Family Practice Grand Rounds

Unstable Angina and the Intermediate Syndrome

Richard L. Holve, MD, Guy P. Curtis, MD, and William A. Norcross, MD San Diego, California, and Bucksport, Maine

DR. WILLIAM NORCROSS (Assistant Clinical Professor): Today's Grand Rounds will focus on the diagnosis and management of unstable angina. Dr. Holve will present the case. We are pleased to have Dr. Curtis from the Division of Cardiology as our discussant.

DR. RICHARD HOLVE (Second-year family medicine resident): Mr. Y.B. was a 64-year-old Mexican-American man with a history of adultonset diabetes mellitus, hypertension, osteoarthritis, peptic ulcer disease, multiple abdominal and spinal surgeries, and depression. Therapy at the Family Medical Center had primarily been directed toward improved diabetic control, management of joint pain, and psychosocial issues.

The patient was seen a month prior to his death. He had experienced left wrist pain for which he had taken up to 10 aspirin a day with resultant burning epigastric pain. He was told to discontinue the aspirin and was placed on a regular schedule of antacids. One month later he went to the emergency room of a local hospital again complaining of epigastric pain. He was treated with meperidine and promethazine, started on cimetidine, and told to see his regular physician in the near future. Three days later he gave a history of several episodes of epigastric pain with occasional radiation to his neck, not associated with nausea, vomiting, or shortness of breath, and not related to ingestion or activity. His physical examination was unremarkable, but an electrocardiogram done while he was having pain showed anterior T wave inversions that resolved after sublingual nitroglycerin. The patient was felt to have angina and probable gastritis or peptic ulcer disease. He was treated with sublingual nitroglycerin in an attempt to assess the true frequency of his angina and continued on cimetidine. He was instructed to phone the resident on call if he had an increase in symptoms.

Overnight Mr. Y.B. had two to three episodes of dull retrosternal pain occurring both with activity and at rest, lasting up to 30 minutes, and now associated with a choking sensation. He was seen in the Family Medical Center and then admitted to the coronary care unit with the diagnosis of unstable angina.

At the time of admission his temperature was 98.8°F, blood pressure 110/60 mmHg, pulse 80 beats/min and respirations 16/min. He appeared tired and anxious but was in no acute distress. Examination of his neck revealed a normal jugular venous pulse with dominant A waves. Carotid pulse contours were normal, and there were no bruits. His breathing was unlabored, and examination of his lungs was unremarkable except for fine rales heard at the left base. The point of maximal impulse of his heart was 1 cm lateral to the midclavicular line, and there were no lifts, heaves, or thrills. S_1 and S_2 were normal, with a loud S_4 heard at the apex and a very soft S₃. There were multiple well-healed scars over his abdomen. Bowel sounds were normal, there was no organomegaly, and there were no masses or areas of localized tenderness. His peripheral pulses were all 2 plus, and there was no edema. The results of his neurologic examination were within normal limits.

The hematocrit was 45 percent; white cell count was 7,100/mm³ with a normal differential; and platelets were 231,000/mm³. The prothrombin time and partial thromboplastin time were normal. The

0094-3509/82/050861-07\$01.75 © 1982 Appleton-Century-Crofts

From the Department of Community and Family Medicine, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, California. Requests for reprints should be addressed to Dr. Richard L. Holve, Bucksport Regional Health Center, Broadway Extension, Bucksport, ME 04416.

sodium level was 128 mEq/L; potassium, 3.7 mEq/L; chloride, 93 mEq/L; bicarbonate, 28 mEq/L; and glucose, 494 mg/100 mL. The urea nitrogen was 30 mg/100 mL, and creatinine was 1.6 mg/100 mL. Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) was 16 IU, lactic dehydrogenase (LDH) was 114 units/mL, and creatine kinase (CK) was 63 IU/L. His urinalysis was remarkable for trace proteinuria, 4 plus reducing substances, and negative ketones. An arterial blood gas test on room air revealed a pH of 7.44, a PO₂ of 66 mmHg, and a PCO₂ of 44 mmHg. His chest x-ray films showed a normal cardiac silhouette with normal pulmonary vasculature and no evidence of an infiltrate. His electrocardiogram showed anterolateral ST-T wave changes that were suggestive of ischemia or subendocardial infarction.

Treatment was begun with nitrates and beta blockers. During the next 48 hours he had several episodes of chest pain while sitting and eating despite intensive medical therapy. Serial creatine kinase values were within normal limits. On the second day after admission he had another episode of chest pain associated with a choking sensation, which was only partially relieved with nitroglycerin. An electrocardiogram showed new ST depression in chest leads V₃ to V₅. After achieving pain relief and normalization of his electrocardiogram, an emergency cardiac catheterization was performed. It revealed normal intracardiac pressures, high-grade proximal occlusion of the left anterior descending artery with multiple distal irregularities, 90 percent occlusion of the middle third of the right coronary artery, and high-grade proximal lesions of the circumflex artery. In view of the extensive proximal (surgically approachable) disease and continued angina with maximum medical therapy, the decision was made to proceed immediately with bypass surgery.

At the time of anesthesia induction the patient developed ventricular fibrillation and an emergency cardiopulmonary bypass was performed. Bypasses of the left anterior descending, right coronary, and obtuse marginal arteries were done. With difficulty the patient was weaned off the pump to intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation and intravenous pressor agents including nitroprusside, epinephrine, and dopamine.

Postoperatively his electrocardiogram showed new evidence of anteroseptal infarction. Additionally, a severe coagulopathy developed with significant blood loss. He was explored twice to ensure hemostasis at graft sites. On the second postoperative day he developed progressive hypotension despite maximum mechanical and pharmacological support. He died 38 hours after surgery.

DR. GUY CURTIS (Assistant Professor of Medicine): I think Mr. Y.B.'s case serves as a good springboard for a discussion of unstable angina. There are many points we can note that might help in managing a similar patient in the future.

Unstable angina is a term coined fairly recently. Commonly used alternatives in the past include preinfarction angina, impending myocardial infarction, crescendo angina, acute coronary insufficiency, and status angiosus. Many have objected to these terms because they predict an outcome (as in preinfarction angina) that is not at all certain in many cases.

Many different pain patterns fall within the definition of unstable angina: (1) angina at rest, (2) angina of recent onset—usually within the preceding month, and (3) exertional angina with a changing pattern, ie, increasing frequency or severity of pain, increased autonomic symptoms associated with pain such as diaphoresis or dyspnea, or greater difficulty in achieving pain relief with medications. Many of these features were demonstrated in Mr. Y.B.'s course. Although his initial pain was somewhat atypical in that it was epigastric rather than the classic substernal location, he soon developed a changing pain pattern, pain at rest, and finally pain refractory to medical therapy.

In clarifying a diagnosis of myocardial ischemia, certain physical signs and electrocardiographic changes may be helpful. Findings on the cardiac examination that may be discerned only in the presence of acute myocardial ischemia and absent when the patient is pain free include an atrial (S_4) or ventricular (S_3) gallop (secondary to decreased myocardial compliance), a mitral regurgitant murmur (usually due to papillary muscle dysfunction), and paradoxical (reverse) splitting of the second heart sound (ischemia-induced delay in ejection from the left ventricle and late closure of the aortic valve).

Early changes in Mr. Y.B.'s electrocardiogram (2-mm depression of the ST segments in the anterior chest leads) are characteristic of myocardial

Continued on page 867

LIMBITROL® TABLETS 🕑 Tranquilizer—Antidepressant Before prescribing, please consult complete product information, a summary of which follows: Indications: Relief of moderate to severe depression associated with moderate

to severe anxiety

Contraindications: Known hypersensitivity to benzodiazepines or tricyclic antidepressants. Do not use with monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitors or within 14 days following discontinuation of MAO inhibitors since hyperpyretic crises, severe convulsions and deaths have occurred with concomitant use; then initiate cautiously, gradually increasing dosage until optimal response is achieved. Contraindicated during acute recovery phase following myocardial inforction

Warnings: Use with great care in patients with history of urinary retention or angle-closure glaucoma. Severe constipation may occur in patients taking tricyclic antidepressants and anticholinergic-type drugs. Closely supervise cardiovascular patients. (Arrhythmias, sinus fachycardia and prolongation of conduction time reported with use of tricyclic antidepressants, especially high doses. Myocardial infarction and stroke reported with use of this class of drugs.) Caution patients about possible combined effects with alcohol and other CNS depressants and ugainst hazardous occupations requiring complete mental alertness (e.g., operating machinery, driving). Usage in Pregnancy: Use of minor tranquilizers during the first

trimester should almost always be avoided because of increased risk of congenital malformations as suggested in several studies. Consider possibility of pregnancy when instituting therapy; advise patients to discuss therapy if they intend to or do become pregnant.

Since physical and psychological dependence to chlordiazepoxide have been reported rarely, use caution in administering Limbitrol to addiction-prone individuals or those who might increase dosage; withdrawal symptoms following discontinuation of either component alone have been reported (nausea, headache and malaise for amitriptyline; symptoms [including convulsions] similar to those of barbiturate withdrawal for chlordiazepoxide). Precautions: Use with caution in patients with a history of seizures, in hyperthyroid patients or those on thyroid medication, and in patients with impaired renal or hepatic function. Because of the possibility of suicide in depressed patients, do not permit easy access to large quantities in these partients. Periodic liver function tests and blood counts are recommended during prolonged treatment. Amitriptyline component may block action of quanethidine or similar antihypertensives. Concomitant use with other psychotropic drugs has not been evaluated: sedative effects may be additive. Discontinue several days before surgery. Limit concomitant administration of ECT to essential treatment. See Warnings for precautions about pregnancy. Limbitrol should not be taken during the nursing period. Not recommended in children under 12. In the elderly and debilitated, limit to smallest effective dosage to preclude ataxia, oversedation, confusion or anticholinergic effects Adverse Reactions: Most frequently reported are those associated with either

component alone: drowsiness, dry mouth, constipation, blurred vision, dizziness and bloating. Less frequently occurring reactions include vivid dreams, impotence, tremor, confusion and nasal congestion. Many depressive symptoms including anorexia, fatigue, weakness, restlessness and lethargy have been reported as side effects of both Limbitrol and amitriptyline. Granulocytopenia, jaundice and hepatic dysfunction have been observed rarely

The following list includes adverse reactions not reported with Limbitrol but requiring consideration because they have been reported with one or both components or closely related drugs:

Cardiovascular: Hypotension, hypertension, tachycardia, palpitations, myocardial infarction, arrhythmias, heart block, stroke

Psychiatric: Euphoria, apprehension, poor concentration, delusions, hallucinations, hypomania and increased or decreased libido

Neurologic: Incoordination, ataxia, numbness, tingling and paresthesias of the extremities, extrapyramidal symptoms, syncope, changes in EEG patterns Anticholinergic: Disturbance of accommodation, paralytic ileus, urinary retention, dilatation of urinary tract.

Allergic: Skin rash, urticaria, photosensitization, edema of face and tongue, pruritus.

Hematologic: Bone marrow depression including agranulocytosis, eosinophilia, purpura, thrombocytopenia

Gastrointestinal: Nausea, epigastric distress, vomiting, anorexia, stomatitis, peculiar taste, diarrhea, black tongue

Endocrine: Testicular swelling and gynecomastia in the male, breast enlargement, galactorrhea and minor menstrual irregularities in the female and elevation and lowering of blood sugar levels.

Other: Headache, weight gain or loss, increased perspiration, urinary frequency, mydriasis, jaundice, alopecia, parotid swelling.

Overdosage: Immediately hospitalize patient suspected of having taken an overdose. Treatment is symptomatic and supportive. I.V. administration of 1 to 3 mg physostigmine salicylate has been reported to reverse the symptoms of amitriptyline poisoning. See complete product information for manifestation and treatment

Dosage: Individualize according to symptom severity and patient response. Reduce to smallest effective dosage when satisfactory response is obtained. Larger portion of daily dose may be taken at bedtime. Single *h.s.* dose may suffice for some patients. Lower dosages are recommended for the elderly. Limbitrol 10-25, initial dosage of three to four tablets daily in divided doses, increased to six tablets or decreased to two tablets daily as required Limbitrol 5-12.5, initial dosage of three to four tablets daily in divided doses, for patients who do not tolerate higher doses. How Supplied: White, film-coated tablets, each containing 10 mg chlor-

diazepoxide and 25 mg amitriptyline (as the hydrochloride salt) and blue, film-coated tablets, each containing 5 mg chlordiazepoxide and 12.5 mg amitriptyline (as the hydrochloride salt)—bottles of 100 and 500; TeI-E-Dose* packages of 100, available in trays of 4 reverse-numbered boxes of 25, and in boxes containing 10 strips of 10; Prescription Paks of 50

UNSTABLE ANGINA

Continued from page 867

one of the cornerstones of therapy in unstable angina.¹¹ Mr. Y.B.'s dose of metoprolol (propranolol, of course, may also be used) was increased until he became mildly hypotensive. A heart rate of 50 to 60 beats/min is evidence of good blockade. Calcium channel blockers such as verapamil and nefedipine will be available soon.¹² They have been shown to reverse coronary artery spasm and to reduce oxygen consumption in ischemic myocardial segments, thought to be a significant component of the chest pain of many of these patients.¹³ Antiarrhythmic therapy should be begun as needed. Antihypertensive treatment is important (sometimes even in normotensive patients) to reduce afterload and myocardial oxygen consumption.¹⁴ Anticoagulant therapy with heparin has not been shown to be effective in limiting infarct size in most controlled studies, and few cardiologists feel that it has a role in the management of these patients.^{15,16} In some institutions with a large volume of extremely sick patients, there are teams available to aggressively treat severe angina with intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation. This device reduces oxygen consumption and decreases the work of the heart by decreasing systolic workload while maintaining diastolic pressure and perfusion.¹⁷ Intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation is often most useful in the interim before surgery.

It was clear at the time of admission that Mr. Y.B. had unstable angina. After observation in the coronary care unit, we felt he fit into a subcategory termed the "intermediate syndrome."¹⁸ This classification refers to patients who have persistent chest pain and electrocardiographic changes but no enzymatic (creatine kinase, LDH, SGOT) evidence of myocardial necrosis. This patient's creatine kinase readings were all normal despite his pain and repeatedly abnormal electrocardiograms. Our impression was he had probably had a small infarct which caused a rise in creatine kinase that we missed or that was simply not large enough to be clinically detectable. We assumed he had a large area of myocardium at risk for ischemia, and we treated him as aggressively as possible. Generally, if control of chest pain is not achieved in 24 to 48 hours with the measures outlined above, we proceed to cardiac catheterization and angiogra-

Continued on page 872



K-Lyte® DS (Each effervescent tablet in solution supplies 50 mEq potassium as bicarbonate and citrate.)

K-Lvte® (Each effervescent tablet in solution supplies 25 mEq potassium as bicarbonate and citrate.)

Description: K-Lyte DS and K-Lyte are oral potassium supplements. Each K-Lyte DS tablet in solution provides 50 mEq potassium as supplied by 2.5 gm potassium bicarbonate and 2.7 gm potassium citrate with 2.1 gm citric acid, saccharin, artificial flavor and color. Each K-Lyte tablet in solution provides 25 mEq potassium as supplied by 2.5 gm potassium bicarbonate and 2.1 gm citric acid, saccharin, artificial flavor and color.

Indications and Usage: All K-Lyte® products are used for therapy or prophylaxis of potassium deficiency. They are useful when thiazide diuretics, corticosteroids, or diarrhea cause excessive potassium loss; and when dietary potassium is low. These products may also be useful when potassium therapy is indicated in digitalis intoxication

Contraindications: Potassium supplements are contraindicated in patients with hyperkalemia since a further increase in serum potassium concentration in such patients can produce cardiac arrest. Hyperkalemia may complicate any of the following conditions: chronic renal impairment, metabolic acidosis such as diabetic acidosis, acute dehydration, extensive tissue breakdown as in severe burns or adrenal insufficiency. Hypokalemia should not be treated by the concomitant administration of potassium salts and a potassium-sparing diuretic (e.g., spironolactone or triamterene), since the simultaneous administration of these agents can produce severe hyperkalemia.

Warnings: In patients with impaired mechanisms for excreting potassium, the administration of potassium salts can produce hyperkalemia and cardiac arrest. This occurs most commonly in patients given potassium by the intravenous route but may also occur in patients given potassium orally. Potentially fatal hyperkalemia can develop rapidly and may be asymptomatic. The use of potassium salts in patients with chronic renal disease, or any other condition which impairs potassium excretion, requires particularly careful monitoring of the serum potassium concentration and appropriate dosage adjustment.

Precautions: General precautions - The diagnosis of potassium depletion is ordinarily made by demonstrating hypokalemia in a patient with a clinical history suggesting some cause for potassium depletion. When interpreting the serum potassium level, the physician should bear in mind that acute alkalosis per se can produce hypokalemia in the absence of a deficit in total body potassium, while acute acidosis per se can increase the serum potassium concentration into the normal range even in the presence of a reduced total body potassium. Therefore, the treatment of potassium depletion requires careful attention to acid-base balance and appropriate monitoring of serum electrolytes, the ECG, and the clinical status of the patient.

Information for patients - To minimize the possibility of gastrointestinal irritation associated with the oral ingestion of concentrated potassium salt preparations, patients should be carefully directed to dissolve each dose completely in the stated amount of water.

- Frequent clinical evaluation of the patient should include ECG Laboratory tests and serum potassium determinations.

Drug interactions — The simultaneous administration of potassium supplements and a potassium-sparing diuretic can produce severe hyperkalemia (see Contraindications). Potassium supplements should be used cautiously in patients who are using salt substitutes because most of the latter contain substantial amounts of

potassium. Such concomitant use could result in hyperkalemia. Usage in pregnancy — Pregnancy Category C — Animal reproduction studies have not been conducted with any of the K-Lyte products. It is also not known whether these products can cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman or can affect reproduction capacity. They should be given to a pregnant woman only if clearly needed.

Nursing mothers - Many drugs are excreted in human milk and because of the potential for serious adverse reactions in nursing infants from oral potassium supplements, a decision should be made whether to discontinue nursing or discon-Usage in children — Safety and effectiveness in children have not been established.

Adverse Reactions: The most common adverse reactions to oral potassium supplements are nausea, vomiting, diarrhea and abdominal discomfort. These side effects occur more frequently when the medication is not taken with food or is not diluted properly or dissolved completely.

Hyperkalemia occurs only rarely in patients with normal renal function receiving potassium supplements orally. Signs and symptoms of hyperkalemia are cardiac arrhythmias, mental confusion, unexplained anxiety, numbress or tingling in hands, feet or lips, shortness of breath or difficult breathing, unusual tiredness or weakness and weakness or heaviness of legs (see Contraindications, Warnings and Overdosage)

Dosage and Administration: Adults—One (1) K-Lyte DS tablet (50 mEq potas-sium) completely dissolved in 6 to 8 ounces of cold or ice water, 1 to 2 times daily. depending on the requirements of the patient. One (1) K-Lyte tablet (25 mEq potassium) completely dissolved in 3 to 4 ounces of cold or ice water, 2 to 4 times daily, depending on the requirements of the patient.

Note: It is suggested that all K-Lyte products be taken with meals and

sipped slowly over a 5 to 10 minute period. How Supplied: K-Lyte® Effervescent Tablets (orange or lime flavors) are available in cartons of 30, 100 and 250. K-Lyte® DS effervescent tablets (orange or lime flavors) are available in cartons of 30 and 100. Each tablet is individually foil wrapped.

UNSTABLE ANGINA

Continued from page 870

phy. If the coronary anatomy is suitable for bypass, we proceed with surgery. If pain control is achieved within 24 to 48 hours, the catheterization is performed electively within the next week. The decision of whether to go on to surgery is then made after considering the clinical course, coronary anatomy, and other factors.

Angiography demonstrated Mr. Y.B. to have severe proximal three-vessel disease. The widely agreed upon indications for bypass surgery include (1) left main coronary artery narrowing of 50 percent or greater, (2) severe proximal three-vessel disease, and (3) persistent chest pain or other signs of unremitting ischemia (electrocardiographic changes, refractory arrhythmia, or intractable heart failure secondary to an operable lesion).¹⁹ Contraindications to surgery are (1) no significant coronary lesions on angiography (pain secondary to spasm), (2) left ventricular ejection fraction less than or equal to 30 percent or an end-diastolic volume greater than 125 cc/m² of body surface area, and (3) pain responsive to medical treatment in a patient with distal coronary lesions not amenable to surgical treatment.¹⁹ Mr. Y.B. fell into the first group, and surgery was undertaken on that basis.

There are several reasons for the unsuccessful outcome in this case. The patient had severe coronary artery disease, and it is clear that mortality is higher in patients with symptoms that are refractory to medical therapy. The most significant factor in this patient's death was the episode of ventricular fibrillation that occurred during anesthesia induction. The surgeons rapidly connected him to the heart-lung bypass pump during cardiopulmonary resuscitation, but perfusion must have been inadequate for 7 to 8 minutes, with resultant myocardial and central nervous system damage. Additionally, lack of complete heparinization prior to heart-lung bypass probably caused some defibrination of his blood and contributed to his coagulopathy. Despite good grafts his chances of survival were slim, and at autopsy large infarcts were noted in the anterior, posterior, and lateral ventricular walls.

DR. ELIZABETH WISE (Third-year family medicine resident): The angiographic description of extensive disease in all vessels makes me wonder whether technically successful surgery would have been able to alleviate his pain?

DR. CURTIS: The decision to operate must be made in consultation with a surgeon. We rely on his judgement and experience in evaluating whether an operation is technically feasible. The feeling in this case was that the patient's pain could be relieved with surgery. Although it was not expected to be an optimal result, we have had acceptable results in patients with similar lesions.

The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Cooperative Study Group¹⁹ compared intensive medical therapy and urgent coronary bypass surgery in the acute management of patients with unstable angina. Two hundred eighty-eight patients at nine medical centers were randomized to medical or surgical treatment groups between 1972 and 1976. The groups were comparable with respect to clinical, electrocardiographic, angiographic, and left ventricular function characteristics. Those who were not surgical candidates or those in whom there was a clear indication for one type of treatment over another were excluded from the study. Patients similar to Mr. Y.B. who are refractory to medical therapy were not included in this study because surgical therapy is clearly indicated if anatomically possible.

Figure 1 from this study shows that overall (patients with one-, two-, and three-vessel disease), there was not a significant difference in in-hospital or late mortality between medical and surgical groups. Interestingly, in the last four years of the study, hospital mortality dropped significantly for both groups. Myocardial infarction was significantly higher in surgically treated patients during their hospital stay in the first two years of the study, but in the last three years this difference became nonsignificant. I believe these changes in the infarction rate over time reflect improvements in both medical and surgical treatment.

Figure 2 shows that during the two years after discharge from the hospital, angina was much more common in the medically treated group with one-, two-, or three-vessel disease. (Class III angina occurs with minimal exertion, class IV angina occurs at rest.)

About the same percentage of patients return to work from both medically and surgically treated groups, but the latter tend to do so more comfortably. There is little question that surgery is far more effective than medical treatment in relieving symptoms in this group of patients. One other factor to consider is that while long-term mortality



was comparable between the two groups, about 30 percent of patients "crossed over" after one to two years of medical therapy and underwent coronary artery bypass grafting.

In summary, the results of this study and our experience show that intensive medical therapy is indicated for patients with unstable angina and that there is a reasonably well-defined subgroup of patients who will benefit from early bypass surgery. It has been demonstrated that these people can be managed acutely with medical therapy. Medical therapy may be continued as long as it is effective in controlling symptoms. Elective surgery may be performed after chest pain is controlled, or postponed until angina recurs without increased long-term morbidity or mortality.

Finally, always remember that treatment must be selective with regard to the patient and his lifestyle. If the patient is a manual laborer who cannot support himself or his family at a sedentary job, you might be doing him a great disservice with medical therapy. Our recommendations about treatment carry a great deal of weight, but it is the



patient who must make the final decision and live with that choice.

References

1. Epstein SE: Importance of identifying left main coronary artery narrowing in subsets of patients with coronary artery disease, editorial. Ann Intern Med 91:308, 1979

2. Cheitlin MD, Davia JE, deCastro CM, et al: Correla-tion of "critical" left coronary artery lesions with positive submaximal exercise tests in patients with chest pain. Am

Heart J 89:305, 1975 3. Bruce RA, DeRouen T, Peterson DR, et al: Non-invasive predictors of sudden cardiac death in men with coronary heart disease. Predictive value of maximal stress testing. Am J Cardiol 39:833, 1977 4. Borer JS, Brensike JF, Redwood DR, et al: Limita-

tions of the electrocardiographic response to exercise in predicting coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 293:367, 1975

5. Bodenheimer MM, Banka VS, Fooshee CM, Helfant RH: Comparative sensitivity of the exercise electrocardiogram, thallium imaging, and stress radionuclide angiography to detect the presence and severity of coronary heart disease. Circulation 60:1270, 1979 6. Botvinick EH, Taradash MR, Shames DM, Parmley WW: Thallium-201 myocardial perfusion scintigraphy for the disingle detrification of coronary heart

the clinical clarification of normal, abnormal, and equivocal electrographic stress tests. Am J Cardiol 41:43, 1978 7. Plotnick GD, Greene HL, Carliner NH, et al: Clinical

indicators of left main coronary artery disease in unstable angina. Ann Intern Med 91:149, 1979

8. Gazes PC, Mobley EM, Faris HM, et al: Preinfarc-tional (unstable) angina: A prospective study: Ten-year follow-up. Prognostic significance of electrocardiographic changes. Circulation 48:331, 1973 9. Mikolich JR, Nicoloff NB, Robinson PH, Logue RB:

Relief of refractory angina with continuous intravenous infusion of nitroglycerin. Chest 77:375, 1980

10. Thompson PL, Lown B: Nitrous oxide as an analgesic in acute myocardial infarction. JAMA 235:924, 1976

11. Plotnick GD: Medical management of the patient with unstable angina. JAMA 239:860, 1978 12. Zsoter TT: Calcium antagonists. Am Heart J 99:

805, 1980

13. Antman E, Muller J, Goldberg S, et al: Nifedipine therapy for coronary artery spasm: Experience in 127 pa-tients. N Engl J Med 302:1269, 1980 14. Ziesche S, Franciosa JA: Clinical application of

sodium nitroprusside. Heart Lung 6:99, 1977 15. Rogel S, Bassan MM: Anticoagulants in ischemic heart disease. Arch Intern Med 136:1229, 1976

16. Modan B, Schor SS, Modan M: The case for anticoagulants in acute myocardial infarction. Arch Intern Med 136:1230, 1976

17. Aroesty JM, Weintraub RM, Paulin S, O'Grady GP: Medically refractory unstable angina pectoris. Hemodynamic and angiographic effects of intra-aortic balloon

counterpulsation. Am J Cardiol 43:883, 1979
18. Fischl SJ, Herman MV, Gorlin R: The intermediate coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med 288:1193, 1973

19. Unstable Angina Pectoris Group: Unstable angina pectoris: National cooperative study group to compare surgical and medical therapy: II. In-hospital experience and initial follow-up results in patients with one-, two-, and three-vessel disease. Am J Cardiol 42:839, 1978

THE JOURNAL OF FAMILY PRACTICE, VOL. 15, NO. 5, 1982