



Skip this step when checking lipid levels

Although most guidelines recommend that patients fast before lipid testing, this study found no difference between fasting and nonfasting testing for predicting mortality.

PRACTICE CHANGER

Stop requiring your patients to fast before undergoing lipid testing. Nonfasting total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) levels are equally predictive of cardiovascular mortality and all-cause mortality.¹

STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION

B: Based on a large, cross-sectional cohort study of adults followed for a mean of 14 years with patient-oriented outcomes.

Doran B, Guo Y, Xu J, et al. Prognostic value of fasting versus nonfasting low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels on long-term mortality: insight from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III (NHANES-III). *Circulation*. 2014;130:546-553.

ILLUSTRATIVE CASE

A 57-year-old man with diabetes refuses to fast before coming to the clinic for lipid testing because he's afraid he'll become hypoglycemic. You have not been able to obtain a lipid panel on him for more than a year and you want to determine his LDL level. Will a nonfasting lipid panel be useful?

Approximately 71 million US adults have high LDL.² The 2013 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines recommend fasting cholesterol checks for all adults ages 21 and older for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease.³ The US Preventive Services

Task Force (USPSTF) has long recommended screening cholesterol in adults to prevent atherosclerotic vascular disease.

In 2008, the USPSTF recommended lipid screening for all men ages 35 years and older, for all men ages 20 to 35 years who are at increased risk for coronary heart disease, and for all women ages 20 years and older who are at increased risk for coronary heart disease.⁴ The USPSTF recommends TC and HDL as the preferred screening tests and states that these tests can be performed on fasting or nonfasting samples, but if LDL is added, a fasting sample is recommended.⁴ Other national and international guidelines on cholesterol management also recommend a fasting lipid panel to stratify patients' risk and determine treatment options.⁵⁻⁷

LDL usually is reported as a calculated value using the Friedewald equation (LDL equals TC minus HDL minus [triglycerides divided by 5]).⁸ This calculation is not accurate for patients with triglyceride levels >400 mg/dL, which has prompted most authorities to recommend a fasting sample. That's because while TC and HDL are not affected by food (and LDL may vary by only 10% or less), triglycerides can fluctuate by 20% to 30%, which would influence the calculation of a nonfasting LDL.^{9,10} LDL can be measured directly, but the process is generally expensive and not commonly used.¹¹

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that over 20% of US adults (more than 48 million people) have

Michael Wooten, MD;
Debra B. Stulberg, MD;
Shailendra Prasad,
MBBS, MPH; **Kate**
Rowland, MD, MS
North Memorial
Family Medicine Residency,
University of Minnesota,
Minneapolis (Drs. Wooten
and Prasad); University
of Chicago Department
of Family Medicine (Dr.
Stulberg); Rush-Copley
Medical Center, Chicago
(Dr. Rowland)

PURLS EDITOR

Anne Mounsey, MD
University of North
Carolina at Chapel Hill



The prognostic value of fasting vs nonfasting status for predicting all-cause mortality was similar.

not had a screening lipid panel in the previous 5 years.¹² One barrier to screening is that both physicians and patients often believe that a fasting specimen is required. Yet fasting specimens are difficult to obtain because they often require a separate visit to the clinic, which can result in lost time from work and additional transportation costs.

STUDY SUMMARY

There's no difference between fasting and nonfasting LDL

Doran et al¹ used data from the NHANES-III survey to compare the prognostic value of fasting vs nonfasting LDL for all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality. NHANES-III is a nationally representative cross-sectional survey that was performed from 1988 to 1994.¹³ Doran et al¹ included 16,161 US adults ages 18 years and older for whom data on fasting time were available. Participants for whom LDL calculations were not possible due to missing HDL, TC, or triglyceride levels were excluded. Those with triglycerides ≥ 400 mg/dL were excluded from the primary analysis.

Participants were stratified based on fasting status (≥ 8 hours or < 8 hours) and followed for a mean of 14 ($\pm .22$) years. To control for possible cofounders, researchers used propensity score matching to identify 4299 pairs of fasting and nonfasting individuals with similar cardiovascular risk factors, including race, smoking history, prior cardiovascular disease, cholesterol medication use, diabetes, elevated TC, low HDL, hypertension, enlarged waist circumference, and low socioeconomic status. After matching, the baseline characteristics of the fasting and nonfasting groups were similar.

The primary outcome was all-cause mortality, and the secondary outcome was cardiovascular mortality. The prognostic value of fasting and nonfasting LDL for these outcomes was evaluated as the area under the receiver operator curve (ROC) using the Hosmer-Lemeshow C-statistic.¹⁴ (In this case, similar C-statistics indicate that the tests have similar prognostic values.*) Kaplan-Meier curves were used to assess survival. The association

of LDL with mortality, after adjustment of potential confounders, was evaluated using Cox proportional hazard models. The groups were divided into tertiles based on LDL levels (< 100 mg/dL, 100-130 mg/dL, and > 130 mg/dL).

As expected, compared to individuals in the first LDL tertile (< 100 mg/dL), those with a higher LDL had an increased risk of all-cause mortality (hazard ratio [HR]=1.61; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.25-2.08 [second tertile] and HR=2.10; 95% CI, 1.70-2.61 [third tertile]). The prognostic value of fasting vs nonfasting status for predicting all-cause mortality was similar, as suggested by the C-statistics (0.59 [95% CI, 0.56-0.61] vs 0.58 [95% CI, 0.56-0.60]; $P=.73$).

The risk of cardiovascular mortality also increased with increasing LDL tertiles. As was the case with all-cause mortality, the prognostic value of fasting vs nonfasting status was similar for predicting cardiovascular mortality as observed by similar C-statistics (0.64 [95% CI, 0.62-0.66] vs 0.63 [95% CI, 0.60-0.65]; $P=.49$). In addition, fasting vs nonfasting C-statistics were similar for both diabetic and non-diabetic patients.

WHAT'S NEW?

Results suggest fasting may no longer be necessary

While obtaining a fasting lipid panel is recommended by multiple guidelines and has become traditional practice, the need for fasting originated primarily out of concern for the effect of postprandial triglycerides on calculating LDL. This is the first study that compared the prognostic value of fasting and nonfasting LDL values for predicting mortality; it demonstrated that they are essentially the same.

CAVEATS

Fasting and nonfasting measurements were taken from different patients

The fasting and nonfasting lipids were not collected from the same individuals. However, to decrease confounding, Doran et al¹ factored in multiple cardiovascular risk factors as covariables.

Another caveat is that individuals with

* The C-statistic is the probability that predicting the outcome is better than chance and is used to compare the goodness of fit of logistic regression models. Values for this measure range from 0.5 to 1.0. A value of 0.5 indicates that the model is no better than chance at making a prediction of membership in a group and a value of 1.0 indicates that the model perfectly identifies those within a group and those not.

triglyceride levels >400 mg/dL were excluded. However, investigators ran a sensitivity analysis that included individuals with triglycerides >400 mg/dL and found no significant difference in C-statistics between the fasting and nonfasting groups.

a longstanding practice of checking fasting lipid profiles, but we see no other barriers to adopting this recommendation. **JFP**

CHALLENGES TO IMPLEMENTATION

Dropping the requirement to fast goes against established practice

It may be difficult for physicians to change

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The PURLs Surveillance System was supported in part by Grant Number UL1RR024999 from the National Center For Research Resources, a Clinical Translational Science Award to the University of Chicago. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center For Research Resources or the National Institutes of Health.

Copyright © 2015. The Family Physicians Inquiries Network. All rights reserved.

References

- Doran B, Guo Y, Xu J, et al. Prognostic value of fasting versus nonfasting low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels on long-term mortality: insight from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III (NHANES-III). *Circulation*. 2014;130:546-553.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Vital signs: prevalence, treatment, and control of high levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol—United States, 1999-2002 and 2005-2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2011;60:109-114.
- Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, et al; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63(25 pt B):2889-2934.
- US Preventive Services Task Force. Final recommendation statement: Lipid disorders in adults (cholesterol, dyslipidemia); Screening. US Preventive Services Task Force Web site. Available at: <http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/ClinicalSummaryFinal/lipid-disorders-in-adults-cholesterol-dyslipidemia-screening>. Accessed January 20, 2015.
- National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation*. 2002;106:3143-3421.
- De Backer G, Ambrosioni E, Borch-Johnsen K, et al; European Society of Cardiology, American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. Third Joint Task Force of European and other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of eight societies and by invited experts). *Atherosclerosis*. 2004;173:381-391.
- Genest J, McPherson R, Frohlich J, et al. 2009 Canadian Cardiovascular Society/Canadian guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of dyslipidemia and prevention of cardiovascular disease in the adult - 2009 recommendations. *Can J Cardiol*. 2009;25:567-579.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem*. 1972;8:499-502.
- Sidhu D, Naugler C. Fasting time and lipid levels in a community-based population: a cross-sectional study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2012;172:1707-1710.
- Langsted A, Nordestgaard BG. Nonfasting lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins in individuals with and without diabetes: 58,434 individuals from the Copenhagen General Population Study. *Clin Chem*. 2001;57:482-489.
- Mora S, Rifai N, Buring JE, et al. Comparison of LDL cholesterol concentrations by Friedewald calculation and direct measurement in relation to cardiovascular events in 27,331 women. *Clin Chem*. 2009;55:888-894.
- Gillespie CD, Keenan NL, Miner JB, et al; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Screening for lipid disorders among adults—National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, United States, 2005-2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2012;61 suppl:26-31.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Web site. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/nh3data.htm>. Accessed October 13, 2014.
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. *Applied Logistic Regression*. 2nd ed. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.



The only challenge: It may be difficult for physicians to change a longstanding practice of checking fasting lipid profiles.

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!

Have a comment on an article, editorial, or department? You can send it by:

- E-MAIL:** jfp.eic@gmail.com
- FAX:** 973-206-9251 or
- MAIL:** The Journal of Family Practice, 7 Century Drive, Suite 302, Parsippany, NJ 07054

LETTERS SHOULD BE 200 WORDS OR LESS. THEY WILL BE EDITED PRIOR TO PUBLICATION.

THE JOURNAL OF
**FAMILY
PRACTICE**