazolam (CYP3A4
substrate), theophylline (CYP142 substrate), digoxin (p-glycoprotein substrate), and warfarin (CYP2C9 [S]/CYP1A2 [R] substrate) did not produce clinically meaningful changes in peak and total exposures to these drugs.

ct of Alcohol on Rozerem shots with the co-administration of ROZEREM 32 mg alcohol (0.6 g/kg), there were no clinically meaningful or statistically sig-

nificant effects on peak or total exposure to ROZEREM. However, an additive effect was seen on some measures of psychomotor performance (i.e., the Digit Symbol Substitution Test, the Psychomotor Vigilance Task Test, and a Visual Analog Scale of sedation) at some post-dose time points. No additive effect was seen on the Delayed Word Recognition Test. Because alcohol by itself impairs performance, and the intended effect of ROZEREM is to promote sleep, patients should be cautioned not to consume alcohol when using ROZEREM.

ROZEREM.

Drug/Laboratory Test Interactions

ROZEREM is not known to interfere with commonly used clinical laboratory tests. In addition, in vitro data indicate that ramelteon does not cause false-positive results for henzodiasepines, opiates, barbiturates, cocaine, cannabinoids, or amphetamines in two standard urine drug screening methods in vitro.

## Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, and Impairment of Fertility

Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, and Impairment of Fertility
Carcinogenesis
In a two-year carcinogenicity study, BBC3F, mice were administered ramelteon
at doses of 0, 30, 100, 300, or 1000 mg/kg/day by oral gavage. Male mice
exhibited a dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatic tumors at dose
levels > 100 mg/kg/day including hepatic adenoma, hepatic carcinoma, and
hepatoblastoma. Fenale mice developed a dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatic adenomas at dose levels. 200 mg/kg/day and hepatic
carcinoma at the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. The no-effect level for hepatic
tumors in male mice was 30 mg/kg/day. Oso jumg/kg/day and hepatic
carcinoma at the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. The no-effect level for hepatic
tumors in male mice was 30 mg/kg/day. Oso-limes and 3-times the therapeutic
exposure to ramelteon and dhe active metabolite M-II, respectively, at the
maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) based on an area-under-thecurve (AUC) comparison). The no-effect level for hepatic tumors in female
mice was 100 mg/kg/day (0527-times and 12-times the therapeutic exposure
to ramelteon and M-II, respectively, at the MRHD based on AUC).
In a two-year carcinogenicity study conducted in the Sprague-Dawley rat,
male and female rats were administered ramelteon at doses of 0, 15, 60.
250 or 1000 mg/kg/day of 100 mg/kg/day dose level. Female rats exhibited a dose-related increase in
the incidence of hepatic adenoma at dose levels ≥ 50 mg/kg/day and hepatic
carcinoma at the 1000 mg/kg/day dose level. The no-effect level for hepatic
tumors in female rats was 15 mg/kg/day (1,429-times and 16-times the
therapeutic exposure to ramelteon and M-II, respectively, at the MRHD
based on AUC).
The development of hepatic tumors in rodents following chronic treatment
with non-genotoxic compounds may be secondary to micreased accument
with non-genotoxic compounds may be secondary to micreased accument
with non-genotoxic compounds may be secondary to micreased accument

therapeutic exposure to rameteron and wi-ti, respectively, at the win-tib based on AUC. The development of hepatic tumors in rodents following chronic treatment with non-genotoxic compounds may be secondary to microsomal enzyme induction, a mechanism for tumor generation not thought to occur in humans. Leydig cell tumor development following treatment with non-genotoxic compounds in rodents has been linked to reductions in circulating testosterone levels with compensatory increases in lutelinizing hormone release, which is a known proliferative stimulus to Leydig cells in the rat testis. Rat Leydig cells are more sensitive to the stimulatory effects of lutelinizing hormone han human Leydig cells. In mechanistic studies conducted in the rat, daily rameteron administration at 250 and 1000 mg/kg/day for 4 weeks was associated with a reduction in plasma testosterone levels. In the same study, lutelinizing hormone levels were elevated over a 24 hour period after the last ramelteon treatment; however, the durability of this lutenizing hormone finding and its support for the proposed mechanistic explanation was not clearly established.

explaination was not early estabilished. Although the rodent tumors observed following ramelteon treatment occurred at plasma levels of ramelteon and M-II in excess of mean clinical plasma concentrations at the MRHD, the relevance of both rodent hepatic tumors and benign rat Leydig cell tumors to humans is not known.

egenesis eletion was not genotoxic in the following: in vitro bacterial reverse muta(Ames) assay; in vitro mammalian cell gene mutation assay using the 
se lymphoma TK +\*\* cell line; in vivo/in vitro unscheduled DNA synthesis 
yi nrat hepatocytes; and in in vivo micronucleus assays conducted in 
se and rat. Ramelteon was positive in the chromosomal aberration assay 
ninese hamster lung cells in the presence of 59 metabolic activation, 
urate studies indicated that the concentration of the M-II metabolite 
eld by the rat liver S9 fraction used in the in vitro genetic toxicology 
ies described above, exceeded the concentration of rameltoen; therefore, 
penotoxic potential of the M-II metabolite was also assessed in these 
ies.

studies described above, exceuse use conscientation or nameteurs, intereurs, the genotoxic potential of the M-II metabolite was also assessed in these studies.

Impairment of Fertility
Ramelteon was administered to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats in an initial fertility and early embryonic development study at dose levels of 6, 60, or 600 mg/kg/day. No effects on male or female mating or fertility were observed with a ramelteon dose up to 600 mg/kg/day (786-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). Irregular estrus cycles, reduction in the number of implants, and reduction in the number of live embryos were noted with dosing females at ≥ 60 mg/kg/day (79-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis). A reduction in the number of or oprora lutea occurred at the 600 mg/kg/day dose level. Administration of ramelteon up to 600 mg/kg/day dose level. Administration of ramelteon up to 600 mg/kg/day onale rats for 7 weeks had no effect on sperm quality and when the treated male rats were mated with untreated female rats there was no effect on implants or embryos. In a repeat of this study using oral administration of ramelteon at 20, 60 or 200 mg/kg/day for the same study duration, females demonstrated irregular estrus cycles with doses ≥ 60 mg/kg/day, but no effects were seen on implantation or embryo viability. The no-effect dose for fertility endpoints was 20 mg/kg/day in males (78-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis) and 600 mg/kg/day in males (78-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis) when considering all studies.

Pregnancy: Pregnancy Category C
Ramelteon has been shown to be a developmental teratogen in the rat when given in doses 197 times higher than the maximum recommended human dose (MRHD) on a mg/m² basis. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Ramelteon should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Pregnancy: Pregnant rabbits were administered ramelteon by oral gavage at doses of 0, 10, 40, 150,

higher than the therapeutic exposure to ramelteon and M-II, respectively, at the MRHD based on AUC).

The effects of ramelteon on pre- and post-natal development in the rat were studied by administration of ramelteon to the pregnant rat by oral gavage at doses of 0, 30, 100, or 300 mg/kg/day from day 6 of gestation through parturition to postnatal (lactation) day 21, at which time offspring were weaned. Maternal toxicity was noted at doses of 100 mg/kg/day or greater and consisted of reduced body weight gain and increased adrenal gland weight. Reduced body weight during the post-weaning period was also noticed in the offspring of the groups given 100 mg/kg/day and higher. Offspring in the 300 mg/kg/day group demonstrated physical and developmental delays including delayed cruption of the lower incisors, a delayed acquisition of the righting reflex, and an alteration of emotional response. These delays are often observed in the presence of reduced offspring body weight but may still be indicative of developmental delay. An apparent decrease in the viability of offspring in the 300 mg/kg/day group also showed evidence of diaphragmatic hernia, a finding observed in the metry of-feat development study previously described. There were no effects on the reproductive capacity of offspring and the resulting progeny were not different from those of vehicle-treated offspring. The no-effect level for pre- and postnatal development in this study was 30 mg/kg/day (39-times higher than the MRHD on a mg/m² basis).

Labor and Delivery

The potential effects of ROZEREM on the duration of labor and/or delivery, for either the mother or the fetus, have not been studied. ROZEREM has no established use in labor and delivery.

recommended.

Pediatric Use
Safety and effectiveness of ROZEREM in pediatric patients have not been established. Further study is needed prior to determining that this product may be used safely in pre-pulsescent and pulsescent patients.

Geriatric Use
A total of 654 subjects in double-blind, placebo-controlled, efficacy trials who received ROZEREM were at least 65 years of age; of these, 199 were 75 years of age or older. No overall differences in safety or efficacy were observed between elderly and younger adult subjects.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

Overview

Overview
The data described in this section reflect exposure to ROZEREM in 4251 subjects, including 346 exposed for 6 months or longer, and 473 subjects for

one year.

Adverse Reactions Resulting in Discontinuation of Treatment
Five percent of the 3594 individual subjects exposed to ROZEREM in clinical
studies discontinued treatment owing to an adverse event, compared with
2% of the 1370 subjects receiving placebo. The most frequent adverse event
leading to discontinuation in subjects receiving ROZEREM were somnolence
(0.8%), dizziness (0.5%), nausea (0.3%), tatigue (0.3%), headache (0.3%),
and insomnia (0.3%).

(0.8%), dizziness (0.5%), nausea (0.3%), taltgue (0.3%), headache (0.3%), and insomnia (0.3%).

ROZEREM Most Commonly Observed Adverse Events in Phase 1-3 trials The incidence of adverse events during the Phase 1 through 3 trials (% placebo, n=1370; % ramelteon [8 mg], n=1250) were: headache NOS (7%, 7%), comnolence (3%, 5%), aftigue (2%, 4%), dizzines (3%, 5%), nausea (2%, 3%), insomnia exacerbated (2%, 3%), upper respiratory tract infection NOS (2%, 3%), diarrhea NOS (2%, 2%), myalgia (1%, 2%), depression (1%, 2%), dysguesia (1%, 2%), arthratigia (1%, 2%), influenza (0, 1%), blood cortisol decreased (0, 1%)

Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in clinical trials of other drugs, and may not reflect the rates observed in practice. The adverse reaction information from clinical trials does, however, provide a basis for identifying the adverse events that appeal to be related to drug use and for approximating rates.

RUSEREM is not a controlled substance.

Human Data: See the CLINICAL TRIALS section, Studies Pertinent to Safety Concerns for Sleep-Promoting Agents in the Complete Prescribing

Information.

Animal Data. Ramelteon did not produce any signals from animal behavioral studies indicating that the drug produces rewarding effects. Monkeys did not self-administer ramelteon and the drug did not induce a conditioned place preference in rats. There was no generalization between ramelteon and midazolam. Ramelteon did not affect rotorod performance, an indicator of disruption of motor function, and it did not potentiate the ability of diazepam to interfere with rotorod performance.

Discontinuation of ramelteon in animals or in humans after chronic adminis-tration did not produce withdrawal signs. Ramelteon does not appear to produce physical dependence. OVERDOSAGE
Signs and Symptoms
No cases of ROZEREM overdose have been reported during clinical develop-

not cases of NOZERCEM overlose insigned doses up to 160 mg in an abuse liability trial. No safety or tolerability concerns were seen.

Recommended Treatment
General symptomatic and supportive measures should be used, along with immediate gastric lavage where appropriate. Intravenous fluids should be administered as needed. As in all cases of drug overdose, respiration, pulse, blood pressure, and other appropriate vital signs should be monitored, and general supportive measures employed.

general supportive measures employed.

Hemodialysis does not effectively reduce exposure to ROZEREM. Therefore, the use of dialysis in the treatment of overdosage is not appropriate.

Poisson Control Center

As with the management of all overdosage, the possibility of multiple drug ingestion should be considered. The physician may contact a poison control center for current information on the management of overdosage.

Rx only
Manufactured by:
Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited
540-8645 Osaka, JAPAN
Manufactured in:
Takeda Ireland Ltd.
Kliruddery, County Wicklow, Republic of Ireland
Marketed by:
Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc.
475 Half Day Road
Lincolnshire, IL 60069

Lincolnshire, IL 60069
R0ZEREM™ is a trademark of Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited and used under license by Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc.

©2005, Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc.

Pl02-0002-PI02-0002-1

References: 1. Rozerem package insert, Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc. 2. Johnson MW, Suess PE, Griffiths RR. Ramelteon: a povel hypnotic lacking abuse liability and sedative side effects.

©2006 Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America, Inc. RAM-00238